ISSN 0304-9892 (Print) www.vijnanaparishadofindia.org/jnanabha Jñānābha, Vol. 53(1) (2023), 55-61 (Dedicated to Professor G. C. Sharma on His 85th Birth Anniversary Celebrations)

STRUCTURE OF WEAKLY SEMI-*I*-OPEN SETS VIA SEMI LOCAL FUNCTIONS R. Rajeswari and A. Muhaseen Fathima

Department of Mathematics, Thassim Beevi Abdul Kader College for Women

Kilakarai, Ramanathapuram, Tamilnadu, India-623517 Email: rajisankerklk@gmail.com, muhaseen02@gmail.com

(Received: May 09, 2022; In format: May 15, 2022; Revised January 19, 2023; Accepted; February 05,

2023)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.58250/jnanabha.2023.53106

Abstract

We introduce, study and investigate the concepts of weakly semi $-I_{s}$ - open sets and some properties of the set. We introduce weakly semi $-I_{s}$ - open functions and weakly semi $-I_{s}$ - closed functions. Also, we introduced notion of weakly semi $-I_{s}$ - open sets and weakly semi $-I_{s}$ - closed sets. We discussed its properties and its relationship between other sets in topological spaces as said in below introduction. We also furnish decomposition of continuity in this paper.

2020 Mathematical Sciences Classification: 54A05, 54A10.

Keywords and Phrases: weakly semi- I_s -open, weakly semi- I_s -closed, weakly semi- I_s -continuity, weakly semi- I_s -sets.

1 Introduction

Topology as a well-defined mathematical discipline dates from the early twentieth century, though some isolated results can be traced back several centuries. An ideal topological space is a triplet (X, τ, I), where X is a nonempty set, τ is a topology on X and I is an ideal of subsets of X. Levine [13] introduced and investigated the concept of semi-open sets and semi-continuity in 1963. In 2006, in his paper on weakly semi-I-open sets and another decomposition of continuity via ideals, Hatir and Jafari [6] introduced the notions of weakly semi-I-open sets and weakly semi-I-continuous functions and obtained a decomposition of continuity. Khan and Noiri [11] introduced and investigated the concept of semilocal functions in his paper Semi-local functions in ideal topological spaces in 2010. Santhi and Rameshkumar [16] obtained several characterizations of semi- I_s -open sets and semi- I_s -continuous functions in 2013. Also, they introduce new semi- I_s -open and semi- I_s -open sets, and pre- I_s -sets to obtain a decomposition of continuity in ideal topological spaces using semi-local functions.

In this paper, we are introducing some properties of weakly semi- I_s -open sets and weakly semi- I_s -closed sets in ideal topological space via semilocal functions. We will study the relationship between weakly semi- I_s -open sets and weakly semi- I_s -closed sets, weakly semi- I_s -open sets and preopen set, weakly semi- I_s -open sets and α - I_s -open set, etc,.

2 Preliminaries

Let A be the subset of a topological space (X,τ) then cl(A) and int(A) denote closure and interior of A in (X,τ) respectively.

An Ideal I on a topological space (X,τ) is a non-empty collection of subsets of X which satisfies :

1. $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in I$.

2. $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$.

The space (X,τ,I) is called an Ideal topological space or Ideal space.

Definition 2.1. Let P(X) be the power set of X. Then the operator $()^* : P(X) \to P(X)$ called a local function [12] of A with respect to τ and I is defined as follows : for $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(I,\tau) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I \text{ for every open set } U \text{ containing } x \}$. We simply write A^* instead of $A^*(I,\tau)$.

Definition 2.2. For $A \subseteq X$, $A_*(I,\tau) = \{x \in X | U \cap A \notin I \text{ for every } U \in SO(X)\}$ is called semi-local function [11] of A with respect to I and τ , where $SO(X,x) = \{U \in SO(X) | x \in U\}$. We simply write A_* instead of $A_*(I,\tau)$.

Definition 2.3. It is given in [4] that $\tau^{*s}(I)$ is a topology on X, generated by a sub basis $\{U - E: U \in SO(X) and E \in I\}$ or equivalently $\tau^{*s}(I) = \{U \subseteq X: cl^{*s}(X - U) = X - U\}.$

Definition 2.4. The closure operator [4] cl^{*s} for a topology $\tau^{*s}(I)$ is defined as follows: for $A \subseteq X$, $cl^{*s}(A) = A \cup A_*$ and int^{*s} denotes the interior of the set A in (X, τ^{*s}, I) . It is known that $\tau \subseteq \tau^*(I) \subseteq \tau^{*s}(I)$.

Definition 2.5. A subset A of (X,τ,I) is called semi-*-perfect [10] if $A = A_*$. A subset A of (X,τ,I) is called *-semi dense in-itself [10] if $A \subset A_*$. A subset A of (X,τ,I) is called semi-*-closed in-itself [10] if $A_* \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.6. A subset A of a space (X,τ) is said to be

- 1. regular closed [15] if cl(int(A)) = A.
- 2. semi-open [13] if $A \subset cl(int(A))$. The complement of semi-open set is said to be semi-closed.
- 3. semi-closed [13] iff int(A) = int(cl(A)).
- 4. semi-closure [13] if intersections of all semi-closed sets containing A and it is denoted by scl(A).

Definition 2.7 ([11]). Let (X,τ,I) be an ideal topological space and A, B subsets of X. Then for the semi-local function the following properties hold:

- 1. If $A \subseteq B$ then $A_* \subseteq B_*$.
- 2. If $U \in \tau$ then $U \cap A_* \subseteq (U \cap A)_*$.
- 3. $A_* = scl(A_*) \subseteq scl(A)$ and A_* is semi-closed in X.
- 4. $(A_*)_* \subseteq A_*$.
- 5. $(A \cup B)_* = A_* \cup B_*$.
- 6. If $I = \{\emptyset\}$, then $A_* = scl(A)$.

Definition 2.8. A subset A of a topological space X is said to be

- 1. α -open [14] if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$,
- 2. pre-open [3] if $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$,
- 3. β -open [5] if $A \subseteq cl(int(cl(A)))$.

Definition 2.9. A subset A of an ideal topological space (X,τ,I) is said to be

- 1. α -I-open [8] if $A \subseteq int(cl^*(int(A)))$,
- 2. semi-I-open [8] if $A \subseteq cl^*(int(A))$,
- 3. pre-I-open [1] if $A \subseteq int(cl^*(A))$,
- 4. almost strong I-open [7] if $A \subset cl^*(int(A^*))$,
- 5. almost I-open [2] if $A \subset cl(int(A^*))$,
- 6. β -*I*-open [8] if $A \subset cl(int(cl^*(A)))$,
- 7. strong β -I-open [7] if $A \subset cl^*(int(cl^*(A)))$,
- 8. weakly semi-I-open [15] if $A \subset cl^*(int(cl(A)))$.

Definition 2.10. A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be

- 1. α - I_s -open [18] if $A \subseteq int(cl^{*s}(int(A)))$,
- 2. s- I_s -set [18] if $cl^{*s}(int(A)) = int(A)$,
- 3. $\alpha^* I_s set [18]$ if $int(cl^{*s}(int(A))) = int(A)$.

Corollary 2.1. A subset A of an ideal topological space (X,τ,I) is said to be

- 1. Every almost strong I-open set is almost I-open but not converse [7],
- 2. Every almost strong I-open set is a strong β -I-open set but not converse [7],
- 3. Every strong β -I-open set is a β -I-open set but not converse [7],
- 4. Every β -I-open set is a β -open set but not converse [7],
- 5. Every almost I-open set is a β -I-open set but not converse [7],
- 6. Every weakly semi-I-open set is a β -open set but not converse [6],
- 7. Every strong β -I-open set is a weakly semi-I-open set but not converse [6].

Definition 2.11. Let (X,τ,I) be an ideal space and M be a *-semi dense in itself [10] subset of X. Then $A_* = cl(A) = cl^{*s}(A)$.

Definition 2.12. Let (X,τ,I) be an ideal space and $A \subset X$. Then $cl^{*s}(int(cl^{*s}(int(A)))) = cl^{*s}(int(A))$. **Definition 2.13.** A subset A of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be semi-I_s-open [18] if $A \subseteq cl^{*s}(int(A)))$.

Definition 2.14. A subset A of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be semi- I_s -open [16] iff there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq cl^{*s}(U)$. A subset H of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be semi- I_s -closed [16] if its complement is semi- I_s -open.

Definition 2.15. A subset A of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be pre- I_s -open [18] if $A \subseteq (int(cl^{*s}(A)))$.

Definition 2.16. A subset F of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be pre- I_s -closed [17] if its complement is pre- I_s -open.

Definition 2.17. A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, I) is called

- 1. An A_{IS} -set [9] if $A = U \cap V$, where U is open and $cl^{*s}(int(V)) = V$.
- 2. A B_{1IS} -set [9] if $A = U \cap V$, where U is α -I_s-open and $cl^{*s}(int(V)) = X$.
- 3. A B_{2IS} -set [9] if $A = U \cap V$, where U is α -I_s-open and $cl^{*s}(V) = X$.
- 4. An αA_{IS} -set [9] if $A = U \cap V$, where U is α -I_s-open and $cl^{*s}(int(V)) = V$.
- 5. An αC_{IS} -set [9] if $A = U \cap V$, where U is α -I_s-open and $int(cl^{*s}(int(V))) \subset V$.
- 6. A WLC_{IS}-set [9] if $A = U \cap V$, where U is open and $cl^{*s}(V) = V$.
- 7. A S_{IS} -set [18] if $A = U \cap V$, where $U \in \tau$ and V is S- I_s -set.

3 Weakly semi- I_s -open sets

Definition 3.1. A subset M of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be weakly semi-I_s-open if $M \subseteq cl^{*s}(int(cl(M)))$.

Example 3.1. Consider X = {m,n,o} in an ideal space (X,τ,I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m\}, \{m,o\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{m\}\}$. Let the semi open set of τ be B = { \emptyset , X} and M = {m,n}. Then $cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(X) = X \supset M$ and so M is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Example 3.2. Consider $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in an ideal space (X, τ, I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$. Let the semi open set of τ be $B = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, X\}$, $M = \{1, 3\}, M_* = \{3\}$. Then $cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(\{1, 3\}))) = cl^{*s}(int(X)) = cl^{*s}(X) = X \supset M$ and so M is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Lemma 3.1. Every semi- I_s -open set is weakly semi- I_s -open set, but converse doesn't hold.

Example 3.3. Consider $X = \{m,n,o\}$ in an ideal space (X,τ,I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m,n\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{o\}\}$. Then $M = \{m\}, \ cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(\{m\}))) = cl^{*s}(int(X)) = cl^{*s}(X) = X \supset M$ and so M is weakly semi- I_s -open, but $cl^{*s}(int(M)) = cl^{*s}(int\{m\}) = cl^{*s}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \not\supseteq M$ and so M is not semi- I_s -open.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X,τ,I) be an ideal topological space. If M is weakly semi-I_s-open set then M is β -open, but not conversely.

Proof. If M is weakly semi-I_s-open, then $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = (int(cl(M)))_* \cup (int(cl(M))) \subset cl(int(cl(M))) \cup int(cl(M))) = cl(int(cl(M)))$. Therefore M is β -open and converse doesn't hold. \Box

Example 3.4. Consider $X = \{m,n,o\}$ in an ideal space (X,τ,I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m\}, \{n\}, \{m,n\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{m\}\}$. Then $M = \{m,o\}$ is β -open, but not weakly semi- I_s -open.

Example 3.5. Consider $X = \{m, n, o, p\}$ in an ideal space (X, τ, I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m\}, \{o\}, \{m, o\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{m\}\}$. Let the semi open set of τ be $B = \{\emptyset, \{m, n, p\}, \{m, o, p\}, X\}$, $M = \{m, o\}$, $M_* = \{c\}$. Then $cl^{*s}(\operatorname{int}(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(\operatorname{int}(cl(\{m, o\}))) = cl^{*s}(\operatorname{int}(X)) = cl^{*s}(X) = X \supset M$ and so M is weakly semi- I_s -open. Also $M \neq M_*$, hence M is not semi-*-perfect. $M \not\subset M_*$, hence M is not *-semidense. $M \subseteq M_*$, hence M is semi-*-closed.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal space and M is *-semi dense in itself, then the following are equivalent :

⁽a) M is β -open,

(b) M is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Theorem 3.2. Let the ideal topological space be (X,τ,I) and M, N be the subsets of X. If M is weakly semi- I_s -open set and $N \in \tau$, then $M \cap N$ is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Proof. Let M is weakly semi- I_s -open and $N \in \tau$. If $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M)))$, then $M \cap N \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) \cap N = (int(cl(M))) \cap N = (int(cl(M))) \cap N \cup int(cl(M)) \cap N \subset (int(cl(M)) \cap N_*) \cup int(cl(M \cap N))) = (int(cl(M \cap N))) \cap N \cup int(cl(M \cap N))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(M \cap N)))$. This shows that $M \cap N$ is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Remark 3.1. In general, the finite intersection of weakly semi- I_s -open sets need not be weakly semi- I_s -open.

Lemma 3.2. Let the ideal topological space be (X,τ,I) , where $M \subset X$ and $U \in$ semiopen set of τ . Then $cl^{*s}(M) \cap U = cl^{*s}(M \cap U)$.

 $Proof. \ cl^{*s}(M) \cap (U) = (M_* \cup M) \cap U = (M_* \cap U) \cup (M \cap U) \subset (M \cap U)_* \cup (M \cap U) = cl^{*s}(M \cap U). \quad \Box$

Example 3.6. Consider $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in an ideal space (X, τ, I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$. Let $M = \{1, 3\}$ and $M_* = \{3\}$. From example 3.2, M is weakly semi- I_s -open. $M \not\subset M_*$, hence M is not *-semidense.

Example 3.7. Consider $X = \{m,n,o\}$ in an ideal space (X,τ,I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m\}, \{n\}, \{m,n\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{m\}\}$. Let the semi open set of τ be $B = \{\emptyset, \{m,o\}, \{n,o\}, X\}$ and $M = \{m,o\}$, where $cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(\{m,o\}))) = cl^{*s}(int(\{m,o\})) = cl^{*s}(\{m\}) = \emptyset \not\supseteq M$ and so M is not weakly semi- I_s -open. since $cl(int(cl^{*s}(M))) = cl(int(cl^{*s}(\{m,o\}))) = cl(int(X)) = cl(X) = X \supset M$ and so M is $\beta - I_s$ -open.

The above example shows that weakly semi- I_s -openness and β - I_s -openness are independent concepts.

Theorem 3.3. Let an ideal space be (X,τ,I) . If M is pre-open, then M is weakly semi-I_s-open.

Proof. If M is pre-open, then $M \subset int(cl(M))$ and so $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M)))$ which implies that M is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Example 3.8. Consider $X = \{m,n,o\}$ in an ideal space (X,τ,I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m\}, \{m,o\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{m\}\}$. Let the semi open set of τ be $B = \{\emptyset, X\}$ and $M = \{m,n\}$. Then $cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(X)) = cl^{*s}(X) = X \supset M$ and so M is weakly semi- I_s -open. Also, $int(cl(M)) = int(cl(\{m,n\})) = int(X) = X \supset M$ and therefore, M is pre-open.

Theorem 3.4. Let an ideal space be (X,τ,I) . If $M \subset N \subset cl^{*s}(M)$ and M is weakly semi- I_s -open, then N is weakly semi- I_s -open. In particular, if M is weakly semi- I_s -open, then $cl^{*s}(M)$ is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Proof. If M is weakly semi- I_s -open, then $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M)))$. Since $N \subset cl^{*s}(M) \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M)))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(N)))$. Hence N is weakly semi- I_s -open. \Box

Theorem 3.5. Let the ideal space be (X,τ,I) . If M is α - I_s -open and N is weakly semi- I_s -open, then $M \cap N$ is weakly semi- I_s -open.

Proof. Since M is α - I_s -open, $M \subset int(cl^{*s}(int(M)))$ and N is weakly semi- I_s -open, $N \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(N)))$. Now $M \cap N \subset int(cl^{*s}(int(M))) \cap cl^{*s}(int(cl(N))) \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl^{*s}(int(M))) \cap int(cl(N)))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl^{*s}(int(M) \cap int(cl(N))))) \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl^{*s}(int(M) \cap int(cl(N))))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl^{*s}(i$

Theorem 3.6. Let the ideal space be (X,τ,I) and $M \subset X$ be weakly semi- I_s -open. If M is either semiclosed or I_s -locally closed, then M is semi- I_s -open.

Proof. Suppose M is I_s -locally closed. M is I_s -locally closed implies that $M = U \cap M_*$ for some semi open set U. M is weakly semi- I_s -open implies that $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M)))$. Now $M = U \cap M_* \subset U \cap (cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))))_* \subset U \cap cl^{*s}(int(cl(U \cap M_*)))) = U \cap cl^{*s}(int(cl(U \cap M_*))) \subset cl^{*s}(U \cap int(cl(U \cap M_*))) = cl^{*s}(int(U \cap cl(U \cap M_*))) \subset cl^{*s}(int(U \cap cl(U) \cap cl(M_*))) = cl^{*s}(int(U \cap M_*)) = cl^{*s}(int(M))$. Hence M is semi- I_s -open. Suppose M is semiclosed. Then int(cl(M)) = int(M). Since M is weakly semi- I_s -open implies that $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(M))$. Hence M is semi- I_s -open. \Box

Example 3.9. Consider $X = \{m,n,o\}$ in an ideal space (X,τ,I) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{m,n\}, X\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{o\}\}$. Let the semi open set of τ be $B = \{\emptyset, X\}$ and $M = \{m\}$, then $M_* = X$ and $cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(X)) = X \subset M$ and so M is weakly semi- I_s -open. Also, $cl^{*s}(int(M)) = cl^{*s}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Hence M is not semi- I_s -open. Moreover, M is neither I_s -locally closed nor semiclosed.

Definition 3.2. A subset M of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be weakly semi-I_s-closed if $M \subseteq int^{*s}(cl(int(M)))$.

Theorem 3.7. A subset M of a space (X,τ,I) is weakly semi- I_s -closed iff $int^{*s}(cl(int(M))) \subset M$. Also, if M is weakly semi- I_s -closed subset of X, then M is an α^* - I_s -set.

Proof. Let M be weakly semi- I_s -closed set of (X,τ,I) . Then X - M is weakly semi- I_s -open and hence X - $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(X-M))) = X - int^{*s}(cl(int(M)))$. Therefore, we have $int^{*s}(cl(int(M))) \subset M$. Conversely, let $int^{*s}(cl(int(M))) \subset M$. Then X - $M \subset cl^{*s}(int(cl(X-M)))$ and hence X - M is weakly semi- I_s -open. Therefore, M is weakly semi- I_s -closed. Also $int^{*s}(cl(int(M))) \subset M$ and so $int^{*s}(cl(int(M))) \subset int(M)$. Hence it follows that $int^{*s}(cl(int(M))) = int(M)$ which implies that M is α^* - I_s -set.

Definition 3.3. A subset M of an ideal space (X,τ,I) is said to be weakly S_{IS} -set (resp. C_{IS} -set [18]) if $M = G \cap V$ where G is open and V is weakly semi- I_s -closed (resp. α^* - I_s -set).

Remark 3.2. Every open set is a weakly S_{IS} -set and every weakly S_{IS} -set is a C_{IS} -set.

Theorem 3.8. Let (X,τ,I) be an ideal space. Then the following are equivalent :

- (a) M is open,
- (b) M is α -I_s-open and a weakly S_{IS}-set,
- (c) M is α - I_s -open and a C_{IS} -set.

Proof. If M is open, (a) implies (b) and (b) implies (c) are clear. Then (c) implies (a) follows from the preposition 4.16 of [18].

Definition 3.4. A subset M of a space (X,τ,I) is called Strong $s - I_s$ -set if $cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = int(M)$.

Definition 3.5. A subset M of a space (X,τ,I) is called Strong S_{IS} -set if $M = U \cap V$, where $U \in \tau$ and V is Strong $S - I_s$ -set.

Remark 3.3. a) Every strong $s - I_s$ -set is $S - I_s$ -set.

- b) Every strong S_{IS} -set is S_{IS} -set.
- c) Every open set is strong S_{IS} -set.

Proposition 3.1. For a subset M of a topological space (X, τ, I) , the following holds equivalently:

- a) M is open,
- b) M is weakly semi- I_s -open and strong S_{IS} -set,
- c) M is semi-I_s-open and strong S_{IS} -set.

Proof. By the above remarks we prove this as follows:

If M is a semi- I_s -open set and also a strong S_{IS} -set, then $M \subseteq cl^{*s}(int(cl(M))) = cl^{*s}(int(cl(U \cap V))))$, where $U \in \tau$ and V is strong S_{IS} -set. Hence $M \subset U \cap M \subset U \cap (cl^{*s}(int(cl(U))) \cap cl^{*s}(int(cl(V)))) = U \cap int(V) = int(M)$, shows that M is open

4 Weakly semi- I_s -open and Weakly semi- I_s -closed functions

Definition 4.1. Let $f : (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ be a function of weakly semi- I_s -open if the image of every open set in (M, τ, I) is weakly semi- I_s -open in (N, σ, J) .

Theorem 4.1. A function $f : (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ is weakly semi- I_s -open iff for each point m of X and each neighbourhood U of m, there exists a weakly semi- I_s -open set V in N containing f(m) such that $V \subset f(U)$.

Theorem 4.2. A function $f : (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ is weakly semi- I_s -open function such that $F \subset N$ and $G \subset M$ is a closed set containing $f^{-1}(F)$, then there exists a weakly semi- I_s -open set $W \subset N$ containing F such that $f^{-1}(W) \subset G$.

Definition 4.2. Let $f : (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ be a function of weakly semi-I_s-closed if the image of every closed set in (M, τ, I) is weakly semi-I_s-closed in (N, σ, J) .

Theorem 4.3. A function $f : (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ is weakly semi- I_s -closed function such that $F \subset N$ and $G \subset M$ is a open set containing $f^{-1}(F)$, then there exists a weakly semi- I_s -closed set $W \subset N$ containing F such that $f^{-1}(W) \subset G$.

Definition 4.3. A function $f : (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ is said to be weakly semi-I_s-continuous if for every $V \in \sigma$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is an ws-I_s-set of (M, τ, I) .

Proposition 4.1. $f: (M, \tau, I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ be bijective function then the following condition holds:

- (1) f^{-1} is weakly semi-I_s-continuous,
- (2) f is weakly semi- I_s -open,
- (3) f is weakly semi-I_s-closed.

Theorem 4.4. Consider the functions $f : (M, \tau.I) \to (N, \sigma, J)$ and $g : (N, \sigma, J) \to (O, \nu, K)$, where I, J and K are ideals on M, N and O, respectively. The following statement holds:

- (1) If f is open and g is weakly semi- I_s -open then $g \circ f$ is weakly semi- I_s -open,
- (2) If $g \circ f$ is open and g is weakly semi- I_s -continuous injection then f is weakly semi- I_s -open.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we obtained several characterization of weakly semi- I_s -open sets. we introduced weakly semi- I_s -open sets and weakly semi- I_s -closed sets using semi local functions. Also we introduced weakly semi- I_s -open functions and weakly semi- I_s -closed functions. We discussed their relationship with various sets.

Acknowledgment

Authors are thankful to the editor and referee for their valuable consideration, guidance and suggestions.

References

- J. Dontchev, Idealization of Ganster-Reilly decomposition theorems, http://arxiv.org/abs/ Math., GN/9901017, (5 Jan. 1999(Internet)).
- [2] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, R.A.Mahmoud and A.A.Nasef, Almost I openness and almost I continuity, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 7(2)(1999), 191–200.
- [3] M. E. Abd. El-Monsef, A. S. Mashour and S. N. El-deeb, On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mapping, Proc. Math. Phys.Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982), 47–53.
- [4] M.E. Abd. El-Monsef, E.F.Lashien and A.A.Nasef, Some topological operators via ideals, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, 32(2)(1992), 273– 284.
- [5] S. N. El-Deep, M.E.Abd.El-Monsef and R.A.Mahmoud, β-open sets and β-continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12 (1983), 77–90.
- [6] E.Hatir and S.Jafari, On weakly semi-I-open sets and another decomposition of continuity via ideals, Sarajevo J. Math., 14(2)(2006), 107–114.
- [7] E.Hatir and T.Noiri, On a new decomposition of continuity via idealization, JP Jour. Geometry and Topology, 3(1)(2003), 53-64.
- [8] E.Hatir and T.Noiri, On decompositions of continuity via idealization, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 96(4)(2002), 341–349.

- [9] V.Jeyanthi and R.Rajeswari, On Decomposition of Continuity and some weaker forms of Continuity via Idealization, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, Mili Publications, 18 (2019), 1443-1451.
- [10] M.Khan and T.Noiri., On gI-closed Sets in Ideal Topological Spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 1(1)(2010), 29–33.
- [11] M.Khan and T.Noiri, Semi-local functions in ideal topological spaces, J. Adv. Res. Pure Math, 2(1) (2010), 36–42.
- [12] Kuratowski, Topology, Academia Press, New York, 1, 1966.
- [13] N.Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, The American mathematical monthly, Taylor & Francis, 70(1)(1963), 36–41.
- [14] O.Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific journal of mathematics, Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 15(3)(1965), 961–970.
- [15] V.Renukadevi and S.Diraviam, On Weakly semi-I-open sets, Sarajevo journal of mathematics, 3(2007), 267-276.
- [16] R.Santhi and M.Rameshkumar, On Semi-I_s-Open Sets and Semi-I_s-Continuous Functions, IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), 7(2013), 38-42.
- [17] R.Santhi and M.Rameshkumar, On pre-Is-open sets and pre-Is-continuous functions, malaya J. Mat., 2(3) (2014), 315-321.
- [18] R.Santhi and M.Rameshkumar, A decomposition of continuity in ideal by using semi-local functions, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Applications, 2014 (2014), 1-11.