

**A STUDY ON Fuzzy SEPARATION AXIOMS ( $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ ) VIA Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -OPEN SETS****Firdose Habib**

Department of Mathematics

Maulana Azad National Urdu University Hyderabad, Andra Pradesh India-500032

Email: [firdosehabib\\_rs@manuu.edu.in](mailto:firdosehabib_rs@manuu.edu.in)

(Received: March 20, 2022; In format: November 02, 2022; Revised: January 12, 2023; Accepted: January 24, 2023)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58250/jnanabha.2023.53104>**Abstract**

In this paper we have introduced Fuzzy  $gp^*$  closure, Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -interior and separation axioms via Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open sets. Also we found out the relationship between Fuzzy separation axioms, Fuzzy  $gp^*$  separation axioms and Fuzzy pre separation axioms.

**2020 Mathematical Sciences Classification:** 54A40.**Keywords and Phrases:** Fuzzy  $gp^*$ - closure,  $Fgp^* - T_0$  spaces,  $Fgp^* - T_1$  spaces,  $Fgp^* - T_2$  spaces.**1 Introduction**

In this paper we introduce and study separation properties of Fuzzy topological spaces via Fuzzy  $gp^*$  closed sets and draw a valid implication between the different axioms introduced earlier. Fuzzy separation axioms were introduced and studied by Ghanim et al. [3]. Similarly Fuzzy pre separation axioms were introduced and many of their properties were established by Singal et al. [11]. In 2011 Lee and Yun [9] introduced and studied Fuzzy delta separation axioms based on Fuzzy  $\delta$ -open sets. They investigated the relationship between Fuzzy separation axioms and Fuzzy  $\delta$ -separation axioms and showed Fuzzy  $\delta$ -separation axioms are hereditary in Fuzzy regular open subspaces. In 2018 Paul et al. [10] studied and introduced separation axioms ( $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ ) in the light of Fuzzy  $\gamma^*$ -open set via quasi-coincidence, quasi-neighborhood and also established relation between Fuzzy separation axioms, Fuzzy pre-separation axioms and Fuzzy  $\gamma^*$ -separation axioms.

In this paper, we introduce Fuzzy separation axioms via Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open sets and find out there relation with Fuzzy separation axioms and Fuzzy pre separation axioms introduced earlier. We find out that every  $FT_i$  space [3] is  $Fgp^*T_i$  space for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and every  $FPT_i$  space [11] is  $Fgp^*T_i$  space for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . But the converse is not true for both the cases, which we proved by counter examples.

**2 Preliminaries**

In this paper  $(Z, \tau)$  always mean Fuzzy topological space on which no separation axioms are mentioned unless otherwise explicitly stated. A Fuzzy set in topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a Fuzzy point iff it takes the value 0 for all  $y \in X$  except one, say  $x \in X$ . If its value at  $x$  is  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ ) we denote this Fuzzy point by  $x_\lambda$ , where the point  $x$  is called its support see [11]. From the previous literature, following definitions and remarks play a key role in establishing the main work of this paper.

**Definition 2.1** ([5]). Suppose  $(Y, \tau)$  is a Fuzzy topological space. Then a subset  $\lambda$  of  $(Y, \tau)$  is called Fuzzy generalized pre regular weakly closed (briefly Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed) if  $pcl(\lambda) \leq \mu$  whenever  $\lambda \leq \mu$  and  $\mu$  is a Fuzzy regular semi open set in  $(Y, \tau)$ . Complement of Fuzzy generalized pre regular weakly closed set is called Fuzzy generalized pre regular weakly open (briefly Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open).

**Definition 2.2** ([2]). A Fuzzy set on  $X$  is called a Fuzzy singleton if it takes the value zero (0) for all points  $x$  in  $X$  except one point. The point at which a Fuzzy singleton takes the non-zero value is called the support and the corresponding element of  $(0, 1]$  its value. A Fuzzy singleton with value 1 is called a Fuzzy crisp singleton.

**Definition 2.3** ([3]). A Fuzzy topological space is said to be  $FT_0$  iff for every pair of Fuzzy singletons  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  with different supports, there exists an open Fuzzy set  $O$  such that  $p_1 \leq O \leq cop_2$  or  $p_2 \leq O \leq cop_1$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([3]). A Fuzzy topological space is said to be  $FT_1$  iff for every pair of Fuzzy singletons  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with different supports, there exists open Fuzzy sets  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  such that  $p_1 \leq O_1 \leq \text{cop}_2$  and  $p_2 \leq O_2 \leq \text{cop}_1$ .

**Definition 2.5** ([3]). A Fuzzy topological space is said to be  $FT_2$  (F-Hausdorff) iff for every pair of Fuzzy singletons  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with different supports, there exists open Fuzzy sets  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  such that  $p_1 \leq O_1 \leq \text{cop}_2$ ,  $p_2 \leq O_2 \leq \text{cop}_1$  and  $O_1 \leq \text{co}O_2$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([11]). A Fuzzy topological space is said to be Fuzzy pre- $T_0$  or in short  $FPT_0$  if for every pair of Fuzzy singletons  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with different supports, there exists a Fuzzy pre-open set  $u$  such that either  $p_1 \leq u \leq \text{cop}_2$  or  $p_2 \leq u \leq \text{cop}_1$ .

**Definition 2.7** ([11]). A Fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be Fuzzy pre- $T_1$  or in short  $FPT_1$  if for every pair of Fuzzy singletons  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with different supports  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , ( $x_1 \neq x_2$ ), there exists Fuzzy pre-open sets  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $p_1 \leq u \leq \text{cop}_2$  and  $p_2 \leq v \leq \text{cop}_1$ .

**Definition 2.8** ([11]). A Fuzzy topological space is said to be Fuzzy pre-Hausdorff or in short  $FPT_2$  iff for every pair of Fuzzy singletons  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with different supports, there exists two Fuzzy pre-open sets  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $p_1 \leq u \leq \text{cop}_2$ ,  $p_2 \leq v \leq \text{cop}_1$  and  $u \leq \text{cov}$ .

*Remark 2.1* ([5]). Suppose  $(Y, \tau)$  is a Fuzzy topological space and  $\lambda \leq Y$ . Then we call  $\lambda$  Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open if  $(1 - \lambda)$  is Fuzzy  $gp^*$  closed in  $(Y, \tau)$ .

*Remark 2.2* ([11]). In Fuzzy topological space  $(Y, \tau)$  every Fuzzy closed set is Fuzzy pre-closed.

*Remark 2.3* ([5]). In Fuzzy topological space  $(Y, \tau)$ , every Fuzzy open set is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open.

### 3 Fuzzy $gp^*$ -closure

**Definition 3.1.** Suppose  $(Y, \tau)$  is a Fuzzy topological space and  $\alpha \leq Y$ . Then Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closure (briefly  $Fgp^*\text{-cl}$ ) and Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -interior (briefly  $Fgp^*\text{-int}$ ) of  $\alpha$  are respectively defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-cl}(\alpha) &= \bigwedge \{ \mu : \alpha \leq \mu, \mu \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-closed set in } Y \}, \\ \text{Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-int}(\alpha) &= \bigvee \{ \mu : \alpha \geq \mu, \mu \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-open set in } Y \}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** In Fuzzy topological space  $(Y, \tau)$  every Fuzzy pre-closed set is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda$  is a Fuzzy pre-closed set in  $(Y, \tau)$  such that  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is Fuzzy generalized pre-open in  $(Y, \tau)$ . Now as  $\lambda$  is Fuzzy pre-closed implying that  $pcl(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Also by Remark 2.2 every Fuzzy closed set is Fuzzy pre-closed, implying  $cl(\lambda) \leq pcl(\lambda) = \lambda \leq \mu$ , whenever  $\lambda \leq \mu$  and  $\mu$  is Fuzzy generalized pre-open in  $(Y, \tau)$ . So  $\lambda$  is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose  $\lambda$  is a Fuzzy set in Fuzzy space  $(Y, \tau)$ . Then  $\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(1 - \lambda) = 1 - (\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(\lambda))$  and  $\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(1 - \lambda) = 1 - (\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\lambda))$ .

*Proof.* From Remark 2.1, a Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open set  $p \leq \lambda$  is the complement of Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed set  $q \geq 1 - \lambda$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-int}(\lambda) &= \bigvee \{ 1 - q : q \text{ is fuzzy } gp^* \text{ closed and } q \geq 1 - \lambda \}, \\ \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(\lambda) &= 1 - \bigwedge \{ q : q \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^* \text{ closed and } q \geq 1 - \lambda \}, \\ \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(\lambda) &= 1 - \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(1 - \lambda) \\ \implies gp^* - cl(1 - \lambda) &= 1 - \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose  $r$  is a Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open set so for fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed set  $s \geq \lambda$ ,  $r = 1 - s \leq 1 - \lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\lambda) &= \bigwedge \{ 1 - r : r \text{ is fuzzy } gp^*\text{-open and } r \leq 1 - \lambda \}, \\ \text{Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-cl}(\lambda) &= 1 - \bigvee \{ r : r \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^*\text{-open and } r \leq 1 - \lambda \}, \\ \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\lambda) &= 1 - \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(1 - \lambda) \\ \implies \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - int(1 - \lambda) &= 1 - \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose  $(Y, \tau)$  is a Fuzzy topological space and  $\alpha, \mu$  are Fuzzy subsets of  $Y$ . Then

- (a) Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(1_Y) = 1_Y$  and Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(0_Y) = 0_Y$ ,
- (b)  $\alpha \leq$  Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\alpha)$ ,
- (c) suppose  $\mu \leq \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed set. Then Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\mu) \leq \alpha$ ,
- (d) If  $\alpha \leq \mu$  then Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\alpha) \leq$  Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(1_Y)$  is the intersection i.e. minimum of all Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed sets in  $Y$  containing  $1_Y$  and since  $1_Y$  is the minimum Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed set containing  $1_Y$ . So Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(1_Y) = 1_Y$ . Now Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(0_Y)$  is the intersection i.e. minimum of all Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed sets in  $Y$  containing  $0_Y$  and since  $0_Y$  is the minimum Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed set containing  $0_Y$ , implying Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(0_Y) = 0_Y$ .

(b) As Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\alpha)$  is the intersection of all Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed sets containing  $\alpha$ . So  $\alpha \leq$  Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\alpha)$  is obvious.

(c) Suppose  $\mu \leq \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed set. Now,

$$\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\mu) = \wedge \{ \pi : \mu \leq \pi, \pi \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^* - \text{closed set in } Y \}$$

i.e. Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\mu)$  is contained in all Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed sets, so in particular Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\mu) \leq \alpha$ .

(d) Suppose  $\alpha \leq \mu$ , also

$$\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\mu) = \wedge \{ \pi : \mu \leq \pi, \pi \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^* - \text{closed set in } Y \} \rightarrow (d.1).$$

Now if  $\mu \leq \pi$ , where  $\pi$  is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed in  $Y$ , then by (c) of this theorem, Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\mu) \leq \pi$ . Now by (b) of this theorem  $\mu \leq$  Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\mu)$  implies  $\alpha \leq \mu \leq \pi$  where  $\pi$  is Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -closed. So Fuzzy  $gp^* - cl(\alpha) \leq \pi$  (by (c) of this theorem). Therefore

$$\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\alpha) \leq \wedge \{ \pi : \mu \leq \pi, \pi \text{ is Fuzzy } gp^* - \text{closed set in } Y \}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\alpha) \leq \text{Fuzzy } gp^* - cl(\mu) \quad (\text{using}(d.1))$$

□

#### 4 Separation Axioms via Fuzzy $gp^*$ -open Set

**Definition 4.1.** A Fuzzy topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  if for arbitrary Fuzzy singletons  $x_\lambda^1$  and  $x_\mu^2$ , their exists a Fuzzy  $gp^*$ -open set  $Z$  such that  $x_\lambda^1 \leq Z \leq (1 - x_\mu^2)$  or  $x_\mu^2 \leq Z \leq (1 - x_\lambda^1)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** A Fuzzy topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  iff Fuzzy- $gp^*$  closure of any two Fuzzy crisp singletons with different supports is distinct.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  and  $x^1, x^2$  are two Fuzzy crisp singletons with different supports. Now  $(Z, \tau)$  being  $Fgp^* - T_0$  implies that  $\exists$  a Fuzzy- $gp^*$  open set  $Z$  such that  $x^1 \leq Z \leq (1 - x^2)$ , implying  $x^2 \leq Fgp^* - cl(x^2) \leq 1 - Z$ . Since  $x^1 \not\leq 1 - Z$  so  $x^1 \not\leq Fgp^* - cl(x^2)$ , but  $x^1 \leq Fgp^* - cl(x^1)$  implies  $Fgp^* - cl(x^1) \neq Fgp^* - cl(x^2)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $x^1$  and  $x^2$  be two Fuzzy crisp singletons with different supports  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , respectively such that  $x^1(z_1) = x^2(z_2) = 1$ . Also let  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  be Fuzzy singletons with different supports  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , so by hypothesis  $1_Z - Fgp^* - cl\{x^1\} \leq 1_Z - \{x^1\}$  and so  $(1_Z - Fgp^* - cl(x^1)) \leq (1_Z - \{l_1\})$ . Now  $(1_Z - Fgp^* - cl(x^1))$  is a  $Fgp^*$ -open set such that  $l_2 \leq (1_Z - Fgp^* - cl(x^1)) \leq (1_Z - \{l_1\})$ . Implying  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_0$ . □

**Definition 4.2.** A Fuzzy topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_1$  if for arbitrary Fuzzy singletons  $X_\lambda^1$  and  $x_\mu^2$ , their exists Fuzzy  $gp^*$  open sets  $Z_1$  &  $Z_2$  such that  $x_\lambda^1 \leq Z_1 \leq (1 - x_\mu^2)$  and  $x_\mu^2 \leq Z_2 \leq (1 - x_\lambda^1)$ .

Obviously every  $Fgp^* - T_1$  space is a  $Fgp^* - T_0$  space.

**Theorem 4.2.** A Fuzzy topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_1$  iff every Fuzzy crisp singleton is Fuzzy- $gp^*$  closed.

*Proof.* Consider  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_1$  and  $l_1$  is a Fuzzy singleton with support  $z_1$  such that  $l_1(z_1) = 1$ . So for any arbitrary Fuzzy singleton  $l_2$  with support  $z_2 \neq z_1$ , their exists Fuzzy- $gp^*$  open sets  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $l_1 \leq \alpha \leq 1_Z - l_2$  and  $l_2 \leq \beta \leq 1_Z - l_1$ . Now, as every Fuzzy set can be written as the union of Fuzzy singletons contained in it [2]. So  $1_Z - l_1 = \vee_{l_2 \leq 1_Z - l_1} l_2$ . From  $1 - l_1(z_1) = 0$  it is clear that  $1_Z - l_1 = \vee_{l_2 \leq 1_Z - l_1} \beta$ , implying  $1_Z - l_1$  is Fuzzy- $gp^*$  open. Conversely suppose that  $l_1$  and  $m_1$  are Fuzzy singletons with support  $z_1$

such that  $m_1(z_1) = 1$  and  $l_1(z_1) \neq 1$  &  $l_2, m_2$  are *Fuzzy* singletons with support  $z_2$  such that  $m_2(z_2) = 1$  and  $l_2(z_2) \neq 1$ . Now the *Fuzzy* sets  $1_Z - m_1$  &  $1_Z - m_2$  are *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$  open sets satisfying  $l_1 \leq 1_Z - m_2 \leq 1_Z - l_2$  &  $l_2 \leq 1_Z - m_1 \leq 1_Z - l_1$  implying  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_1$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** A *Fuzzy* topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^*$ -Hausdorff or  $Fgp^* - T_2$  if for arbitrary *Fuzzy* singletons  $X_\lambda^1$  and  $x_\mu^2$ , their exists *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open sets  $Z_1$  &  $Z_2$  such that  $x_\lambda^1 \leq Z_1 \leq (1 - x_\mu^2)$ ,  $x_\mu^2 \leq Z_2 \leq (1 - x_\lambda^1)$  and  $Z_1 \leq 1 - Z_2$ .

It is obvious that every  $Fgp^* - T_2$  space is  $Fgp^* - T_1$  space.

**Definition 4.4.** A *Fuzzy* topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^*$ -Uryshon or  $Fgp^* - T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  if for arbitrary *Fuzzy* singletons  $x_\lambda^1$  and  $x_\mu^2$ , their exists *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open sets  $Z_1$  &  $Z_2$  such that  $x_\lambda^1 \leq Z_1 \leq (1 - x_\mu^2)$ ,  $x_\mu^2 \leq Z_2 \leq (1 - x_\lambda^1)$  and  $Fgp^* - cl(Z_1) \leq 1 - (Fgp^* - cl(Z_2))$ .

*Remark 4.1.* Every *Fuzzy* pre-open set in fts  $(Z, \tau)$  is a *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open set in  $(Z, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha$  is a *Fuzzy* pre-open set in  $(Z, \tau)$ , so  $1 - \alpha$  is *Fuzzy* pre-closed. Now by Theorem 3.1 every *Fuzzy* pre-closed set is *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -closed, implying  $1 - \alpha$  is *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -closed & so  $\alpha$  is a *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open set in  $(Z, \tau)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Every  $FPT_0$  space is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  space.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $FPT_0$ -space, so by [2] for *Fuzzy* singletons  $l_1$  &  $l_2$  with supports  $z_1, z_2$  ( $z_1 \neq z_2$ ) their exists a *Fuzzy* pre-open set  $\nu$  such that  $l_1 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_2$  or  $l_2 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_1$ . Now by Remark 4.1  $\nu$  is a *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open set satisfying  $l_1 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_2$  or  $l_2 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_1$ . Hence  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $Fgp^* - T_0$  space.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* The converse of the above theorem need not be true, for proof the following example is given.

**Example 4.1.** If  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$  is a space with *Fuzzy* topology  $\tau = \{0_Z, 1_Z, l, m, n, o\}$  where  $l, m, n, o : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are defined as

$$l(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$m(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$n(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$o(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2, z_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this space  $Z$  with such kind of topology  $\tau$ , the *Fuzzy* set  $p$  defined below is  $Fgp^*$ -open but not *Fuzzy* pre-open, implying that the space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  but not  $FPT_0$ .

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_3, z_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise/} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.4.** All  $FPT_1$  spaces are  $Fgp^* - T_1$  spaces.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $FPT_1$  space, so by the definition of  $FPT_1$  for arbitrary singletons  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ ,  $l_1 \leq \nu_1 \leq 1 - l_2$  &  $l_2 \leq \nu_2 \leq 1 - l_1$  where  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are *Fuzzy* pre-open sets. Now by Remark 4.1  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open, concluding that  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $Fgp^* - T_1$  spaces.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* The converse of the above theorem may not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.2.** In the *Fuzzy* topological space defined in Example 4.1, the *Fuzzy* sets  $p$  &  $q$  defined below are  $Fgp^*$ -open but not *Fuzzy* pre-open, implying that the space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_1$  but not  $FPT_1$ .

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_3, z_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.5.** All  $FPT_2$  spaces are  $Fgp^* - T_2$  spaces.

*Proof.* From the definition of  $FPT_2$  spaces in [11] and from Remark 4.1, the proof is obvious.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the given example.

**Example 4.3.** In the *Fuzzy* topological space defined in Example 4.1, the *Fuzzy* sets  $r$  &  $s$  defined below are  $Fgp^*$ -open but not *Fuzzy* pre-open, implying that the space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_2$  but not  $FPT_2$ .

$$r(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$s(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.6.** Every  $FT_0$  space is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  space.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $FT_0$  -space, so by [12] for *Fuzzy* singletons  $l_1$  &  $l_2$  with different supports, their exists a *Fuzzy* open set  $\nu$  such that  $l_1 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_2$  or  $l_2 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_1$ . Now from Remark 2.3 every *Fuzzy* open set is *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open, implying that  $\nu$  is a *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open set satisfying  $l_1 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_2$  or  $l_2 \leq \nu \leq 1_Z - l_1$ . Hence  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $Fgp^* - T_0$  space.  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.4.** If  $Z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5\}$  is a space with *Fuzzy* topology  $\tau = \{0_Z, 1_Z, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are defined as

$$\lambda_1(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_2(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_3, z_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_3(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this *Fuzzy* topological space, the *Fuzzy* set  $\lambda_4$  defined below is a *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open set but not *Fuzzy* open, implying that the space  $(Z, \tau)$  is  $Fgp^* - T_0$  but not  $FT_0$ .

$$\lambda_4(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2, z_4, z_5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Every  $FT_1$  space is  $Fgp^* - T_1$  space.

*Proof.* The proof is trivial from the definitions of  $FT_1$  and  $Fgp^* - T_1$  spaces and from the result that every *Fuzzy* open set is *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open [5].  $\square$

*Remark 4.6.* The converse that every  $Fgp^* - T_1$  space is a  $FT_1$  space is not true, for proof the following example is given

**Example 4.5.** In *Fuzzy* topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  defined in Example 4.4, the *Fuzzy* sets  $\lambda_4$  and  $\lambda_5$  defined below are *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open sets but not *Fuzzy* open sets, implying the *Fuzzy* space  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $Fgp^* - T_1$  space but not a  $FT_1$ .

$$\lambda_4(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2, z_4, z_5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_5(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.8.** Every  $FT_2$  space is  $Fgp^* - T_2$  space.

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward. □

*Remark 4.7.* The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.6.** In *Fuzzy* topological space  $(Z, \tau)$  defined in Example 4.4, the *Fuzzy* sets  $\lambda_4$  and  $\lambda_5$  defined below are *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open sets but not *Fuzzy* open sets, implying the *Fuzzy* space  $(Z, \tau)$  is a  $Fgp^* - T_2$  space but not a  $FT_2$ .

$$\lambda_4(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_1, z_2, z_4, z_5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_5(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z = z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From the above discussion, we have the following diagram of implications

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} FT_0 & \Leftarrow & FT_1 & \Leftarrow & FT_2 \\ \Downarrow \Uparrow & & \Downarrow \Uparrow & & \Downarrow \Uparrow \\ Fgp^*-T_0 & \Leftarrow & Fgp^*-T_1 & \Leftarrow & Fgp^*-T_2 \\ \Downarrow \Uparrow & & \Downarrow \Uparrow & & \Downarrow \Uparrow \\ FPT_0 & \Leftarrow & FPT_1 & \Leftarrow & FPT_2 \end{array}$$

## 5 Conclusion

The main portion of this manuscript is dedicated to *Fuzzy* separation axioms via *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -open sets, We introduced these axioms and find out their relation with *Fuzzy* separation axioms and *Fuzzy* pre separation axioms introduced earlier. We can further investigate these spaces and relate the new results with the results already in trending in this area.

**Acknowledgement.** I am highly thankful to the Editors and anonymous Reviewers for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper in its present form.

## References

- [1] K. K. Azad, On *Fuzzy* semi continuity, *Fuzzy* almost continuity and *Fuzzy* weakly continuity, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **82** (1981), 14-32.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, L-fuzzy sets, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*, **18** (1967), 145-174.
- [3] M. H. Ghanim, Separation axioms, subspaces and sums in *Fuzzy* Topology, *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, **102** (1984), 189-202.
- [4] J. A. Goguen, L-fuzzy sets, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.*, **18** (1967), 145-174.
- [5] F. Habib and K. Moinuddin, *Fuzzy*  $gp^*$ -closed sets in *Fuzzy* topological spaces, *South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **16**(2) (2019), 151-160.
- [6] F. Habib and K. Moinuddin, On *Fuzzy*  $gprw$  -closed sets in *Fuzzy* topological spaces, *Journal of the Gujarat Research Society*, **21**,(2019), 1348-1357.
- [7] F. Habib and K. Moinuddin, On *Fuzzy* Generalized Pre Regular Weakly Continuity, *South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **17**(2) (2021), 181-188.
- [8] E. E. Kerre, *Fuzzy* Sierpinski space and its generalizations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **74** (1980), 318-324.
- [9] S. J. Lee and S. M. Yun, *Fuzzy* delta separation axioms, *IEEE International conference on Fuzzy systems*, (2011), 545-602.
- [10] G. Paul, B. Das and B. Bhattacharya, Separation axioms  $(T_i, i = 0, 1, 2)$  in the light of *Fuzzy*  $\gamma^*$ -open set, *Proceedings of ICCIIT*, (2018), 631-637.
- [11] M. K. Singal and N. Prakesh, *Fuzzy* preopen sets and *Fuzzy* pre separation axioms, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, **44** (1991), 273-281.
- [12] L. A. Zadeh. *Fuzzy* sets, *information and control*, **8** (1965), 145-174.