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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present a new type of cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)$ - contraction which is a combination of cyclic $(\psi, \phi, A, B)$ - contraction and $Z$-contraction in the framework of complete partial metric space with the help of simulation function. We investigate the existence of fixed point result using cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)-$ contraction in the setting of complete partial metric space. Also we give an example to clarify the main result. 2020 Mathematical Sciences Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 54H25. Keywords and Phrases: Partial metric spaces, Simulation function, Cyclic mapping, Cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)-$ contraction.


## 1 Introduction

The idea of partial metric space was introduced by Mathews ([19]) and it is defined as the same point in partial metric does not necessarily need to be zero. In 2003, Kirk ([17]) introduced the notion of cyclic contraction. Karapinar ([14]) explored cyclic contraction in partial metric space in 2012 while Agarwal ([2]) defined a very useful cyclic generalized contractions on the complete partial metric space in the same year. Khojasteh ([16]) introduced new approach in fixed point theory by using a simulation function. This paper inspired us to find a different type of cyclic contraction in complete partial metric space. Many authors have already demonstrated different types of contractions in partial metric spaces (see $[4,5,6,7,8,11]$ ).

In this paper, we establish a cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)-$ contraction in complete partial metric space to determine a unique fixed point.

On the other hand, the concept of simulation function was established in [16] to unify the existing fixed point results.

## 2 Preliminaries

Definition $2.1([16])$. A function $\xi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions
$\left(\xi_{1}\right) \xi(0,0)=0$;
$\left(\xi_{2}\right) \xi(t, s)<t-s$ for all $t, s>0$;
$\left(\xi_{3}\right)\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{n}>0$, then $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi\left(t_{n}, s_{n}\right)<$ 0 , is called a simulation function.

Due to the axiom $\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, we have $\xi(t, t)<0$ for all $t>0$.
Example $2.1([3,16,20])$. Let $\phi_{1}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a continuous functions with $\phi_{i}(t)=0$ if and only if $t=0$. For $i=1,2,3,4,5,6$, we define the mappings $\xi_{i}:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows
(i) $\xi_{1}(t, s)=\phi_{1}(s)-\phi_{1}(t)$ for all $t, s \in[0, \infty)$, where $\phi_{1}(t)<t \leq \phi_{2}(t)$ for all $t>0$;
(ii) $\xi_{1}(t, s)=s-\frac{f(t, s)}{g(t, s)} t$ for all $t, s \in[0, \infty)$, where $f, g:[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ are two continuous functions with respect to each variable such that $f(t, s)>g(t, s)$ for all $t, s>0$;
(iii) $\xi_{3}(t, s)=s-\phi_{3}(s)-t$ for all $t, s \in[0, \infty)$;
(iv) If $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1)$ is a function such that $\lim _{\sup _{t \rightarrow r^{+}} \psi(t)<1 \text { for all } r>0 \text { and define }}$

$$
\xi_{4}(t, s)=s \psi(s)-t \text { for all } s, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

(v) If $\eta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an upper semi-continuous mapping such that $\eta(t)<t$ for all $t>0$ and $\eta(0)=0$ and define

$$
\xi_{5}(t, s)=\eta(s)-t \text { for all } s, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

(vi) If $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function such that $\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \phi(u) d u$ exists and $\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \phi(u) d u>\epsilon$ for each $\epsilon>0$ and define

$$
\xi_{6}(t, s)=s-\int_{0}^{t} \phi(u) d u \text { for all } s, t \in[0, \infty)
$$

It is clear that each function $\xi_{i}(i=1,2,3,4,5,6)$ forms a simulation function.
Definition 2.2 ([19]). A partial metric on a non empty set $X$ is a function $p: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that for all $x, y \in X$
$\left(p_{1}\right) x=y \Longleftrightarrow p(x, x)=p(y, y)=p(x, y) ;$
$\left(p_{2}\right) p(x, x) \leq p(x, y) ;$
$\left(p_{3}\right) p(x, y)=p(y, x)$;
$\left(p_{4}\right) p(x, z) \leq p(x, y)+p(y, z)-p(y, y)$.
A pair $(X, p)$ is called a partial metric space. Each partial metric on $X$ generates $T_{0}$ topology $\tau_{p}$ on $X$ which is the family of $p$-open balls $\left\{B_{p}(x, \delta): x \in X, \delta>0\right\}$, where $B_{p}(x, \delta)=\{y \in X: p(x, y)<p(x, x)+\delta\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\delta>0$. If $p$ is partial metric on $X$, then the function $d_{p}: X \times X \rightarrow R^{+}$given by $d_{p}(x, y)=2 p(x, y)-p(x, x)-p(y, y)$ is a metric on $X$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space. Then
(1) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a partial metric space $(X, p)$ converges to a point $x \in X$ if and only if

$$
p(x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x, x_{n}\right)
$$

(2) A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in a partial metric space $(X, p)$ is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)
$$

exists (finite);
(3) A partial metric space $(X, p)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ converges with respect to $\tau_{p}$ to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$
p(x, x)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)
$$

(4) A subset $A$ of a partial metric space $(X, p)$ is closed if whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $A$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to some $x \in X$, then $x \in A$.

Definition 2.4. Let $A$ and $B$ be non-empty subset of a metric space $(X, d)$ and $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$. Then $T$ is called a cyclic map if $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$.

Theorem 2.1 ([17]). Let $A$ and $B$ be non empty closed subsets of a complete metric space $(X, d)$. Suppose that $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a cyclic map such that

$$
d(T x, T y) \leq k d(x, y)
$$

If $k \in[0,1)$, then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.
To see [12], Karapinar and Erhan showed different types of cyclic contractions in usual metric space.
Definition 2.5 ([15]). The function $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called an altering distance functions if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $\phi$ is continuous and non decreasing;
(2) $\phi(t)=0$ if and only if $t=0$.

## 3 Main Results

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, p)$ be a partial metric space and $A, B$ be a non empty closed subsets of $(X, p)$. $A$ mapping $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is called cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)$-contraction if
(i) $A \cup B$ has a cyclic representation with respect to $T$, i.e) $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$;
(ii) If $\psi$ and $\phi$ are altering distance functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(\psi(p(T x, T y)), \phi(\max (p(x, T x), p(y, T y)))) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in A \text { and } y \in B \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $A, B$ be non empty closed subsets of a complete partial metric space $(X, p)$. if $T: A \cup B \rightarrow$ $A \cup B$ is a cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)$-contraction. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point $v \in A \cap B$.
Proof. Fix any $x_{0} \in A$. We choose $x_{1} \in B$, since $T(A) \subseteq B$ such that $T x_{0}=x_{1}$. Again we choose $x_{2} \in A$ such that $T x_{1}=x_{2}$, since $T(B) \subseteq A$. Continuing on this way, we construct a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ such that $x_{2 n} \in A, x_{2 n+1} \in B$, i.e) $x_{2 n+1}=T x_{2 n}$ and $x_{2 n+2}=T x_{2 n+1}$. if $x_{2 n_{0}+1}=T x_{2 n_{0}+1}$. Thus $x_{2 n_{0}+1}$ is a fixed point of $T$ in $A \cap B$.

In this above manner we assume that $x_{2 n+1} \neq x_{2 n+2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n$ is even, then $n=2 j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_{2 j+1} \neq x_{2 j+2}$ and from equation (3.1), we have

$$
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(T x_{2 j}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)\right), \phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j}, T x_{2 j}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+1}, T x_{2 j+1}\right)\right)\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

Using $\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right), \phi\left(\operatorname { m a x } \left(p \left(x_{2 j},\right.\right.\right.\right. & \left.\left.\left.\left.x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& <\phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)\right)-\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)<\phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)=p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)$,
$p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right)<p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)$,
$\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right)\right)<\phi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)$.
Since $\phi$ is non-decreasing function
$\phi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)=0$, hence $p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)=0$.
By $\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(p_{2}\right), x_{2 j+1}=x_{2 j+2}$,
which is a contradiction to our assumption

$$
\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)=p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right)
$$

From (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)<\phi\left(p\left(x_{2 j}, x_{2 j+1}\right)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n$ is odd, then $n=2 j+1$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By equation (3.1), we get

$$
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(T x_{2 j+1}, T x_{2 j+2}\right)\right), \phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, T x_{2 j+1}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+2}, T x_{2 j+2}\right)\right)\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

Using $\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right)<\phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right)\right)
$$

if

$$
\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right)=p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)
$$

i.e)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right) & <p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right) \\
\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right) & <\phi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi$ is non-decreasing function.
$\phi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right)=0$ and hence $p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)=0$, by $\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(p_{2}\right)$.
It implies that, $x_{2 j+2}=x_{2 j+3}$
which contradicts to our assumption
Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max \left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right), p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right)=p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right) \\
\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+2}, x_{2 j+3}\right)\right)<\phi\left(p\left(x_{2 j+1}, x_{2 j+2}\right)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From equation (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(p\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)\right)<\phi\left(p\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above $\left\{p\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) / n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and hence there exist $r \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=r \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (3.6) and also using the fact $\psi$ and $\phi$ are continuous, we get $\psi(r)<\phi(r)$. It gives

$$
\xi(\psi(r), \phi(r)) \geq 0, \xi(\psi(r), \phi(r))<\phi(r)-\psi(r)
$$

From $\left(\xi_{1}\right), \xi(\psi(r), \phi(r))=0$ and hence $\psi(r)=\phi(r)=0$, by altering distance function, $\psi(r)=\phi(r)=0$ iff $r=0$.
By equation (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\left(p_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $d_{p}(x, y)=2 p(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in metric space $\left(A \cup B, d_{P}\right)$. It is sufficient to show that $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(A \cup B, d_{P}\right)$. Suppose to the contrary $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in $\left(A \cup B, d_{P}\right)$ , there exist $\epsilon>0$ and two subsequences $\left\{x_{2 n(k)}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{2 m(k)}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$ with $m(k)>n(k)>k$. $m(k)$ is the smallest index in $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) \geq \epsilon \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

this means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-2}\right)<\epsilon, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

from equation (3.10), (3.11) and triangle inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon & \leq d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) \\
& \leq d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-2}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)-2}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) \\
& <\epsilon+d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)-2}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)-1}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right)=\epsilon \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again from (3.10) and we use triangle inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon & \leq d_{P}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) \\
& \leq d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)-1}, x_{2 m(k)}\right) \\
& \leq d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)}, x_{2 m(k)+1}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 m(k)}\right) \\
& \leq d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)-1}, x_{2 m(k)}\right)+2 d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 m(k)}\right) \\
& \leq 2 d_{p}\left(x_{2 n(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)+d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right)+2 d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 m(k)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and using equation (3.8), (3.10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d_{p}(x, y)=2 p(x, y)-p(x, x)-p(y, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)}\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{2 m(k)}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{\epsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By equation (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)\right)\right. & \left., \phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)}, T x_{2 m(k)}\right), p\left(x_{n(k)-2}, T x_{2 n(k)-2}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
< & \phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)}, T x_{2 m(k)}\right), p\left(x_{n(k)-2}, T x_{2 n(k)-2}\right)\right)\right)-\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)\right)<\phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)}, T x_{2 m(k)}\right), p\left(x_{n(k)-2}, T x_{2 n(k)-2}\right)\right)\right)\right.
$$

Therefore

$$
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)\right)=0\right.
$$

Also $\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 m(k)+1}, x_{2 n(k)-1}\right)\right)=0\right.$ if and only if $x_{2 m(k)+1}=x_{2 n(k)-1}$, hence $\psi\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)=0$ iff $\frac{\epsilon}{2}=0$ and $\epsilon=0$. It is a contradiction to our assumption, thus $\left\{x_{2 n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(A \cup B, d_{p}\right)$. Since $(X, d)$ is complete and $A \cup B$ is a closed subspace of $(X, p)$, then $(A \cup B, p)$ is complete. Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges in the metric space $\left(A \cup B, d_{p}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{n}, v\right)=0
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(v, v)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, v\right)=\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in $\left(A \cup B, d_{p}\right)$ and $(A \cup B, p)$ if and only if it is Cauchy in $\left(A \cup B, d_{p}\right)$ and $(A \cup B, p)$ is complete iff $\left(A \cup B, d_{p}\right)$ is complete.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)=0 \\
d_{p}\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=2 p\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)-p\left(x_{m}, x_{m}\right)-p\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

As $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ and using equation (3.9) and equation (3.15) in the above we get

$$
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_{p}\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=2 p\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

By equation (3.14), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, v\right)=p(v, v)=0
$$

Since $p\left(x_{2 n}, v\right) \rightarrow 0, x_{2 n}$ is belongs to $A$ and $A$ is closed in $(X, p), v \in A$, ie) $v \in A \cap B$.
From definition of $p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{n}, T v\right) & \leq p\left(x_{n}, v\right)+p(v, T v)-p(v, v) \\
& \leq p\left(x_{n}, v\right)+p\left(v, x_{n}\right)+p\left(x_{n}, T v\right)-p(v, v)-p\left(x_{n}, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p\left(x_{n}, T v\right)=p(v, T v)
$$

Now, we claim that $T v=v$.
Since $x_{2 n} \in A$ and $v \in B$ by equation (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi\left(\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 n+1}, T v\right), \phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 n}, T x_{2 n}\right), p(v, T v)\right)\right)\right)\right) & <\phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 n}, T x_{2 n}\right), p(v, T v)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 n+1}, T v\right)\right)\right) \\
\psi\left(p\left(x_{2 n+1}, T v\right)\right) & \leq \phi\left(\max \left(p\left(x_{2 n}, T x_{2 n}\right), p(v, T v)\right)\right) \\
& =\phi(p(v, T v))
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi$ is an altering distance function, $\phi(v, T v)=0 \Longleftrightarrow p(v, T v)=0$,
ie) $T v=v$.
Hence $v$ is a fixed point of $T$.
To prove uniqueness:
Let $w$ be any other fixed point of $T$ in $A \cap B$.It is easy to prove $p(v, w)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(\psi(p(T v, T w), \phi(\max (p(v, T v), p(w, T w))))) & <\phi(\max (p(v, T v), p(w, T w)) \\
& -\psi(p(T v, T w) \\
\psi(p(T v, T w) & \leq \phi(\max (p(v, T v), p(w, T w)))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\psi(p(T v, T w))=0$ and hence $p(T v, T w)=0, p(v, w)=0$. Hence $v=w$.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, the main result determines a fixed point using cyclic $(\psi, \phi, Z)$ - contraction in partial metric spaces. Suppose, if we use this contraction in quasi-partial metric space, it satisfies the conditions (QPM1), (QPM2), (QPM3), (QPM4) in [13]. As a result, this contraction has a unique fixed point in quasi-partial metric space as well.
Acknowledgement. Authors are very much thankful to the Editor and Reviewer for their valuable suggestions to bring the paper in its present form.
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