

PPF AEPENDENCE AIXED AOINT AESULTS AVER A*-ALGEBRA-VALUED AETRIC APACE

R. Jahir Hussain, K Manoj and D. Dhamodharan

PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College(Autonomous)(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India

Email: hssn-jhr@yahoo.com, manojguru542@gmail.com, tharan-raj28@yahoo.co.in

(Received: August 03, 2022; In format: September 01, 2022; Revised: November 25, 2022; Accepted: November 27, 2022)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58250/jnanabha.2022.52230>

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the existence of *PPF*(Past,Present,Future) dependent fixed point results via using C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces. Also we use Banach contraction to find a *PPF* dependent fixed point in the setting of C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces.

2020 Mathematical Sciences Classification: 46N20,47H09, 47H10.

Keywords and Phrases: *PPF* dependent fixed point, C^* -Algebra-valued metric space, Razumikhin class, Algebraically closed.

1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental results in fixed point theory is the Banach contraction principle. This approach is used to determine a unique metric fixed point. The result of Banach contraction is significant in developing the fixed point theorem, as well as the uniqueness and existence of fixed points.

Bernfeld et al. [5] introduced the *PPF* (past, present, future) concept, which they defined with several domains and ranges. In the Razumikhin class, they also proposed the concept of Banach type contraction for non-self mappings of *PPF* dependent fixed points. *PPF* dependent fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces was established by Dirci et al [8]. Several mathematicians have induced a different type of mapping and have also produced significant results in the search for a fixed point in *PPF* dependency and also in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces; for more information, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

The idea of *PPF* dependent fixed point in the setting of C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces is discussed in this work.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a non-empty set. Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a C^* -algebra valued metric space with the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $[a, b]$ in \mathbb{R} . Let E_0 be the set of all continuous C^* -algebra-valued metric space on $[a, b]$ equipped with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{E_0}$, defined by

$$\|\phi\|_{E_0} = \sup_{t \in I} \|\phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $\phi \in E_0$. For a fixed element $c \in [a, b]$, the Razumikhin class of mappings in E_0 is defined by

$$R_c = \{\phi \in E_0 : \|\phi\|_{E_0} = \|\phi(c)\|_{\mathcal{B}}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.1 ([5]). Let $\mathcal{T} : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping. A function $\phi \in E_0$ is said to be *PPF* dependent fixed point of \mathcal{T} , if $\mathcal{T}\phi = \phi(c)$ for some $c \in [a, b]$.

Definition 2.2 ([5]). Let R_c be Razumikhin class of continuous functions in E_0 . we say that

- (i) The class R_c is algebraically closed with respect to the difference if $\phi - \psi \in R_c$, whenever $\phi, \psi \in R_c$,
- (ii) the class R_c is topologically closed if it is closed with respect to the topology on E_0 by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{E_0}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([4]). Let R_c be the Razumikhin class of functions in E_0 and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a real banach space it simply \mathcal{B} . Then

- (i) $E_0 = \cup_{c \in [a, b]} R_c$
- (ii) for any $\phi \in R_c$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\alpha\phi \in R_c$,
- (iii) the Razumikhin class R_c is topologically closed with respect to the norm defined on E_0 ,
- (iv) $\cap_{c \in [a, b]} R_c = \{\phi \in E_0 / \phi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ is constant}\}$.

Clearly every constant function from I to \mathcal{B} belongs to R_c .

Definition 2.3 ([5]). Let $\mathcal{T} : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping and if it is called Banach type contraction if there exist $s \in [0, 1)$ such that $\|\mathcal{T}\phi - \mathcal{T}\psi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq s\|\phi - \psi\|_{E_0}$.

Definition 2.4 ([14]). Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

- (i) $\theta \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta \iff x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then (X, \mathcal{B}, d) is called C^* -algebra -valued metric space.

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). Let $\mathcal{T} : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Banach type contraction. Let R_c be algebraically closed with respect to the difference and topologically closed. Then \mathcal{T} has a unique PPF dependent fixed point in R_c .

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, d) be complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and E_0 is set of all continuous C^* -valued mapping equipped with $[a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. A mapping $T : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is non-decreasing. We make the following assumptions:

- (i) for any $\phi, \psi \in E_0$, $\|A\|^2 < 1$ and $\phi \leq \psi$ such that

$$d(\mathcal{T}\phi, \mathcal{T}\psi) \leq A^*d(\phi, \psi)A;$$
- (ii) there exists a lower solution ϕ_0 such that $\phi_0(c) \leq T\phi_0$ for some $c \in [a, b]$.

Then there exists a fixed point $\hat{\lambda}$ such that $\hat{\lambda}(c) = \mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}$, $c \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Let us take $\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = x_1$, where $x_1 \in \mathcal{B}$. Choose $\phi_1 \in E_0$ such that $x_1 = \phi_1(c)$, $c \in [a, b]$ and $\phi_1 \geq \phi_0$. Since ϕ_0 is a lower solution

$$\implies d(\phi_1(c), \phi_0(c)) = d(\phi_1, \phi_0).$$

Then $\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = \phi_1(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_1$, since \mathcal{T} is non-decreasing. Again $\mathcal{T}\phi_1 = x_2$, $x_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Also choose $\phi_2 \in E_0$ such that $x_2 = \phi_2(c)$, $\phi_2 \geq \phi_1$.

$$\implies d(\phi_2(c), \phi_1(c)) = d(\phi_2, \phi_1).$$

Then $\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = \phi_1(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_1 = \phi_2(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_2$, continuing this process, we have a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}\phi_0 = \phi_1(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_1 = \phi_2(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_2 \leq \dots \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_n = \phi_{n+1}(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_{n+1}, \\ \phi_0 \leq \phi_1 \leq \dots \leq \phi_n \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \dots \end{aligned}$$

Also, $d(\phi_n(c), \phi_{n-1}(c)) = d(\phi_n, \phi_{n-1})$.

Assume that R_c is algebraically closed with respect to the difference.

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_{n+1}, \phi_n) &= d(\phi_{n+1}(c), \phi_n(c)), c \in [a, b] \\ &= d(\mathcal{T}\phi_n, \mathcal{T}\phi_{n-1}) \\ &\leq A^*d(\phi_n, \phi_{n-1})A \\ &= A^*d(\phi_n(c), \phi_{n-1}(c))A \\ &= A^*d(\mathcal{T}\phi_{n-1}, \mathcal{T}\phi_{n-2})A \\ &\leq (A^*)^2d(\phi_{n-1}, \phi_{n-2})(A)^2 \\ &\vdots \\ d(\phi_{n+1}, \phi_n) &\leq (A^*)^n d(\phi_1, \phi_0)(A)^n \\ &= (A^*)^n D(A)^n. \end{aligned}$$

where $D = d(\phi_1, \phi_0)$. Next, we prove $\mathcal{T}\phi_n$ is Cauchy in \mathcal{B} . Let $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_m, \phi_n) &= d(\phi_m(c), \phi_n(c)) \\ &= d(\mathcal{T}\phi_m, \mathcal{T}\phi_n) \\ &\leq d(\mathcal{T}\phi_m, \mathcal{T}\phi_{m-1}) + d(\mathcal{T}\phi_{m-1}, \mathcal{T}\phi_{m-2}) + d(\mathcal{T}\phi_{m-2}, \mathcal{T}\phi_{m-3}) + \dots + d(\mathcal{T}\phi_{n+1}, \mathcal{T}\phi_n) \\ &\leq (A^*)^{m-1}D(A)^{m-1} + (A^*)^{m-2}D(A)^{m-2} + (A^*)^{m-3}D(A)^{m-3} + \dots + (A^*)^nD(A)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (A^*)^i D A^i \\
&= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (A^*)^i D^{1/2} D^{1/2} A^i \\
&= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (A^i D^{1/2})^* (A^i D^{1/2}) \\
&= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} |A^i D^{1/2}|^2 \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} |A^i D^{1/2}|^2 \right\| I \\
&\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \|D^{1/2}\|^2 \|A^i\|^2 I \\
&\leq \|D^{1/2}\|^2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \|A^i\|^2 I \\
&= \|D^{1/2}\|^2 \left[\|A\|^{2n} + \|A\|^{2(n+1)} + \dots + \|A\|^{2(m-1)} \right] I \\
&= \|D^{1/2}\|^2 \left[\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{2(m-1)} \right] \text{ where } \lambda = \|A\|^2 I \\
&= \|D^{1/2}\|^2 \left[\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} \right] I \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it concludes that $\mathcal{T}\phi_n$ is Cauchy sequence. By the completeness of (X, B, d) , we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = \hat{\lambda}$ for some $\phi \in E_0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}\phi_n = \mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n+1}(c), c \in [a, b].$$

To prove $\hat{\lambda}$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{T} . Also \mathcal{T} is continuous at $\hat{\lambda}$. Given $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(\mathcal{T}\phi_{n+1}, \mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(\phi_{n+1}, \hat{\lambda}) < \delta$. Since $\mathcal{T}\phi_n \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}(c)$ for any $r = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \delta\}$ and there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(\mathcal{T}\phi_n, \hat{\lambda}(c)) < r \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

$$\text{Thus } d(\mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}(c)) \leq d(\mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}, \mathcal{T}\phi_n) + d(\mathcal{T}\phi_n, \hat{\lambda}(c)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + r < \epsilon.$$

As ϵ is arbitrary, we get

$$d(\mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}(c)) = \theta.$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}(c)$, $\hat{\lambda}$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{T} .

Now suppose $\hat{\gamma} \neq \hat{\lambda}$ another fixed point of \mathcal{T} .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \|d(\hat{\lambda}(c), \hat{\gamma}(c))\| = \|d(\mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}, \mathcal{T}\hat{\gamma})\| \\
&\leq \|A^* d(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma})\| \\
&\leq \|A^*\| \|d(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma})\| \|A\| \\
&= \|A\|^2 \|d(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma})\|, \text{ where } \|A\|^2 \in (0, 1) \\
&< \|d(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma})\| \\
\|d(\hat{\lambda}(c), \hat{\gamma}(c))\| &< \|d(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma})\|.
\end{aligned}$$

This is impossible, since $\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\gamma} \in E_0$ and R_c is Razumikhin class such that $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\gamma}$.

Example 3.1. Consider $X = \mathbb{R}, E_0 = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{B} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}^+, \|A\| \leq 1$. Define $\mathcal{T}\phi = \phi(1/2)$ and $\phi(t) = t - \frac{1}{4}$, $\mathcal{B}^+ = \{\phi \in \mathbb{R}; \phi \geq 0\}$, the metric defined as $d(\phi, \psi) = \text{diag}(|\phi - \psi|, \alpha|\phi - \psi|), \alpha > 0$. Therefore (X, \mathcal{B}, d) is

complete.

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\mathcal{T}\phi, \mathcal{T}\psi) &= A^* \begin{bmatrix} |\mathcal{T}\phi - \mathcal{T}\psi| & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha|\mathcal{T}\phi - \mathcal{T}\psi| \end{bmatrix} A \\
&\leq A^* \begin{bmatrix} \|\phi(1/2) - \psi(1/2)\|_E & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha\|\phi(1/2) - \psi(1/2)\|_E \end{bmatrix} A \\
&\leq A^* \begin{bmatrix} \|\phi - \psi\|_{E_0} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha\|\phi - \psi\|_{E_0} \end{bmatrix} A \\
&= A^* d(\phi, \psi) A.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, theorem 3.1 is satisfied and $\frac{1}{2}$ is a unique PPF dependent fixed point.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, d)$ be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and E_0 is set of all continuous C^* -valued mapping equipped with $[a, b]$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. A non decreasing mapping $\mathcal{T} : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and We make the following assumptions:

- (i) For any $\phi, \psi \in E_0, \|A\| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi \leq \psi$ such that $d(\mathcal{T}\phi, \mathcal{T}\psi) \leq A(d(\phi, \mathcal{T}\psi) + d(\psi, \mathcal{T}\phi))$,
- (ii) There exist a lower solution ϕ_0 such that $\phi_0(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_0$ for some $c \in [a, b]$.

Then there exist a fixed point $\hat{\lambda}$ such that $\hat{\lambda}(c) = \mathcal{T}\hat{\lambda}, c \in [a, b]$

Proof. Without loss of generality $A \neq 0$. Note that $A \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and $A(d(\phi, \mathcal{T}\psi) + d(\psi, \mathcal{T}\phi))$ is a positive element. Let us take $\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = x_1$, where $x_1 \in \mathcal{B}$. Choose $\phi_1 \in E_0$ such that $x_1 = \phi_1(c), c \in [a, b]$ and $\phi_1 \geq \phi_0$. Since ϕ_0 is a lower solution

$$\implies d(\phi_1(c), \phi_0(c)) = d(\phi_1, \phi_0).$$

Then $\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = \phi_1(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_1$, since \mathcal{T} is non-decreasing. Again $\mathcal{T}\phi_1 = x_2, x_2 \in \mathcal{B}$. Also choose $\phi_2 \in E_0$ such that $x_2 = \phi_2(c), \phi_2 \geq \phi_1$.

Then $\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = \phi_1(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_1 = \phi_2(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_2$, continuing this process, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}\phi_0 = \phi_1(c) &\leq \mathcal{T}\phi_1 = \phi_2(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_2 \leq \dots \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_n = \phi_{n+1}(c) \leq \mathcal{T}\phi_{n+1}, \\
\phi_0 &\leq \phi_1 \leq \dots \leq \phi_n \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d(\phi_n(c), \phi_{n-1}(c)) = d(\phi_n, \phi_{n-1})$.

Assume that R_c is algebraically closed with respect to the difference

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\phi_{n+1}, \phi_n) &= d(\phi_{n+1}(c), \phi_n(c)), c \in [a, b] \\
&= d(\mathcal{T}\phi_n, \mathcal{T}\phi_{n-1}) \\
&\leq A(d(\mathcal{T}\phi_n, \phi_n) + d(\mathcal{T}\phi_{n-1}, \phi_{n-1})) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{A}{1-A} \right) d(\phi_n, \phi_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{B}^+$ with $\|A\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Also $\frac{A}{1-A} \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and is less than 1.

$$d(\phi_{n+1}, \phi_n) \leq H d(\phi_n, \phi_{n-1}), \text{ where } H = \frac{A}{1-A}.$$

On continuing this process we get $d(\phi_{n+1}, \phi_n) \leq H^n d(\phi_1, \phi_0)$. To prove $\mathcal{T}\phi_n$ is cauchy sequence in \mathcal{B} . Let $n+1 > m$. Here $D = d(\mathcal{T}\phi(c), \mathcal{T}\phi_0(c))$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\phi_{n+1}, \phi_m) &= d(\phi_{n+1}(c), \phi_m(c)) \\
&= d(\mathcal{T}\phi_n, \mathcal{T}\phi_{m-1}) \\
&\leq (H^{n-1} + H^{n-2} + \dots + H^m) D \\
&= \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} (H^{k/2} D^{1/2})^* (H^{k/2} D^{1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |H^{k/2} D^{1/2}|^2 \\
&\leq \|D^{1/2}\|^2 \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \frac{\|H\|^m}{1 - \|H\|} I \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By the completeness of (X, B, d) , then we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = \hat{\lambda}$ for some $\phi \in E_0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T} \phi_n = \mathcal{T} \hat{\lambda} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n+1}(c), c \in [a, b].$$

To prove $\hat{\lambda}$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{T} . Also \mathcal{T} is continuous at $\hat{\lambda}$. Given $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $d(\mathcal{T} \phi_{n+1}, \mathcal{T} \hat{\lambda}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ whenever $d(\phi_{n+1}, \hat{\lambda}) < \delta$. Since $\mathcal{T} \phi_n \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}(c)$ for any $r = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \delta\}$ and there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(\mathcal{T} \phi_n, \hat{\lambda}(c)) < r \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus

$$d(\mathcal{T} \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}(c)) < \epsilon.$$

As ϵ is arbitrary, we get

$$d(\mathcal{T} \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}(c)) = \theta.$$

Hence $\mathcal{T} \hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}(c)$, $\hat{\lambda}$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{T} .

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, d) be a C^* -algebra valued metric space and E_0 is set of all continuous C^* -valued mapping equipped with $[a, b]$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. A non decreasing mapping $\mathcal{T} : E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and We make the following assumptions:

- (i) For any $\phi, \psi \in E_0$, $\|A\| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi \leq \psi$ such that
$$d(\mathcal{T} \phi, \mathcal{T} \psi) \leq A(d(\phi, \mathcal{T} \psi) + d(\psi, \mathcal{T} \phi))$$
- (ii) There exists a lower solution ϕ_0 such that $\phi_0(c) \leq \mathcal{T} \phi_0$ for some $c \in [a, b]$.

Then there exists a fixed point $\hat{\lambda}$ such that $\hat{\lambda}(c) = \mathcal{T} \hat{\lambda}$, $c \in [a, b]$.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we concluded that the PPF (past, present, future) dependent fixed point results in C^* -algebra valued metric space using different contraction. This paper can be extended to Banach algebra instead of C^* -algebra valued metric space.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the Editor and Referees for their fruitful suggestions to improve the paper in present form.

References

- [1] M. Asim and M. Imdad, C^* - algebra valued symmetric spaces and fixed point results with an application, *The Korean Journal of Mathematics*, **28**(1) (2020), 17-30.
- [2] M. Asim and M. Imdad, C^* - algebra valued extended b -metric spaces and fixed point results with an application, *University Polytechnica of Bucharest Scientific Bulletin, Series A* **82**(1) (2020), 207-218.
- [3] H. H. Alsulami, R. P. Agarwal, E. Karapinar and F. Khojasteh, A short note on C^* -valued contraction mappings, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **50** (2016), 1-3.
- [4] G. V. R. Babu, G. Satyanarayana and M. Vinod Kumar, Properties of Razumikhin class of functions and PPF dependent fixed point of Weakly contractive type mappings, *Bulletin of the international mathematical Virtual Institute*, **9**(1) (2019), 65-72.
- [5] S. R. Bernfeld, V. Lakshmikantham and Y. M. Reddy, Fixed point theorems of operators with PPF dependence in Banach spaces, *Applicable Analysis*, **6**(4) (1977), 271280.
- [6] B. C. Dhage, On some common fixed point theorems with PPF dependence in Banach spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Its Applications*, **5**(3) (2012), 220232.
- [7] B. C. Dhage, Fixed point theorems with PPF dependence and functional differential equations, *Fixed Point Theory*, **13**(2) (2012), 439452.

- [8] Z. Drici, F. A. McRae and J. Vasundhara Devi, Fixed-point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces for operators with PPF dependence, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **67**(2) (2007), 641647.
- [9] Z. Drici, F. A. McRae, and J. Vasundhara Devi, Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators with PPF dependence, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **69**(2) (2008), 632636.
- [10] A. Farajzadeh, A. Kaewcharoen and Anchalee. (2014). On fixed point theorems for mappings with PPF dependence, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **372** (2014), 1-14.
- [11] A. Kaewcharoen, PPF dependent common fixed point theorems for mappings in Banach spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2013**(287) (2013), 1-14.
- [12] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenovi, Fixed point results in C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces are direct consequences of their standard metric counterparts, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **53** (2016), 1-6.
- [13] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, PPF dependent fixed point theorems for rational type contraction mappings in Banach spaces, *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, **4**(2) (2013), 157162.
- [14] Z. Ma, J. Lining and H. Sun, C^* -algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **206** (1) (2014), 1-11.
- [15] N Mlaiki, M Asim and M Imdad, C^* -algebra-valued partial b-metric spaces and fixed point results with an application, *Mathematics*, **8**(1381) (2020), 1-11.