

UNIQUENESS OF ALGEBROID FUNCTIONS IN CONNECTION TO NEVANLINNA'S FIVE-VALUE THEOREM

By

Ashok Rathod

Department of Mathematics

KLE Society's G I Bagewadi Arts Science and Commerce College, Nippani - 591237, India

S. H. Naveenkumar

Department of Mathematics

GITAM University, Bangalore-562163, India

Email: ashokmrmaths@gmail.com and naveenkumarsh.220@gmail.com

(Received : July 03, 2019 ; Revised: December 19, 2020)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58250/jnanabha.2020.50220>

Abstract

In this paper, we prove a uniqueness theorem for derivatives of algebroid functions which improve and generalize the Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for algebroid functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30D35.

Keywords and phrases: Value Distribution Theory; Nevanlinna theory; algebroid functions, uniqueness.

1 Introduction

The value distribution theory of meromorphic functions was extended to the corresponding theory of algebroid functions by Ullarich [27] and Valiron [28] around 1930, and important results on uniqueness for algebroid functions have been obtained. It is well known that Valiron obtained a famous $4\nu + 1$ -valued theorem. The uniqueness theory of algebroid functions is an interesting problem in the value distribution theory. Many researchers like Valiron [28], Baganas [1], He et al. [11, 12] and others have done a lot of work in this area (see [1], [4]-[6], [9]-[26], [30], [31]). In this paper, we discuss a result of Indrajit Lahiri and Rupa Pal [13] on the Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions for Nevanlinna's five values theorem to algebroid functions

Let $A_\nu(z), A_{\nu-1}(z), \dots, A_0(z)$ be analytic functions with no common zeros in the complex plane, then the following equation

$$(1.1) \quad A_\nu(z)W^\nu + A_{\nu-1}(z)W^{\nu-1} + \dots + A_1(z)W + A_0(z) = 0.$$

Then equation (1.1) defines a ν -valued algebroid function $W(z)$ [29].

It is well known from [12] that on the complex plane with a cutting the projection of the critical points of the function W , the Nevanlinna characteristic $T(r, W)$ is defined as

$$T(r, W) = m(r, W) + N(r, W),$$

where

$$m(r, W) = \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |w_j(re^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

$$N(r, W) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, W) - n(0, W)}{t} dt + \frac{n(0, W)}{\nu} \log r,$$

where $w_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \nu$) is one valued branch $W(z)$ and $n(t, W)$ is the counting function of poles of the function of $W(z)$ in the whole of the complex plane. Let $w_i(z)$ and $m_j(z)$ be one valued branches of two algebroid (μ -valued and ν -valued) functions. It follows from Prokopyov [16] that we consider their quotient in the domain of the complex plane with cutting through the projection of the critical points of both functions. The one-valued branches of the function W/M ($W.M$) will be defined by w_i/m_j ($w_i.m_j$), where $1 \leq i \leq \mu$, $1 \leq j \leq \nu$. The Nevanlinna characteristic $T(r, W/M)$ or $T(r, W.M)$ is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, W.M) &= \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \mu; 1 \leq j \leq \nu} m(r, w_i(z).m_j(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \mu; 1 \leq j \leq \nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^+ |w_i(z).m_j(z)| d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \left(\nu \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^+ |w_i(z)| d\theta + \mu \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^+ |m_j(z)| d\theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^+ |w_i(z)| d\theta + \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log^+ |m_j(z)| d\theta \\
&= m(r, W) + m(r, M),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
N(r, W.M) &= \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, W.M)}{t} dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \left(\nu \int_0^r \frac{n(t, W.M)}{t} dt + \mu \int_0^r \frac{n(t, W.M)}{t} dt \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, W.M)}{t} dt + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, W.M)}{t} dt \\
&= N(r, W) + N(r, M).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T(r, W.M) \leq T(r, W) + T(r, M)$.

Similarly $T(r, W/M) \leq T(r, W) + T(r, M)$.

Let $W(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroid function and $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be any complex number. $\overline{E}_k(W = a)$ denotes the set of zeros of $W(z) - a$, whose multiplicities are not greater than k . $\overline{n}_k(W = a)$ denotes the number of distinct zeros of $W(z) - a$ in $|z| \leq r$, whose multiplicities are not greater than k and are counted only once. Similarly, we define the functions $\overline{n}_{(k+1)}(r, W = a)$, $\overline{N}_k(r, W = a)$ and $\overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, W = a)$.

Lemma 1.1 [9] *Let $W(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroid function and $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^q \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be q distinct complex numbers and let $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^q \subset \mathbb{N}$ be q positive integers. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(q - 2\nu)T(r, W) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{k_j}{k_j+1} \overline{N}_{k_j}(r, W = a_j) + \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{k_j+1} N(r, W = a_j) + S(r, W), \\
\left(q - 2\nu - \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{k_j+1} \right) T(r, W) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{k_j}{k_j+1} \overline{N}_{k_j}(r, W = a_j) + N(r, W = a_j) + S(r, W).
\end{aligned}$$

In 2006 Zu-Xing Xuan and Zong-Sheng Gao [29] improved the Nevanlinna Five Value Theorem for algebroid functions in the following manner.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $W(z)$ and $M(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions, let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu+1$) be $4\nu+1$ distinct complex numbers in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. If*

$$\overline{E}_{2\nu+1}(a_j, W) = \overline{E}_{2\nu+1}(a_j, M) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 2\nu+1)$$

and

$$\overline{E}_{2\nu}(a_j, W) = \overline{E}_{2\nu}(a_j, M) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu+1),$$

then $W(z) = M(z)$.

Definition 1.1 *For $B \subset \mathbb{A}$ and $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote by $\overline{N}_B(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the reduced counting function of those zeros of $f - a$ on \mathbb{A} , which belong to the set B .*

In 2018 Rathod [20] proved the following theorem for algebroid functions

Theorem 1.2 *Let $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions, let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) be $q \geq 4\nu+1$ distinct complex numbers or ∞ . Suppose that $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_q, m$ are positive integers or ∞ ; $1 \leq m \leq q$ and $\delta_j (\geq 0) (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ are such that*

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{k_m} \right) \sum_{j=m}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} + 3\nu + \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_j < (q - m - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_m} \right) + m.$$

Let $B_j = \overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, f) \setminus \overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, g)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$. If

$$\overline{N}_{B_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_1 - a_j}) \leq \delta_j T(r, W_1)$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{k_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_1 - a_j})}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{k_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_2 - a_j})} > \frac{\nu k_m}{(1 + k_m) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{k_j+1} - 2\nu(1 + k_m) + (m - 2\nu - \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_j) k_m}$$

then $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$.

2 Main Results

In the paper we wish to further investigate the problem on the Nevanlinna's five value theorem for algebroid functions. To state our main Theorem, we wish to introduce the following **Lemma 2.1**.

Lemma 2.1 Let $W(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroid function and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q be $q(\geq 2\nu + 1)$ distinct complex numbers. If for a non-negative integer n , $E(0; W) \subseteq E(0, W^{(n)})$, then

$$(q - 2\nu + o(1))T(r, W) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right).$$

Proof. By Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem for algebroid functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad T(r, W) &= T\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{W^{(n)}}{W}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)}}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + T(r, W^{(n)}) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)}}\right) + S(r, W). \end{aligned}$$

By the Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem for algebroid functions, we get

$$(q - 1)T(r, W^{(n)}) \leq \bar{N}(r, W^{(n)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)}}\right) + S(r, W).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_q = 0$. Otherwise a suitable linear transformation is done. Then the above inequality reduces to

$$(2.2) \quad (q - 1)T(r, W^{(n)}) \leq \bar{N}(r, W^{(n)}) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + S(r, W).$$

Using (2.2) in (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (q - 1)T(r, W) &\leq (q - 1)T\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + \bar{N}(r, W^{(n)}) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \\ &\quad - (q - 1)N\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)}}\right) + S(r, W). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad (q - 1)T(r, W) &\leq (q - 1)T\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + \bar{N}(r, W) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \\ &\quad - (q - 1)N\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)}}\right) + S(r, W). \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(0, W) \subseteq E(0, W^{(n)})$, we have from (2.3)

$$(q - 1)T(r, W) \leq \bar{N}(r, W) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + S(r, W).$$

Hence

$$(q - 2\nu + o(1))T(r, W) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W^{(n)} - a_j}\right).$$

This completes the proof of the **Lemma 2.1**.

In this paper we wish to obtain a generalization of **Theorem 1.2**. Now we state and prove our main result in the following way.

Theorem 2.1 Let $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions, let $a_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ be $q \geq 4\nu + 1$ distinct complex numbers or ∞ . Suppose that $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_q$ are positive integers or ∞ and $\delta_j (\geq 0) (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ are such that

$$\frac{1}{k_1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_m}\right) \sum_{j=2\nu}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} + 1 + \delta < \frac{q-2\nu}{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_1}\right).$$

for a non-negative integer n . Let $B_j = \bar{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_1) \setminus \bar{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_2)$ for $j = 1, 2\nu, \dots, q$ and $E(0, W_i) \subseteq E(0, W_i^{(n)})$ for $i = 1, 2$. If

$$\bar{N}_{B_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \leq \delta_j T(r, W_1^{(n)})$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right)} > \frac{(n+1)k_1}{(p-2\nu)(1+k_1) - (n+1)(1+k_1) \sum_{j=2\nu}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} - (n+1)\{(1+\delta)k_1 + 1\}},$$

then $W_1^{(n)}(z) \equiv W_2^{(n)}(z)$.

Proof. By **Lemma 2.1**, we have

$$(2.4) \quad (q - 2\nu + o(1))T(r, W_1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad (q - 2\nu + o(1))T(r, W_2) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right).$$

From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q - 2\nu + o(1))T(r, W_1) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \left\{ \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + \bar{N}_{(k_j+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \left\{ \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + \frac{1}{1+k_j} N_{(k_j+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \left\{ \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + \frac{1}{1+k_j} N\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} T\left(r, W_1^{(n)}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} T\left(r, W_1^{(n)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(q - 2\nu - (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} + o(1))T(r, W_1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right).$$

Similarly from (2.5), we get

$$(q - 2\nu - (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} + o(1))T(r, W_2) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right).$$

Let $B_j = \bar{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_1^{(n)}) \setminus A_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{A_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{B_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \\ &\leq \delta T(r, W_1^{(n)}) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - W_2^{(n)}}\right) \\ &\leq (1 + \delta)(n+1)T(r, W_1) + (n+1)T(r, W_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(q - 2\nu - (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} + o(1) \right) \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \\ &\leq (1 + \delta)(n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{k_j}{1+k_j} \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \geq \frac{k_1}{k_1+1} \geq \frac{k_2}{k_2+1} \geq \dots \geq \frac{k_q}{k_q+1} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we get from the above inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(q - 2\nu - (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} + o(1) \right) \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \\ &\leq (1 + \delta)(n+1) \frac{k_1}{1+k_1} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) + (n+1) \frac{k_1}{1+k_1} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since that implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(q - 2\nu - (n+1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} - (1 + \delta)(n+1) \frac{k_1}{1+k_1} + o(1) \right) \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right) \\ &\leq (n+1) \frac{k_1}{1+k_1} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_1 - a_j})}{\sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}_{k_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_2 - a_j})} \\ &\leq \frac{(n+1)k_1}{(q - 2\nu)(1 + k_1) - (n+1)(1 + k_1) \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} - (n+1)\{(1 + \delta)k_1\}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{(n+1)k_1}{(q-2\nu)(1+k_1) - (n+1)(1+k_1) \sum_{j=2\nu}^q \frac{1}{1+k_j} - (n+1)\{(1+\delta)k_1 + 1\}}.$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, we have $W_1^{(n)}(z) \not\equiv W_2^{(n)}(z)$.

Therefore we complete the proof of **Theorem 2.1**.

From **Theorem 2.1**, we can get the following consequences.

Corollary 2.1 Let $k_j = \infty$ for $j = 1, 2\nu, \dots, q$ and

$$\gamma = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right)}{\overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right)} > \frac{n+1}{q - (n+2\nu+1)}.$$

If $\overline{N}_{A_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}) \leq \delta_j T(r, W_1^{(n)})$ where $\delta(\geq 0)$ satisfy $0 \leq \delta_j < \frac{q-(n+2\nu+1)}{n+1} - \frac{1}{\gamma}$.

If we assume $E_\infty(a_j, W_1^{(n)}) \subseteq E_\infty(a_j, W_2^{(n)})$, then $A_j = \phi$ for $j = 1, 2\nu, \dots, q$ and so we can choose $\delta = 0$.

Therefore **Theorem 2.1** is an improvement of following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 Let $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions, let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) be $q \geq 4\nu+1$ distinct complex numbers or ∞ . and for a non-negative integer n , $E_\infty(a_j, W_1^{(n)}) \subseteq E_\infty(a_j, W_2^{(n)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$, $E_\infty(0, W_1) \subseteq E_\infty(0, W_1^{(n)})$, $E_\infty(0, W_2) \subseteq E_\infty(0, W_2^{(n)})$ and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1^{(n)} - a_j}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2^{(n)} - a_j}\right)} > \frac{(n+1)}{q - (n+2\nu+1)},$$

then $W_1^{(n)}(z) \equiv W_2^{(n)}(z)$.

Corollary 2.2 Let $n = 0$, $k_j = \infty$ for $j = 1, 2\nu, \dots, q$ and

$$\gamma = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1 - a_j}\right)}{\overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2 - a_j}\right)} > \frac{1}{q - 2\nu + 1}.$$

If $\overline{N}_{B_j}(r, \frac{1}{W_1 - a_j}) \leq \delta_j T(r, W_1)$ where $\delta(\geq 0)$ satisfy $0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \delta_j < k - (2\nu+1) - \frac{1}{\gamma}$, then $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$. If we take $q = 4\nu+1$ and $\overline{E}(a_j, f) \subseteq \overline{E}(a_j, g)$, then $A_j = \phi$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu+1$. Therefore, if we choose $\delta_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu+1$ and take any constant γ , such that $0 \leq 2\nu - \frac{1}{\gamma}$ in **Corollary 2.2**; we can get that $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$. Especially, if $q = 4\nu+1$ and $\overline{E}(a_j, W_1) = \overline{E}(a_j, W_2)$, then $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu+1$. We can obtain $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$. Then **Corollary 2.2** is an improvement of **Theorem 1.1**.

Corollary 2.3 Let $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions, let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) be $q \geq 5$ distinct complex numbers or ∞ . Suppose that k_1, k_2, \dots, k_q are positive integers or ∞ ; with $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_q$ if $\overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_1) \subseteq \overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_2)$ and :

$$\sum_{j=2\nu}^q \frac{k_j}{k_j+1} - \frac{k_1}{\gamma(k_1+1)} - 2\nu > 0,$$

where γ is stated as in Corollary 2.2; then $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$.

Corollary 2.4 Under the assumptions of **Corollary 2.2**, $\overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_1) = \overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, W_2)$ and :

$$\sum_{j=2\nu}^q \frac{k_j}{k_j+1} - \frac{k_1}{(k_1+1)} - 2\nu > 0,$$

Corollary 2.5 Let $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions, let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$) be $q \geq 5$ distinct complex numbers or ∞ . Suppose that k_1, k_2, \dots, k_q are positive integers or ∞ ; with $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_q$ if $\overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, f) \subseteq \overline{E}_{k_j}(a_j, g)$ and :

$$\sum_{j=2\nu}^q \frac{k_j}{k_j+1} - 2\nu + \frac{(m-2\nu-\frac{1}{\gamma})k_m}{\gamma(k_m+1)} - 2\nu > 0,$$

where γ is stated as in Corollary 2.2; then $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$.

In Corollary 2.1 if $n = 0$ and $q = 4\nu+1$ then we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 Let $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(z)$ be two ν -valued, non-constant algebroid functions such that $E_\infty(a_j, W_1) \subseteq E_\infty(a_j, W_2)$ for a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5 of $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. If

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{4\nu+1} \overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_1 - a_j}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{4\nu+1} \overline{N}_{k_j}\left(r, \frac{1}{W_2 - a_j}\right)} > \frac{1}{2},$$

then $W_1(z) \equiv W_2(z)$.

3 Conclusion

In this paper, we discussed on the Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions to Nevanlinna's five values theorem for algebroid functions and we further investigated the problems on the Nevanlinna's five value theorem for algebroid functions.

Acknowledgement. Authors are thankful to Editors and Reviewers for their suggestions to improve the paper in its present form.

References

- [1] N. Baganas, Sur les valeurs algebriques dune fonctions algebroides et les integrales pseudo-abelinnes, *Annales Ecole Norm. Sup.*, **66** (1949), 161-208.
- [2] S. S. Bhoosnurmath, R. S. Dyavanal, Mahesh Barki and Ashok Rathod, Value distribution for n^{th} difference operator of meromorphic functions with maximal deficiency sum, *J. Anal.*, **27** (2019), 797-811.
- [3] Cao Tingbin, Yi Hongxun. On the uniqueness theory of algebroid functions, *Southeast Asian Bull.Math.*, **33** (2009), 25-39.
- [4] S. Daochun and G. Zongsheng, On the operation of algebroid functions, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, (2010), 247-256.
- [5] S. Daochun and G. Zongsheng, *Value disribution theory of algebroid functions*, Beijing: Science Press, 2014.
- [6] S. Daochun and G. Zongsheng, Theorems for algebroid functions, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **49(5)** (2006), 1-6.
- [7] R. S. Dyavanal and Ashok Rathod, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions on annuli, *Indian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **12(1)** (2016), 1-10.
- [8] H. S. Gopalkrishna and S. S. Bhoosnurmath, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions, *Math. Scand.*, **39** (1976), 125-130.
- [9] Y. Hongxun, On the multiple values and uniqueness of algebroid functions, *Eng.Math.*, **8** (1991), 1-8.
- [10] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1964.
- [11] Yu-Zan He and Xiu-Zhi Xiao, *Algebroid functions and Ordinary Difference Equations*, Beijing: Science Press, 1988.
- [12] Yu-Zan He and Li. Ye-Zhou, Some results on algebroid functions, *Complex Variables*, **43** (2001), 299-313.
- [13] Indrajit Lahiri and Rupa Pal, A note on Nevanlinna's five-value theorem, *Bulletin of the Koriean Mathematical Society*, **52(2)** (2015), 345-350.
- [14] F. Minglang, Unicity theorem for algebroid functions, *Acta. Math. Sinica.*, **36** (1993), 217-222.
- [15] Zhang Pingyuan and Hu. Peichu, On uniqueness for algebroid functions of finite order, *Acta. Math. Sinica.*, **35B(3)** (2015), 630-638.
- [16] G. S. Prokoporich, Fix-points of meromorphic or entire functions, *Ukrainian Math J.*, **25(2)** (1937), 248-260.
- [17] Z. Qingcai, Uniqueness of algebroid functions , *Math. Pract. Theory.*, **43** (2003), 183-187.
- [18] Ashok Rathod, The multiple values of algebroid functions and uniqueness, *Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research*, **14(2)** (2016), 150-157.
- [19] Ashok Rathod, Several uniqueness theorems for algebroid functions, *J. Anal.*, **25(2)** (2017), 203-213.
- [20] Ashok Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for algebroid functions, *Ufa Math. J.*, **10(2)** (2018), 127-132.
- [21] Ashok Rathod, On the deficiencies of algebroid functions and their differential polynomials, *Journal of Basic and Applied Research International*, **20(1)** (2017), 1-11.
- [22] Ashok Rathod, Nevanlinna's five-value theorem for derivatives of algebroid functions on annuli, *Tamaking Journal of Mathematics*, **49(2)** (2018), 129-142.
- [23] Ashok Rathod, Characteristic function and deficiency of algebroid functions on annuli, *Ufa Math. J.*, **11(1)** (2019), 121-132.
- [24] Ashok Rathod, Value distribution of a algebroid functions and its linear combinations of derivatives on annuli, *Electroni Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **8(1)** (2020), 182-191.
- [25] Ashok Rathod, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions on annuli, *Ufa Math. J.*, **12(1)** 2020, 115-121.
- [26] Ashok Rathod, Exceptional values of algebroid functions on annuli, *J. Anal.*, (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41478-020-00251-z>.
- [27] E. Ullarich, Uber den Einfluss der verzweigkeit einer algebloide auf ihre wertverteilung, *J. Reine Angew math.*, **169** (1931), 198-220.
- [28] G. Valiron, Sur quelques proprietes des fonctions algebroides. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique. Academie des Sciences. Paris*, **189** (1929), 824-826.

- [29] Zu-Xing Xuan and ZongG-Sheng Gao, Uniqueness theorems for algebroid functions, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **51(7)** (2006), 701-712.
- [30] C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press, 1995; Kluwer, 2003.
- [31] H. X. Yi, The multiple values of meromorphic functions and uniqueness, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A*, **10(4)** (1989), 421-427.