

## THE LAPLACE DIFFERENCE EQUATION OF HIGHER ORDER AND LAURICELLA'S

### FUNCTION $F_D^{(n)}$

By

Harold Exton

University of Salford, Salford, U. K.

(Received : May 28, 1974)

1. **Introduction.** If the substitution

$$u(x) = \int_C t^{x-1} V(t) dt, \quad (1)$$

where the contour of integration is to be determined, is made in the homogeneous Laplace difference equation of order  $n$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (a_i x + b_i) u(x+i) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where, for the purposes of this note, the constants  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_i\}$  are all taken to be non-zero, it has been shown ([6], p. 479) that the function  $v(t)$  is determined by a linear ordinary differential equation of the first order.

Milne-Thompson ([6], sect. 15.5) considers (2) when  $n=2$  in some detail. This treatment is extended here to cover some aspects of the case when  $n$  is unrestricted. For convenience, Milne-Thompson's notation is largely retained.

One family of solutions of (2) of the form  $F_D^{(n)}$  will be specially discussed. This type of function has recently attracted some interest in connection with various applied problems ; cf., for example, [5].

**2. Determination of  $v(t)$  and the contour of integration  $C$ .**

Write (2) in the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^n [A_i (x+i) + B_i] u(x+i) = 0, \quad (3)$$

when Laplace's transformation (1) gives the following differential equation for  $v(t)$  :

$$\sum_{i=0}^n A_i t^{i+1} V'(t) - \sum_{i=0}^n B_i t^i V(t) = 0; \quad (4)$$

it is assumed that the roots of the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^n A_i t^i = 0 \quad (5)$$

are distinct, so that, we may write

$$\frac{V'(t)}{V(t)} = -\frac{\alpha}{t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{t-a_i}; \quad A_0\alpha + B_0 = 0.$$

Hence, 
$$V^*(t) = t^{-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^n (t-a_i)^{\beta_i}.$$

In order that  $u(x)$ , as given by (1), is a solution of (2), the contour of integration  $C$ , must be such that

$$\left[ t^{x-\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^n (t-a_i)^{\beta_i+1} \right]_C \quad (6)$$

returns to its initial value after  $t$  has described the contour.

For general purposes, the most convenient form of  $C$  which satisfies the condition (6) is a Pochhammer double loop ([7], p. 256) with at least one singularity of the integrand in each loop, the remaining singularities being outside the contour.

**3. Solutions of (2) in the form  $F_D^{(n-1)}$ .** Let  $P, Q, R$  denote the number of singularities of the integrand of (1) inside one loop of the contour,  $C$ , inside the other loop and outside the contour, respectively, and let  $c_1, \dots, c_p, c_{p+1}, \dots, c_q, c_{q+1}, \dots, c_{n+1}$  denote any permutation of the singularities of the integrand of

$$u(x) = \int_C t^{x-p-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (t-a_i)^{\beta_i} dt, \tag{7}$$

cf. [1].

In what follows, the symbol  $[0; 1]$  denotes a Pochhammer double loop with the origin inside one loop and the point 1 inside the other loop. The simplest form of  $C$  occurs when two of the numbers  $P, Q, R$  are both equal to unity. Let  $P=Q=1$ , when by means of a simple bi-linear transformation of the variable of integration may be selected to take the singularity inside one loop of  $C$  to the origin, and the singularity inside the other loop to 1.

The corresponding solution may be written as

$$R \int_{[0; 1]} S^{-\xi} (S-1)^{-\beta_1'} \prod_{i=2}^n (S-a_i')^{-\beta_i'} ds, \tag{8}$$

where  $R$  consists of a product of such terms as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\rho_i}, \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n (a_i - a_j)^{\sigma_i}$$

and where  $\beta_1', \dots, \beta_n', \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n, \xi$  etc. depend upon the parameters  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  and the variable  $x$ , and the quantities  $a_2', \dots, a_n'$  are rational functions of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

If  $a_2', \dots, a_n'$  are all of modulus less than unity, the integrand of (8) may be expanded as a multiple series which converges uniformly on the contour of integration, and so the operations of integration and summation may be interchanged, when the integral of (8) is proportional to

$$\sum_{m_2, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_2')_{m_2} \dots (\beta_n')_{m_n} a_2'^{m_2} \dots a_n'^{m_n}}{m_2! \dots m_n!} \int_{[0; 1]} S^{-\xi - m_2 - \dots - m_n} (S-1)^{-\beta_1'} ds \tag{9}$$

The inner integral of (9) may be evaluated by means of the formula

$$\int_{[0; 1]} (-u)^{\alpha-1} (u-1)^{\beta-1} = \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\beta)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad (10)$$

so that, apart from a constant factor, the solution (8) becomes

$$R \cdot F_D^{(n-1)} (\xi + \beta_1' - 1, \beta_2', \dots, \beta_n'; \xi; -a_2, \dots, -a_n) \quad (11)$$

where  $F_D^{(n)} (a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c; x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} (b_1)_{m_1} \dots (b_n)_{m_n}}{(c)_{m_1+\dots+m_n}} \frac{x_1^{m_1}}{m_1!} \dots \frac{x_n^{m_n}}{m_n!}, [4].$$

There are  $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$  solutions of the type (11).

**4. Other hypergeometric solutions of (2).** If contours of integration other than that discussed in the previous section are considered, further families of solutions of (2) arise. Let only one of the three numbers  $P, Q, R$  be equal to unity. If, also,  $Q \neq R$ ,  $Q \neq R$ , then  $n \binom{n-1}{Q}$  solutions of the type  $R' C_n^{(k)}$  are obtainable for each set of values of  $P, Q, R$ . If  $Q=R$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2}n \binom{n-1}{Q}$  solutions of similar type, where the generalised hypergeometric function  $C_n^{(k)}$  was recently defined by the author in [1] as

$$C_n^{(k)} (a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, b_2; x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m_1} \dots (a_n)_{m_n} (b_1)_{m_{k+1}+\dots+m_n} (b_2)_{m_1+\dots+m_k}}{m_1! \dots m_n!} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}, \quad (12)$$

in an investigation of the solutions of the partial differential system associated with the function  $F_D^{(n)}$ .  $C_n^{(k)}$  generalises Horn's function  $G_2$  ([3], p. 383).

If none of the numbers  $P, Q, R$  is equal to unity, then more complicated families of solutions of (2) ensue which may be expressed in terms of the function

$$D_{(n)}^{p, q} (a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c, c'; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_{p+1} + \dots + m_n - m_1 - \dots - m_p} (b_1)_{m_1} \dots (b_n)_{m_n}}{(c)_{m_{q+1} + \dots + m_n - m_1 - \dots - m_p} (c')_{m_{p+1} + \dots + m_q}} \frac{x_1^{m_1}}{m_1!} \dots \frac{x_n^{m_n}}{m_n!}, \quad (13)$$

also recently defined by the author [2].

This last possibility does not arise if the order of (2) is less than 4.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Exton, *On a certain hypergeometric differential system*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **14** (1971), 79-87.
2. H. Exton, *On a certain hypergeometric differential system (II)*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **16** (1973), 189-194.
3. J. Horn, *Hypergeometrische Funktionen zweier Veränderlichen*, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), 381-407.
4. G. Lauricella, *Sulle funzioni ipergeometriche a piu variabili*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **7** (1893), 111-158.
5. W. Miller, Jr., *Lie theory and the Lauricella functions  $F_D$* , J. Mathematical Phys. **13** (1972), 1393-1399.
6. L. M. Milne-Thompson, *The Calculus of Finite Differences*, Macmillan, London, 1960.
7. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course in Modern Analysis*, Fourth edition, Cambridge University Press, 1952.