

FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FIVE WEAKLY COMMUTING MAPPINGS

By

A.S. Saluja and R.D. Daheriya

Department of Mathematics,

J.H. Government Postgraduate College, Betul, Madhya Pradesh, India

(Received : September 2, 2006; Revised : December 28, 2006)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a fixed point theorem for five mappings, satisfying weakly commuting condition with respect to a certain mapping. Our result also generalize the results of Fisher [1], Pathak [3] and Rao and Rao [4], under weaker conditions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

Keywords and Phrases : Fixed point, Weakly Commuting Mapping, Metric space, etc.

1. Introduction. Initially Jungck [2], proved a common fixed point theorem for commuting mappings. This result was extended and generalized in various ways by many authors. Sessa [5] gave the concept of weak commutativity. Recently Pathak [3], defined a weakly commuting mapping with respect to a certain mapping and proved some fixed point theorems for three maps in complete metric space.

Before going to our main result we define "weakly commuting pair of two mappings with respect to a certain mapping.

Definition. Let E, F, S, T and P are five self mappings of a metric space (X, d) . Then the pair $\{EF, ST\}$ is called weakly commuting pair of two mappings with respect to a mapping P if;

$$(B_1) \quad d(FPEFP(x), STP(x)) \leq d(EFPFP(x), STP(x))$$

$$(B_2) \quad d(EFP(x), TPSTP(x)) \leq d(EFP(x), STPTP(x))$$

$$(B_3) \quad d(PEFP(x), STP(x)) \leq d(EFP(x), STPP(x))$$

$$(B_4) \quad d(EFP(x), PSTP(x)) \leq d(EFP(x), STPP(x)).$$

Example. Let $X=[0,1]$ with Euclidean metric d and the self maps, E, F, S, T and P are defined by

$$E(x)=x/(x+1), \quad F(x)=x/(x+2), \quad S(x)=x/3$$

$$T(x)=x/4, \quad P(x)=x/(x+5)$$

and $E(0)=F(0)=T(0)=P(0)=0$.

Then, $FPEFP(x)=x/(43x+100)$, $EFPFP(x)=x/(34x+100)$,

$$STP(x)=x/(12x+60), \quad TPSTP(x)=x/(244x+1200),$$

$$STPTP(x) = x/(252x + 1200), EFP(x) = x/(4x + 10).$$

Now,

$$(B_1) \quad d(EPEFP(x), STP(x)) \leq d(EPPEP(x), STP(x)), \text{ implies that } \\ d(x/(43x + 100), x/(12x + 60)) \leq d(x/(34x + 100), x/(12x + 60))$$

$$\text{Or, } x/(43x + 100) - x/(12x + 60) \leq x/(34x + 100) - x/(12x + 60)$$

$$\text{Or, } 0 \leq 2x^2 + 5x, \text{ which is true since, } x \in [0, 1].$$

Similarly the conditions (B_2) , (B_3) and (B_4) are verified easily.

2. Main Result. In this section, we shall prove the following

Theorem. Let E, F, S, T and P are five self mappings of complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions ;

(i) The pair $\{EF, ST\}$ is weakly commuting pair of two mappings w.r.t. P

$$(ii) \quad d[(EFP(x), STP(y))]^2 \\ \leq a_1[d(x, y)]^2 + a_2[d(x, EFP(x))][d(y, STP(y))] \\ + a_3[d(x, STP(y))][d(y, EFP(x))] + a_4[d(EFP(x), STP(y))][d(x, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$ where $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \geq 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_4 < 1$ and $a_1 + a_3 + a_4 < 1$.

Then E, F, S, T and P have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point of X . We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$(2.1) \quad EFP(x_{2n}) = x_{2n+1}, STP(x_{2n-1}) = x_{2n}, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$d[(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 = d[(EFP(x_{2n}), STP(x_{2n-1}))]^2 \\ \leq a_1[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2 + a_2[d(x_{2n}, EFP(x_{2n}))][d(x_{2n-1}, STP(x_{2n-1}))] \\ + a_3[d(x_{2n}, STP(x_{2n-1}))][d(x_{2n-1}, EFP(x_{2n}))] \\ + a_4[d(EFP(x_{2n}), STP(x_{2n-1}))][d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] \\ = a_1[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2 + a_2[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})][d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})] \\ + a_3[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n})][d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1})] + a_4[d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})][d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})] \\ \leq a_1[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2 + [(a_2 + a_4)/2] [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})^2 + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})^2]$$

Since, A.M. \geq G.M. (always).

Hence,

$$(2.2) \quad d[(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 \leq \left\{ \frac{a_1 + (a_2 + a_4)/2}{1 - (a_2 + a_4)/2} \right\} [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2 \\ = k[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad k = \frac{a_1 + (a_2 + a_4)/2}{1 - (a_2 + a_4)/2} < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$d[(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 \leq k[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2$$

$$(2.4) \quad d[(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \leq \sqrt{k} [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})].$$

Similarly on taking $x=x_{2n-1}$, $y=x_{2n}$ in (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})]^2 &= d[(EFP(x_{2n}), STP(x_{2n+1}))]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 + a_2 [d(x_{2n}, EFP(x_{2n}))][d(x_{2n+1}, STP(x_{2n+1}))] \\ &\quad + a_3 [d(x_{2n}, STP(x_{2n+1}))][d(x_{2n+1}, EFP(x_{2n}))] \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(EFP(x_{2n}), STP(x_{2n+1}))][d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &= a_1 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 + a_2 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})][d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\ &\quad + a_3 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})][d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})] + a_4 [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})][d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] \\ &\leq a_1 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]^2 + [(a_2 + a_4)/2] [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})^2 + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})^2] \end{aligned}$$

Since, A.M. \geq G.M. (always).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d[(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]^2 &\leq \{a_1 + (a_2 + a_4)/2\} [1 - (a_2 + a_4)/2] [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})]^2 \\ &= k [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})]^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad d[(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]^2 \leq k [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})]^2.$$

Therefore by (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad d[(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \leq \sqrt{k} [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})] \leq (\sqrt{k})^2 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})]$$

Hence, in general

$$d[(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \leq (\sqrt{k})^{2n+1} [d(x_0, x_1)] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ Since } k < 1.$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a D -Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists a point $z \in X$, such that $\lim_n x_n = z$.

Now, first we shall show that z is a common fixed point of EFP and STP .

On taking $x=x_{2n}$, $y=z$ in (ii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[(x_{2n+1}, STP(z))]^2 &= d[(EFP(x_{2n}), STP(z))]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(x_{2n}, z)]^2 + a_2 [d(x_{2n}, EFP(x_{2n}))][d(z, STP(z))] \\ &\quad + a_3 [d(x_{2n}, STP(z))][d(z, EFP(x_{2n}))] \\ &\quad + a_4 [d(EFP(x_{2n}), STP(z))][d(x_{2n}, z)] \\ &\leq a_1 [d(x_{2n}, z)]^2 + a_2 [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})][d(z, STP(z))] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ a_3 [d(x_{2n}, STP(z))][d(z, x_{2n+1})] + a_4 [d(x_{2n+1}, STP(z))][d(x_{2n}, z)]$$

On taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[(z, STP(z))]^2 & \\ & \leq a_1 [d(z, z)]^2 + a_2 [d(z, z)][d(z, STP(z))] \\ & + a_3 [d(z, STP(z))][d(z, z)] + a_4 [d(z, STP(z))][d(z, z)] \end{aligned}$$

or $d[(z, STP(z))] \leq 0$,

which is possible only when, $z = STP(z)$.

Similarly, on taking $x = z$, $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (ii), we get $z = EFP(z)$.

Now we shall show that z is the unique common fixed point of EFP and STP .

Let $z' (\neq z)$ be another common fixed point of EFP and STP .

Then by (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[(z, z')]^2 & = d[(EFP(z), STP(z'))]^2 \\ & \leq a_1 [d(z, z')]^2 + a_2 [d(z, EFP(z))][d(z', STP(z'))] \\ & + a_3 [d(z, STP(z'))][d(z', EFP(z))] + a_4 [d(EFP(z), STP(z'))][d(z, z')] \\ & = (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) [d(z, z')]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is possible only when, $z = z'$.

Now we shall show that FP and TP have a common fixed point.

On taking $x = FP(z)$, $y = z$ in (ii) and applying condition (B_1) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[(FP(z), z)]^2 & = d[(EPEFP(z), STP(z))]^2 \\ & \leq d[(EFPFP(z), STP(z))]^2 \\ & \leq a_1 [d(FP(z), z)]^2 + a_2 [FP(z), EFPFP(z)][d(z, STP(z))] \\ & + a_3 [d(FP(z), STP(z))][d(z, EFPFP(z))] \\ & + a_4 [d(EFPFP(z), STP(z))][d(z, EFPFP(z))] \\ & \leq a_1 [d(FP(z), z)]^2 + a_2 [FP(z), FP(z)][d(z, z)] \\ & + a_3 [d(FP(z), z)][d(z, FP(z))] + a_4 [d(FP(z), z)][d(FP(z), z)], \end{aligned}$$

By using (B_1)

or

$$d[(FP(z), z)]^2 \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) [d(FP(z), z)]^2$$

which is possible only when, $z = FP(z)$, as $(a_1 + a_3 + a_4) < 1$.

Similarly on taking $x=z$ and $y=TP(z)$ in (ii) and applying condition (B_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[z, TP(z)]^2 &= d[(EFP(z), TPSTP(z))]^2 \\ &\leq d[(EFP(z), STPTP(z))]^2 \\ &\leq a_1[d(z, TP(z))]^2 + a_2[z, EFP(z)][d(TP(z), STPTP(z))] \\ &\quad + a_3[d(z, STPTP(z))][d(TP(z), EFP(z))] \\ &\quad + a_4[d(EFP(z), STPTP(z))][d(z, TP(z))]. \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d[(z, TP(z))]^2 \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)[d(z, TP(z))]^2, \text{ By using } (B_2),$$

which is possible only when, $z=TP(z)$, as $(a_1 + a_3 + a_4) < 1$.
Thus,

$$(2.7) \quad FP(z) = TP(z) = z.$$

Finally, we shall prove that z is a common fixed point of E, F, S, T and P .

On taking $x=Pz, y=z$ in (ii) and applying condition (B_3) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[(P(z), z)]^2 &\leq d[(PEFP(z), STP(z))]^2 \leq d[EFPP(z), STP(z)]^2 \\ &\leq a_1[d(P(z), z)]^2 + a_2[P(z), P(z)][d(z, z)] \\ &\quad + a_3[d(P(z), z)][d(z, P(z))] + a_4[d(P(z), z)][d(P(z), z)] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d[(P(z), z)]^2 \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)[d(P(z), z)]^2,$$

which implies that $z=P(z)$, as $(a_1 + a_3 + a_4) < 1$.

Similarly on taking $x=z$ and $y=T(z)$ in (ii) and applying condition (B_4) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d[(z, T(z))]^2 &= d[(EFP(z), TSTP(z))]^2 \\ &\leq d[(EFP(z), STPT(z))]^2 \\ &\leq a_1[d(z, T(z))]^2 + a_2[z, EFP(z)][d(T(z), STPT(z))] \\ &\quad + a_3[d(z, STPT(z))][d(T(z), EFP(z))] \\ &\quad + a_4[d(EFP(z), STPT(z))][d(z, T(z))] \\ &\leq a_1[d(z, T(z))]^2 + a_2[z, z][d(T(z), T(z))] \\ &\quad + a_3[d(z, T(z))][d(T(z), z)] + a_4[d(z, T(z))][d(z, T(z))], \text{ By using } (B_4) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$d[(z, T(z))]^2 \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)[d(z, T(z))]^2,$$

which is possible only when, $z = T(z)$, as $(a_1 + a_3 + a_4) < 1$.

Thus,

$$(2.8) \quad T(z) = P(z) = z.$$

Hence by (1.7) and (1.8), we have

$$z = FP(z) = F(z) = TP(z) = T(z) = P(z) = z.$$

Also by uniqueness of z ,

$$z = EFP(z) = E(z) = STP(z) = S(z).$$

Therefore, $E(z) = F(z) = P(z) = S(z) = T(z) = z$.

Hence, z is a common fixed point of E, F, S, T and P .

To prove uniqueness, let $w (\neq z)$ be another common fixed point of E, F, S, T and P .

Then by (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[(z, w)]^2 &= d[(EFP(z), STP(w))]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 [d(z, w)]^2 + a_2 [d(z, EFP(z))] [d(w, STP(w))] \\ &\quad + a_3 [d(z, STP(w))] [d(w, EFP(z))] + a_4 [d(EFP(z), STP(w))] [d(z, w)] \\ &= (a_1 + a_3 + a_4) [d(z, w)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is possible only when, $z = w$. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Fisher, Common fixed point and constant mapping on metric space, *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ.*, 5 (1979), 319.
- [2] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), 261-263.
- [3] H.K. Pathak, A note on fixed point theorems of Rao and Rao, *Bull. of Cal. Math. Soc.*, 79 (1987) 267-273
- [4] I.N.N. Rao and K.P.R. Rao, Common fixed point theorem for three mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 76 (1984), 228.
- [5] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 32 (46) (1982), 149-153.