

## FRACTIONAL INTEGRATION PERTAINING TO A PRODUCT OF CERTAIN TRANSCENDENTAL FUNCTIONS

By

**V.B.L. Chaurasia and Ashok Singh Shekhawat**

Department of Mathematics

University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302004, Rajasthan, India

E-mail : csmaths2004@yahoo.com

(Received : October 12, 2005)

### ABSTRACT

The paper is devoted to study a number of interesting classes of Eulerian integrals and the theorem based upon the fractional calculus associated with general class of polynomials given by Srivastava [4, p.1, Eq. (1)], generalized polynomials given by Srivastava [8, p.185, Eq. (7)] and the multivariable  $H$ -function given by Srivastava and Panda [13, p.271, eq. (4.1)]. The results derived here are of a very general nature and hence encompass several cases of interest hitherto scattered in the literature.

**1. Introduction.** In recent years, several authors namely Saigo and Saxena [5], Srivastava and Hussain [12], Saxena and Saigo [7], Saxena and Nishimoto [6], Srivastava and Owa [16] have established certain fractional integral formulae deduced from Eulerian integrals. The Riemann-Liouville operator of fractional integration  $R^n f$  of order  $n$  is defined by,

$${}_x D_t^{-n} [f(t)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_x^t (t-z)^{n-1} f(z) dz \quad \dots(1.1)$$

for  $Re(n) > 0$  and a constant  $x$ .

An equivalent form of the Beta function is [3, p.10, eq. (13)]

$$\int_x^y (p-x)^{m-1} (y-p)^{n-1} dp = (y-x)^{m+n-1} B(m, n), \quad \dots(1.2)$$

where  $x, y \in R(x < y)$ ,  $Re(m) > 0$ ,  $Re(n) > 0$ .

Using [3, p.62, eq. (15)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha p + \beta)^\nu &= (x\alpha + \beta)^\nu \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha(p-x)}{x\alpha + \beta} \right]^\nu \\ &= \frac{(x\alpha + \beta)^\nu}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \Gamma(-\gamma) \Gamma(\gamma - \nu) \left[ \frac{\alpha(p-x)}{x\alpha + \beta} \right]^\nu d\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1.3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \nu \in C$ ;  $x, p \in R$ ;  $|\arg(\alpha/(x\alpha + \beta))| < \pi$  and the path of integration is

indented, if necessary, in such a manner so as to separate the poles of  $\Gamma(-\gamma)$  from those of  $\Gamma(\gamma - \nu)$ . Srivastava [9] introduced the general class of polynomials (see also Srivastava and Singh [15])

$$S_N^M[w] = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor N/M \rfloor} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} B_{N,k} w^k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \dots(1.4)$$

where  $M$  is an arbitrary positive integer and the coefficients  $B_{N,k}$  ( $N, k \geq 0$ ) are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

By suitably specializing the coefficients  $B_{N,k}$  the polynomials  $S_N^M[w]$  can be reduced to the classical orthogonal polynomials such as Jacobi, Hermite, Legendre, Tchebycheff and Laguerre polynomials etc.

The generalized polynomials defined by Srivastava and Garg [8, p.686, eq. (1.4)], is given in the following manner:

$$S_{N_1, \dots, N_s}^{M_1, \dots, M_s}[w_1, \dots, w_s] = S \left[ \begin{matrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_s \end{matrix} \right] \\ = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor N_1/M_1 \rfloor} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{\lfloor N_s/M_s \rfloor} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!} A(N_1 k_1; \dots; N_s k_s) w_1^{k_1} \dots w_s^{k_s}, \quad \dots(1.5)$$

where  $M_1, \dots, M_s$  are arbitrary positive integers and the coefficients  $A(N_1 k_1; \dots; N_s k_s)$  are arbitrary constants, real or complex.

The  $H$ -function of several complex variables defined by Srivastava and Panda ([13] and [14]) by means of the multiple Mellin-Barnes type integral:

$$H \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{matrix} \right] = H_{A, C; \{B, D\}; \dots; \{B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}\}}^{0, \lambda; \{u', v'\}; \dots; \{u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}\}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \{(\alpha) : \theta, \dots, \theta^{(r)}\} \{(\beta') : \phi\}; \dots; \{(\beta^{(r)}) : \phi^{(r)}\}; \\ \{(c) : \psi, \dots, \psi^{(r)}\} \{(d') : \delta\}; \dots; \{(d^{(r)}) : \delta^{(r)}\}; \end{matrix} ; z_1, \dots, z_r \right] \quad \dots(1.6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{\mathcal{L}_1} \dots \int_{\mathcal{L}_r} U_1(\xi_1) \dots U_r(\xi_r) V(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) z_1^{\xi_1} \dots z_r^{\xi_r} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_r, \quad (i = \sqrt{-1}) \quad \dots(1.7)$$

where

$$U_i(\xi_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{u^{(i)}} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=1}^{v^{(i)}} \Gamma(1 - b_j^{(i)} + \phi_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}{D^{(i)} \prod_{j=u^{(i)}+1}^{D^{(i)}} \Gamma(1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=v^{(i)}+1}^{B^{(i)}} \Gamma(b_j^{(i)} - \phi_j^{(i)} \xi_i)} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad \dots(1.8)$$

$$V(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{\lambda} \Gamma\left(1 - \alpha_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \theta_j^{(i)} \xi_i\right)}{\prod_{j=\lambda+1}^A \Gamma\left(\alpha_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \theta_j \xi_i\right) \prod_{j=0}^C \Gamma\left(1 - c_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \psi_j^{(i)} \xi_i\right)} \quad \dots(1.9)$$

The multiple integral in (1.6) converges absolutely, if

$$T_i > 0 \text{ and } |\arg z_i| < T_i \pi/2, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad \dots(1.10)$$

where

$$T_i = - \sum_{j=\lambda+1}^A \alpha_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(i)} \phi_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=\nu^{(i)}+1}^{B(i)} \phi_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^C \psi_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{u(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=u^{(i)}+1}^{D(i)} \delta_j^{(i)} > 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad \dots(1.11)$$

The convergence conditions and other details of the *H*-function of several complex

variables  $H \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{matrix} \right]$  are given by Srivastava, Gupta and Goyal [11,p.251].

The Lauricella function  $F_D^{(h)}$  is defined in the integral form as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(b_1)\dots\Gamma(b_h)}{\Gamma(c)} F_D^{(h)}[a, b_1, \dots, b_h; c; x_1, \dots, x_h] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^h} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \dots \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_h)\Gamma(b_1 + \xi_1)\dots\Gamma(b_h + \xi_h)}{\Gamma(c + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_h)} \\ & \quad \cdot \Gamma(-\xi_1)\dots\Gamma(-\xi_h)(-x_1)^{\xi_1} \dots (-x_h)^{\xi_h} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_h, \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1.12)$$

where  $\max[|\arg(-x_1)|, \dots, |\arg(-x_h)|] < \pi; C \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

The following result will be used in establishing the Eulerian integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_x^y (p-x)^{m-1} (y-p)^{n-1} (\alpha_1 p + \beta_1)^{\tau_1} \dots (\alpha_h p + \beta_h)^{\tau_h} dp \\ &= (y-x)^{m+n-1} B(m, n) (x\alpha_1 + \beta_1)^{\tau_1} \dots (x\alpha_h + \beta_h)^{\tau_h} \\ & \cdot F_D^{(h)} \left[ m, -\tau_1, \dots, -\tau_h; m+n; -\frac{(y-x)\alpha_1}{x\alpha_1 + \beta_1}, \dots, -\frac{(y-x)\alpha_h}{x\alpha_h + \beta_h} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $x, y \in R (x < y); \alpha_j, \beta_j, \tau_j \in C (j=1, \dots, h);$

$$\min \left[ \operatorname{Re}(m), \operatorname{Re}(n) > 0 \text{ and } \max \left[ \left| \frac{(y-x)\alpha_1}{x\alpha_1 + \beta_1} \right|, \dots, \left| \frac{(y-x)\alpha_h}{x\alpha_h + \beta_h} \right| \right] < 1. \right.$$

The formula (1.13) can be developed by making use of (1.2), (1.3) and (1.12).

The known result [4,p.301, entry (2.2.6.1)] and [12,p.81, Eq. (3.6)] are deducible for  $h=1$  and  $h=2$  respectively.

In what follows  $h$  is a positive integer and  $0, \dots, 0$  would mean  $h$  zeros.

**2. Eulerian Integral.** The main integral to be evaluated here is

$$\int_x^y (p-x)^{m-1} (y-p)^{n-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right\} S_N^M \left[ w(p-x)^a (y-p)^b \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot S_{N_1, \dots, N_s}^{M_1, \dots, M_s} \left[ \begin{array}{c} w_1 (p-x)^{a_1} (y-p)^{b_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \\ \vdots \\ w_s (p-x)^{a_s} (y-p)^{b_s} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\cdot H \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 (p-x)^{\sigma_1} (y-p)^{\rho_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (p-x)^{\sigma_r} (y-p)^{\rho_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j} \end{array} \right] dp$$

$$= E_1 \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} B_{N,k} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{[N_s/M_s]} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\cdot A(N_1, k_1; \dots; N_s, k_s) (w)^k (w_1)^{k_1} \dots (w_s)^{k_s} E_2 \cdot H_{A+h+2, c+h+1; [B', D']; \dots; [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}]; [0,1]; \dots; [0,1]}^{0, \lambda+h+2; (w, w'), \dots; (w^{(r)}, w^{(r)}); (1,0); \dots; (1,0)}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} [(a); \theta', \dots, \theta^{(r)}; 0, \dots, 0], F_1, F_2, F_3; [(b); \phi]; \dots; [(b^{(r)}); \phi^{(r)}]; -; \dots; -; G_1 \\ [(c); \psi', \dots, \psi^{(r)}; 0, \dots, 0], F_4, F_5; [(d); \delta]; \dots; [(d^{(r)}); \delta^{(r)}]; [0,1]; \dots; [0,1]; G_2 \end{array} \right] \dots (2.1)$$

The following are the conditions of the validity of (2.1) :

$$(1) \quad x, y \in R(x < y); \sigma_i, \rho_i, c_j^{(i)}, a_i, b_i, u_j^{(i)}, a, b, \mu_i \in R^+; \tau_j \in R; \alpha_j, \beta_j \in C, z_i \in C$$

$$(i=1, \dots, r; i'=1, \dots, s; j=1, \dots, h);$$

$$(2) \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq h} \left| \frac{(y-x)\alpha_j}{\alpha_j x + \beta_j} \right| < 1;$$

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[ m + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\sigma_i d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right] > 0 (j=1, \dots, u^{(i)}),$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[ n + \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\rho_i d_j^{(i)}}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right] > 0 (j=1, \dots, u^{(i)});$$

$$(4) \quad R_i' = \sum_{j=1}^A \theta_j^{(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^C \psi_j^{(j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{B^{(i)}} \phi_j^{(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^{D^{(i)}} \delta_j^{(j)} \leq 0,$$

$$T_i = - \sum_{j=\lambda+1}^A \theta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^C \psi_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{v^{(i)}} \phi_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=v^{(i)}+1}^{B^{(i)}} \phi_j^{(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^{u^{(i)}} \delta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=u^{(i)}+1}^{D^{(i)}} \delta_j^{(j)}$$

$$- \sigma_i - \rho_i - \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j^{(i)} > 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, r);$$

$$(5) \quad \left| \arg \left( z_i \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j) \right)^{-\lambda_j^{(i)}} \right| < \frac{T_i \pi}{2} (x \leq p \leq y; i = 1, \dots, r);$$

(6)  $M$  and  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ) are arbitrary positive integers and the coefficients  $B_{N,k}$  ( $N, k \geq 0$ ) and  $A$  ( $N_1, k_1, \dots; N_s, k_s$ ) are arbitrary constants, real or complex. where

$$E_1 = (y-x)^{m+n-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right),$$

$$E_2 = (y-x)^{\sum_{i=1}^s (a_i + b_i) k_i + (a+b)k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k} \right),$$

$$F_1 = \left[ 1 - m - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i - ak : \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, 1, \dots, 1 \right],$$

$$F_2 = \left[ 1 - n - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i - bk : \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r, 0, \dots, 0 \right],$$

$$F_3 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$F_4 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$F_5 = \left[ 1 - m - n - \sum_{i=1}^s (a_i + b_i) k_i - (a+b)k : (\sigma_1 + \rho_1), \dots, (\sigma_r + \rho_r), 1, \dots, 1 \right];$$

$$G_1 = \begin{cases} z_1 (y-x)^{\sigma_1 + \rho_1} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (y-x)^{\sigma_r + \rho_r} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{cases}$$

$$G_2 = \begin{cases} (y-x)\alpha_1 / (x\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (y-x)\alpha_h / (x\alpha_h + \beta_h) \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** To establish (2.1) express the general class of polynomials and the generalized polynomials with the help of equations (1.4) and (1.5) and the multivariable  $H$ -function in terms of Mellin-Barnes type contour integral by virtue of (1.7) and interchanging the order of summation and integration (which is permissible under the conditions of validity stated above). Appealing to the results in (1.3), (1.12) and (1.13), we arrive at the right hand side of (2.1).

### 3. Interesting Special cases :

(i) For  $\alpha_1=0=\dots=\alpha_s$  and  $\sigma_1=0=\dots=\sigma_s$  and  $a=0$  the integral (2.1) reduces to

$$\int_x^y (p-x)^{m-1} (y-p)^{n-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\nu_j} \right\} S_N^M \left[ w(y-p)^b \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot S_{N_1, \dots, N_s}^{M_1, \dots, M_s} \left[ \begin{matrix} w_1 (y-p)^{b_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \\ \vdots \\ w_s (y-p)^{b_s} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j^{(s)}} \end{matrix} \right] \cdot H \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 (y-p)^{\rho_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (y-p)^{\rho_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{matrix} \right] dp$$

$$= R_1 \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} B_{N,k} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{[N_s/M_s]} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\cdot A(N_1, k_1, \dots; N_s, k_s) w^k w_1^{(k_1)} \dots w_s^{(k_s)} R_2 \cdot H_{A+h+2, c+h+2; [B, D]^{(r)}; \dots; [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}]^{(r)}; [0, 1]^{(r)}; \dots; [0, 1]^{(r)}}^{0, \lambda+h+2} \begin{matrix} (u', v'); \dots; (u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}); (1, 0); \dots; (1, 0) \\ (c); \psi'; \dots; \psi^{(r)}; 0, \dots, 0; A_4, A_5; [(d'); \delta']; \dots; [d^{(r)}; \delta^{(r)}]; [0, 1]^{(r)}; \dots; [0, 1]^{(r)}; P_2 \end{matrix}$$

which holds true under the same conditions as given in (2.1),

where

$$R_1 = (y-x)^{m+n-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\nu_j} \right),$$

$$R_2 = (y-x)^{\sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i + bk} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k} \right),$$

$$A_1 = \left[ 1 - m : \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^r, 1, \dots, 1 \right],$$

... (3.1)

$$A_2 = \left[ 1 - n - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i, -bk : \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r, 0, \dots, 0 \right],$$

$$A_3 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda'_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 1^j, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$A_4 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda'_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$A_5 = \left[ 1 - m - n - \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i, -bk : \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r, 1, \dots, 1 \right];$$

$$P_1 = \begin{cases} z_1 (y-x)^{\rho_1} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda'_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (y-x)^{\rho_r} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{cases}$$

$$P_2 = \begin{cases} (y-x)\alpha_1 / (x\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (y-x)\alpha_h / (x\alpha_h + \beta_h) \end{cases}$$

(ii) For  $b_1=0=\dots=b_s$  and  $\rho_1=0=\dots=\rho_r$  and  $b=0$  the integral (2.1) reduces to

$$\int_x^y (p-x)^{m-1} (y-p)^{n-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right\} S_N^M \left[ w(p-x)^a \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot S_{N_1, \dots, N_s}^{M_1, \dots, M_s} \left[ \begin{matrix} w_1 (p-x)^{a_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \\ \vdots \\ w_s (p-x)^{a_s} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j^{(s)}} \end{matrix} \right] \cdot H \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 (p-x)^{\sigma_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda'_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (p-x)^{\sigma_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{matrix} \right] dp$$

$$= \Gamma(n) T_1 \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{[N_s/M_s]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\cdot B_{N,k} A(N_1, k_1, \dots, N_s, k_s) w^{(k)} w_1^{(k_1)} \dots w_s^{(k_s)} T_2 \cdot H_{A+h+1, c+h+1; [B', D']; \dots; [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}]; [0, 1]; \dots; [0, 1]}^{0, \lambda+h+1; (u', v'); \dots; (u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}); (1, 0); \dots; (1, 0)}$$

$$\left[ Q_1, Q_2, [(a); \theta', \dots, \theta^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0]; [(b); \phi]; \dots; [(b^{(r)}); \phi^{(r)}]; -; \dots; -; X_1 \right]$$

$$\left[ (c); \psi', \dots, \psi^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0; Q_3, Q_4, [(a'); \delta']; \dots; [(a^{(r)}); \delta^{(r)}]; [0, 1]; \dots; [0, 1]; X_2 \right], \quad \dots (3.2)$$

which holds true under the same conditions as given in (2.1).

where

$$T_1 = (y-x)^{m+n-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right),$$

$$T_2 = (y-x)^{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i + ak} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k} \right),$$

$$Q_1 = \left[ 1 - m - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i - ak : \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, 1, \dots, 1 \right],$$

$$Q_2 = \left[ 1 - \tau_j - \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 1^j, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$Q_3 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$Q_4 = \left[ 1 - m - n - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i - ak : \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, 1, \dots, 1 \right];$$

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} z_1 (y-x)^{\sigma_1} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r (y-x)^{\sigma_r} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{cases}$$

$$X_2 = \begin{cases} (y-x)\alpha_1 / (x\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (y-x)\alpha_h / (x\alpha_h + \beta_h) \end{cases}$$

(iii) If  $\rho_1=0=\dots=\rho_s$  and  $b_1=0=\dots=b_s$  and  $\sigma_1=0=\dots=\sigma_r$  and  $a=0=b$  the equation (2.1) reduces to

$$\int_x^y (p-x)^{m-1} (y-p)^{n-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right\} S_N^M \left[ w \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot S_{N_1, \dots, N_s}^{M_1, \dots, M_s} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \\ \vdots \\ w_s \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{\mu_j^{(s)}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot H \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j p + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{bmatrix} dp$$



$$= \Gamma(n) L_1 \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{[N_s/M_s]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\cdot B_{N,k} A(N_1, k_1; \dots; N_s, k_s) \omega^{(k)} \omega_1^{(k_1)} \dots \omega_s^{(k_s)} L_2 \cdot H_{A+h+1, C+h+1; [B', D']; \dots; [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}]; [0, 1]; \dots; [0, 1]}^{0, \lambda+h+1; (u', v'); \dots; (u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}); (1, 0); \dots; (1, 0)}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} B_1, B_2, [(\alpha) \cdot \theta', \dots, \theta^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0], [(b') \cdot \phi]; \dots; [(b^{(r)}) \cdot \phi^{(r)}]; -; \dots; -; Y_1 \\ [(\psi) \cdot \psi', \dots, \psi^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0], B_3, B_4, [(a') \cdot \delta']; \dots; [(a^{(r)}) \cdot \delta^{(r)}]; [0, 1]; \dots; [0, 1]; Y_2 \end{array} \right] \quad \dots(3.3)$$

valid under the same conditions as given in (2.1).

where

$$L_1 = (y-x)^{m+n-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right),$$

$$L_2 = \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^r u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k} \right),$$

$$B_1 = \left[ (1-m) : \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^r, 1, \dots, 1 \right],$$

$$B_2 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda'_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 1^j, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h}$$

$$B_3 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s u_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda'_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$B_4 = \left[ (1-m-n) : \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^r, 1, \dots, 1 \right],$$

$$Y_1 = \begin{cases} z_1 / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ z_r / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{cases}$$

$$Y_2 = \begin{cases} (y-x)\alpha_1 / (x\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (y-x)\alpha_h / (x\alpha_h + \beta_h) \end{cases}$$

**4. Main Theorem.** Let

$$f(t) = (t-x)^{m-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j t + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right\} S_N^M \left[ W(t-x)^a \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j t + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \right]$$

$$\cdot S_{N_1, \dots, N_s}^{M_1, \dots, M_s} \begin{bmatrix} W_1(t-x)^{a_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j t + \beta_j)^{\mu_j} \\ \vdots \\ W_s(t-x)^{a_s} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j t + \beta_j)^{\mu_j^{(s)}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot H \begin{bmatrix} y_1(t-x)^{\sigma_1} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j t + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ y_r(t-x)^{\sigma_r} \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j t + \beta_j)^{-\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$${}_x D_t^{-n} [f(t)] = I_1 \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{[N_s/M_s]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\cdot B_{N,K} A(N_1, k_1, \dots, N_s, k_s) w^{(k)} w_1^{(k_1)} \dots w_s^{(k_s)} I_2 \cdot H_{A+h+1, C+h+1; [B', D']; \dots; [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}]; [0,1]; \dots; [0,1]}^{0, \lambda+h+1; (u', v'), \dots, (u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}); (1,0); \dots; (1,0)}$$

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} K_1, K_2, [(\alpha)_\theta, \dots, \theta^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0]; [(b')_\phi]; \dots; [(b^{(r)})_\phi^{(r)}]; -; \dots; -; z_1 \\ [(c)_\psi, \dots, \psi^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0]; K_3, K_4; [(d')_\delta]; \dots; [(d^{(r)})_\delta^{(r)}]; [0,1]; \dots; [0,1]; z_2 \end{matrix} \right] \dots (4.1)$$

holds true with the conditions associated with (2.1),

$$I_1 = (t-x)^{m+n-1} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\tau_j} \right),$$

$$I_2 = (t-x)^{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i + ak} \left( \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k} \right),$$

$$K_1 = \left[ 1 - m - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i - ak : \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, 1, \dots, 1 \right],$$

$$K_2 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 1^j, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$K_3 = \left[ 1 + \tau_j + \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_j^{(i)} k_i + \mu_j k : \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0 \right]_{1,h},$$

$$K_4 = \left[ 1 - m - n - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i k_i - ak : \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, 1, \dots, 1 \right];$$

$$z_1 = \begin{cases} y_1(t-x)^{\sigma_1} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j} \\ \vdots \\ y_r(t-x)^{\sigma_r} / \prod_{j=1}^h (\alpha_j x + \beta_j)^{\lambda_j^{(r)}} \end{cases}$$

$$z_2 = \begin{cases} (y-x)\alpha_1 / (\alpha_1 x + \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (y-x)\alpha_h / (\alpha_h x + \beta_h) \end{cases}$$

### 5. Special Cases.

(1) If  $a_1=0\dots=a_s$  and  $\sigma_1=0\dots=\sigma_s=0$  then equation (4.1) reduces to

$${}_x D_t^{-n} [f(t)] = I_1 \sum_{k=0}^{[N/M]} \sum_{k_1=0}^{[N_1/M_1]} \dots \sum_{k_s=0}^{[N_s/M_s]} \frac{(-N)_{Mk}}{k!} \frac{(-N_1)_{M_1 k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(-N_s)_{M_s k_s}}{k_s!}$$

$$\cdot B_{N,k} A(N_1, k_1, \dots, N_s, k_s) W^{(k)} W_1^{(k_1)} \dots W_s^{(k_s)} \cdot I_2 \cdot H_{A+h+1, C+h+1}^{0, \lambda+h+1} \left( \begin{matrix} (u', v') \dots (u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}) \\ (1, 0) \dots (1, 0) \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} [B', D'] \dots [B^{(r)}, D^{(r)}] \\ [0, 1] \dots [0, 1] \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\left[ K_1, K_2, [(a) : \theta', \dots, \theta^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0] : [(b') : \phi] \dots ; [(b^{(r)}) : \phi^{(r)}] ; - \dots ; - \quad ; z_1 \right], \\ \left[ [(c) : \psi', \dots, \psi^{(r)}, 0, \dots, 0], K_3, K_4 : [(d') : \delta'] \dots ; [(d^{(r)}) : \delta^{(r)}] ; [0, 1] \dots ; [0, 1] ; z_2 \right],$$

valid under the same conditions as required for integral (2.1) and where  $I_1, I_2, K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4, Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are the same as in integral (4.1) after eliminating  $a_i$  and  $\sigma_i$ , ( $i=1, \dots, k; i=1, \dots, r$ ).

(2) Taking  $M_i=0$  ( $i=2, \dots, s$ ) and  $N_i=0$  and  $N=0$ , the results given in (2.1) and (4.1) reduce to the known results recently obtained by Saigo and Saxena [5].

3. If we take  $M_i=0$  ( $i=2, \dots, s$ ),  $N_i=0=N$ ,  $\sigma_i=0=\sigma_r$  ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ) and  $h=2$  in (2.1) and (4.1), then we arrive at the result given by Srivastava and Hussain [1] obtained in a different form.

4. For  $N_i=0$  ( $i=2, \dots, s$ ) and  $N=0$ , the results in (2.1) and (4.1) can be reduced to the results recently obtained by Chaurasia and Godika [1].

5. For  $N=0$ , the results in (2.1) and (4.1) reduce to known results given by Chaurasia and Singhal [2].

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Professor H.M. Srivastava (University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada) for his kind help and valuable suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V.B.L. Chaurasia and A. Godika, A family fractional integrals pertaining to special functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **41** (2001), 87-95.
- [2] V.B.L. Chaurasia and V.K. Singhal, Fractional integration of certain special functions, *Tamkang, J. Math.* **35** (2004), 13-22.
- [3] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettiger and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental functions*, **Vol. I**, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [4] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev, *Integrals and series, Elementary functions*, **Vol. I** Gordon and Breach, New York, London, Paris, Montreux and Tokyo, 1986.
- [5] M. Saigo and R.K. Saxena, Unified fractional integral formulas for the multivariable  $H$ -function, *J. Fract. Calc.* **15** (1999), 91-107.
- [6] R.K. Saxena and K. Nishimoto, Fractional integral formula for the  $H$ -function, *J. Fract. Calc.*, **6** (1994), 65-74.
- [7] R.K. Saxena and M. Saigo, Fractional integral formula for the  $H$ -function. II, *J. Fract. Calc.*, **13** (1998), 37-41.
- [8] H.M. Srivastava, A multilinear generating function for the Konhauser sets of biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials, *Pacific J. Math.*, **117** (1985), 183-191.
- [9] H.M. Srivastava, A contour integral involving Fox's  $H$ -function, *Indian, J. Math.* **14** (1972) 1-6.
- [10] H.M. Srivastava and M.C. Daoust, Certain generalized Neumann expansions associated with the Kampé de fériet function, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, **31** (1969), 449-457.
- [11] H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta and S.P. Goyal, *The  $H$ -Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications*, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi and Madras, 1982.
- [12] H.M. Srivastava and M.A. Hussain, Fractional integration of the  $H$ -function of several variables, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **30** (1995), 73-85.
- [13] H.M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some bilateral generating functions for a class of generalizd hypergeometric polynomials, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **283/284** (1976), 265-274.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Expansion theorems for the  $H$ -function of several complex variables, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **288** (1976), 129-145.
- [15] H.M. Srivastava and N.P. Singh, The integration of certain products of the multivariable  $H$ -function with a general class of polynomials, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **32** (2) (1983), 157-187.
- [16] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, An application of the fractional derivative, *Math. Japon.*, **29** (1984), 383-389.