

FIXED POINTS OF PROBABILISTIC NEARLY DENSIFYING MAPPINGS IN Menger SPACES

By

Irshad Alam, Naresh Kumar and B.D. Pant

Department of Mathematics, Government. Postgraduate College Kashipur,
U.S. Nagar, Uttranchal-244713, India

(Received : March 17, 2005)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove some common fixed point theorems for probabilistic nearly densifying mappings. Our results generalize some previously known results in metric and Menger spaces.

AMS Subject Classification (2000) : 54H25, 47H10

Key words and phrases : Kuratowski function, nearly densifying mappings, Menger space.

1. Introduction. The study of probabilistic densifying mappings was initiated by Bocsan [1, 2]. Some fixed point theorems for these mappings have been proved by Pant, Dimri and Chandola [6], Pant, Tiwari and Singh [7], Singh and Pant [9], Tan [10], Chamola, Pant and Singh [3]. Sastry and Naidu [8] have introduced the concept of nearly densifying self maps on a metric space. Subsequently a number of fixed point theorems have been proved by Ganguly, Rajput and Tuteja [4], Jain and Jain [5] in different settings.

In this paper, we establish some fixed point theorems for nearly densifying maps in Menger spaces.

2. Preliminaries.

Definition 2.1 A probabilistic metric space (*PM-space*) is an ordered pair (X, \mathcal{F}) consisting of a non-empty set X and a mapping $\mathcal{F}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, the set of distribution functions. The value of \mathcal{F} at $(u, v) \in X \times X$ is denoted by $F_{u,v}$ and satisfy the following conditions :

- (i) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$ iff $u=v, x>0$;
- (ii) $F_{u,v}(0) = 0$;
- (iii) $F_{u,v} = F_{v,u}$;
- (iv) if $F_{u,v}(x)=1, F_{v,w}(y)=1$ then $F_{u,w}(x+y)=1, u,v,w, \in X, x,y \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2 A mapping $t: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions :

- (i)' $t(0,0)=0, t(a,1) = a, a \in [0,1]$,

$$(ii)' \quad t(a,b) = t(b,a),$$

$$(iii)' \quad t(t(a,b),c) = t(a,t(b,c)).$$

Definition 2.3. A menger space is a triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, t) where (X, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space and t is the t -norm such that (iv) is replaced by

$$F_{u,w}(x+y) \geq t\{F_{u,v}(x), F_{v,w}(y)\}$$

$$u, v \in X \text{ and } x, y \geq 0.$$

Definition 2.4. The function $D_A(\cdot)$ defined by

$$D_A(x) = \sup_{y < x} \left\{ \inf_{u, v \in A} F_{u,v}(y) \right\}$$

is called the probabilistic diameter of A . A is bounded if

$$\sup_{x \in R} D_A(x) = 1.$$

Definition 2.5. For a probabilistic bounded subset A of X , $\alpha_A(\cdot)$ defined by $\alpha_A(x) = \sup \{ \varepsilon \geq 0 / \exists \text{ a finite cover } \mathcal{A} \text{ of } A \text{ such that } D_S(x) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for } S \in \mathcal{A} \}$ is called Kuratowski function. The following properties of Kuratowski function are proved by Bocsan and Constantin [2].

- (a) $\alpha_A \in \mathcal{L}$, the set of distribution functions;
- (b) $\alpha_A(x) \geq D_A(x)$ for all $x \in R$;
- (c) if $\phi: A \subset B \subset X$ then $\alpha_A(x) \geq \alpha_B(x)$ for all $x \in R$;
- (d) $\alpha_{A \cup B}(x) = \min\{\alpha_A(x), \alpha_B(x)\}$;
- (e) $\alpha_{\bar{A}}(x) = \alpha_A(x)$ where \bar{A} denotes the closure of A in the (ε, λ) -topology on X ;
- (f) A is probabilistic precompact (totally bounded) iff $\alpha_A = H$.

Definition 2.6: Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a PM-space. A continuous mapping f of X into itself is called probabilistic nearly densifying mapping iff for every subset A of X , $\alpha_A < H$ implies $\alpha_{f(A)} > \alpha_A$, and A is f -invariant.

Definition 2.7. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called weakly ϕ -contractive if for every $u, v \in X$, $u \neq v$, $\phi(f(u), f(v)) > \phi(u, v)$, where ϕ is a τ -continuous mapping [2] of $X \times X$ into \mathcal{L} . It is to be noted that τ -continuity coincides with upper semicontinuity.

3. Theorems.

Theorem 3.1. Let f and g be commuting, continuous and nearly densifying self maps on a complete Menger space X where $\sup_{x < 1} t(x, x) = 1$, satisfying the condition

$$(a) \quad \phi(gx, gy) > \max \left\{ \phi(fx, fy), \phi(fx, gx), \phi(fy, gy), \frac{\phi(fx, gx)\phi(fx, gy)}{\phi(fx, fy)} \right\}$$

for $fx \neq fy$, $gx \neq gy$, $x, y \in X$

where $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is upper semi continuous and $\phi(u, u) = 0$, $u \in X$. If for some u_0 in X ,

$G(u_0) = \{f^i g^j u_0 : i, j \geq 0\}$ is bounded, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $A = G(u_0)$. Since f and g are commuting and continuous, we have

$$f(\bar{A}) \subseteq \bar{A}, g(\bar{A}) \subseteq \bar{A} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \{u_0\} \cup f(A) \cup g(A).$$

Also f and g are nearly densifying and X is complete. We conclude that \bar{A} is compact.

Now define $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (fg)^n \bar{A}$. Since $\{(fg)^n \bar{A}\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty compact subsets of \bar{A} , it follows that H is non-empty compact set such that $f(H) \subseteq H, g(H) \subseteq H$.

Suppose $x \in H$, then $x \in (fg)^{n+1} \bar{A}$ for all n . Hence there exists $\{x_n\} \subseteq (fg)^n \bar{A}$.

Since $(fg)^n \bar{A}$ is compact and closed for all n , f and g are continuous and nearly densifying, therefore there exists a $p \in (fg)^n \bar{A}$ for all n so that $fg(p) = x$. Hence $x \in f(H)$ and $x \in g(H)$. Thus we have

$$f(H) = H = g(H).$$

Let us define a real valued function ψ on H by $\psi(x) = \phi(fx, gx)$. It is upper semi continuous and hence attains its maximum at some $p \in H$. Then there exists a $w \in H$ such that $p = fw$.

Suppose there is no point u in X such that $fu = gu$, then we have by (a),

$$\psi(gw) = \phi(fgw, ggw) = \phi(gfw, ggw)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> \max \left\{ \phi(f^2w, fgw), \phi(f^2w, gfw), \phi(fgw, ggw), \frac{\phi(f^2w, gfw)\phi(fgw, ggw)}{\phi(f^2w, fgw)} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ \phi(f^2w, fgw), \phi(fgw, ggw) \} \\ &= \phi(f^2w, fgw) = \phi(fp, gp) = \psi(p), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to the selection of p . Hence there exists a $z \in H$ such that $fz = gz$ or $f^2z = fgz = ggz$.

Suppose $f^2z \neq fz$, then we have

$$\phi(f^2z, fz) = \phi(ggz, gz)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> \max \left\{ \phi(f^2z, fz), \phi(f^2z, ggz), \phi(fz, gz), \frac{\phi(f^2z, ggz)\phi(fz, gz)}{\phi(f^2z, gz)} \right\} \\ &= \max \{ \phi(f^2z, fz), 0, 0, 0 \} \\ &= \phi(f^2z, fz), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $f^2z = ggz = fz$. Therefore fz is common fixed point of f and g . Now we shall prove the uniqueness of fz . Let w be the another fixed point

of f and g , then again by (a) we have,

$$\phi(w, fz) = \phi(gw, fgz)$$

$$> \max \left\{ \phi(fw, f^2z), \phi(fw, gw), \phi(f^2z, fgz), \frac{\phi(fw, gw)\phi(fw, fgz)}{\phi(fw, f^2z)} \right\}$$

$$= \max\{\phi(w, fz), 0, 0, 0\}$$

$$= \phi(w, fz), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence fz is unique.

Theorem 3.2. Let f and g be commuting, continuous and nearly densifying self maps on complete Menger space X such that $\sup_{x < 1} \text{supt}(x, x) = 1$, satisfying the condition

$$(b) \quad \phi(gx, gy) > \min \left[\frac{\phi(fx, gx)\phi(fx, gy) + \{\phi(fx, fy)\}^2 + \phi(fx, gx)\phi(fx, fy)}{\phi(fx, gx) + \phi(fx, fy) + \phi(fx, gy)} \right]$$

for $fx \neq fy$, $gx \neq gy$ and $fx \neq gx$, where $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is *u.s.c.* and $\phi(u, u) = 0$, $u \in X$. Also if for some u_0 in X , $g(u_0) = \{f^j g^j u_0; j \geq 0\}$ is bounded then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $A = G(u_0)$ and define $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (fg)^n \bar{A}$ then as in the **Theorem 3.1**, we have

$$f(H) = H = g(H).$$

Let us define a real valued function ψ on H by $\psi(x) = \phi(fx, gx)$. It is upper semi continuous and hence attains its maximum at some $p \in H$. Then there exists a $w \in H$ such that $fw = p$. Suppose there is no point x in X such that $fx = gx$. Then we have by (a)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(gw) &= \phi(fgw, ggw) \\ &= \phi(gfw, ggw) \end{aligned}$$

$$> \min \left[\frac{\phi(f^2w, gfw)\phi(f^2w, g^2w) + \{\phi(f^2w, fgw)\}^2 + \phi(f^2w, gfw)\phi(f^2w, fgw)}{\phi(f^2w, gfw) + \phi(f^2w, fgw) + \phi(f^2w, g^2w)} \right]$$

$$= \min \left[\frac{\phi(f^2w, gfw)\{\phi(f^2w, g^2w) + \phi(f^2w, gfw) + \phi(f^2w, fgw)\}}{\phi(f^2w, gfw) + \phi(f^2w, fgw) + \phi(f^2w, g^2w)} \right]$$

$$= \phi(f^2w, gfw) = \phi(fp, gp)$$

$$= \psi(p),$$

which is a contradiction to the selection of p . Hence there exists a $z \in H$ such that $fz = gz$. Then we have

$$f^2z = gfz = fgz. \text{ Suppose } f^2z \neq fz \text{ then}$$

$$\phi(f^2z, fz) = \phi(gfz, gz)$$

$$> \min \left[\frac{\phi(f^2z, gfz)\phi(f^2z, gz) + \{\phi(f^2z, fz)\}^2 + \phi(f^2z, gfz)\phi(f^2z, fz)}{\phi(f^2z, gfz) + \phi(f^2z, fz) + \phi(f^2z, gz)} \right]$$

$$= \phi(f^2z, fz) \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence $f^2z = fz = gfz$. Thus fz is a common fixed point of f and g . Uniqueness of fixed point can be proved easily by condition (b). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark. Theorem 3.2 is a generalized Menger-space version of Jain and Jain [5, Theo. 2].

REFERENCES

- [1] Gh. Bocsan, On some fixed point theorems in random normed spaces, *Proc. 5th Conference on Probability Theory*, (1974), 153-156.
- [2] Gh. Bocsan and Gh. Constantin, The Kuratowski function and some applications to probabilistic metric spaces; *Atti Acad. Naz Lincei*, **55** (1973), 236-240.
- [3] K.P. Chamola, B.D. Pant and S.L. Singh, Common fixed point theorems for probabilistic densifying mappings, *Math. Japon.*, **36** (4) (1991), 769-775.
- [4] A. Ganguly, A.S. Rajput and B.S. Tuteja, Fixed points of probabilistic densifying mapping, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **13** (II) (1991), 110-114.
- [5] R.K. Jain and R. Jain. Some common fixed point theorems for nearly densifying maps, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **13**(I) (1991), 12-15.
- [6] B.D. Pant, B.M.L. Tivari and S.L. Singh, Some results on fixed points of probabilistic densifying mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **96** (3) (2004), 189-194.
- [7] B.D. Pant, B.M.L. Tivari and S.L. Singh, Common fixed point theorem for densifying mappings in probabilistic metric space, *Honam. Math. J.*, **5** (1983), 151-154.
- [8] K.P.R. Sastry and S.V.R. Naidu, Fixed point theorems for nearly densifying maps, *Nepali Math. Sci. Rep.*, **7** (1982), 41-44.
- [9] S.L. Singh and B.D. Pant, A fixed point theorem for probabilistic densifying mappings, *Indian J. Phy. Nat. Sci.*, **3B** (1983), 21-25.
- [10] D.H. Tan, On probabilistic densifying mappings, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **26** (1981), 1305-1317.