

## VARIATION OF $\delta$ -COINCIDENCE SETS

By

Anjali Srivastava

School of Studies in Mathematics

Vikram University, Ujjain 456010, Madhya Pradesh, India

(Received : December 22, 2003; Revised : September 17, 2004)

### ABSTRACT

David Gauld [2] proved that in many familiar cases the upper semi-finite topology on the set of closed subsets of a space is the largest topology making the coincidence function continuous, when the collection of functions is given the graph topology. For a  $\delta > 0$  and for continuous maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X, Y$  are metric spaces, by taking the  $\delta$ -coincidence set to consist of points  $x$  for which  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  lie at a distance less than or equal to  $\delta$ , we obtain various results of Gauld in the new setting.

**Key Words and Phrases.** Graph topology, Upper semifinite topology, Coincidence set,  $\delta$ -active homotopy, Property  $W$  ( $\delta$ -strong).

**1. Introduction.** For metric spaces  $X, Y$  the collection  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  of continuous maps from a metric space  $X$  to a metric space  $Y$  is equipped with the graph topology: The family  $\{ \langle W \rangle \mid W \text{ is an open set of } X \times Y \}$ , where

$$\langle W \rangle = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \mid \text{graph } \Gamma f \text{ of } f \text{ is contained in } W \}$$

forms a basis for this topology [4].

Let  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map from a metric space  $X$  to a metric space  $Y$ . Then for a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the coincidence set  $\psi_h(f)$  consists of those points of  $X$  at which  $f$  and  $h$  agree. Because  $\psi_h(f) \in \zeta(X)$ , a map  $\psi_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$  can be defined which sends  $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  to the coincidence set of  $f$  and  $h$ . In [2], Gauld studied the variation  $\psi_h(f)$  with  $f$ . Also the continuity of the coincidence function  $\chi : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$  sending  $(f, h)$  to their coincidence set is considered.

For a real number  $\delta > 0$ , we let the  $\delta$ -coincidence set  $D(f, h)$  be the set

$$D(f, h) = \{ x \in X \mid d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \delta \}$$

and for an element  $h \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , define a map

$$D_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$$

which sends an element  $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  to the  $\delta$ -coincidence set of  $f$  and  $h$ . The  $\delta$ -coincidence function  $D$  is defined in a similar way. In section 2 of this paper we prove continuity of the map  $D_h$  and  $\delta$ -coincidence function  $D$ . Finally we transfer some results of Gauld in this new setting in section 3 of this paper.

For terms and definition not explained here, we refer to [1,2].

**2. Variation of coincidence Sets.** Throughout the section  $X, Y$  are metric spaces. The section begins with the following definitions :

**Definition 1.** Let  $f, h : X \rightarrow Y$  be two continuous maps where  $X, Y$  are metric spaces.

The set  $D_{f,h} = \{x \in X \mid d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \delta\}$

is called the  $\delta$ -coincidence set of  $f$  and  $h$ .

Note that  $D_{f,h}$  is a closed set of  $X$ .

The  $\delta$ -coincidence set and the coincidence set of two maps,  $f, h : X \rightarrow Y$  are different. For  $\delta=0$ , two sets are the same.

**Definition 2.** The map  $D : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$  defined by

$$D(f, g) = \{x \in X \mid d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \delta\}$$

is called the  $\delta$ -coincidence function.

If  $h$  is fixed then the restriction of the map  $D$  to  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \{h\} = \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  is denoted by  $D_h$ . For  $\delta=0$ ,  $D$  and  $D_h$  are easily seen to be the maps  $\chi$  and  $\psi_h$  as described in [2].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map from a metric space  $X$  to a metric space  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  is topologized with the graph topology and  $\zeta X$  by the upper semi-finite topology then

$$D_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X \text{ is continuous.}$$

**Proof.** Let  $V$  be an open set of  $X$ . Take  $f \in D_h^{-1}[V]$ . Then

$$D(f, h) = \{x \in X \mid d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \delta\} \subseteq V.$$

$$\text{Take } U = V \times Y \cup \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid d(y, h(x)) > \delta\}.$$

$$\text{Then } f \in \langle U \rangle \subset D_h^{-1}[V].$$

### 3. Upper Semi-Finite Topology and Continuity of $D(D_h)$

**Definition 3.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. A homotopy  $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  is called  $\delta$ -active if  $d(H(x, t), H(x, 0)) > \delta$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$  where  $I$  denotes the unit closed interval of the real line  $R$  with the subspace topology.

Let  $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\delta$ -active homotopy. We recall the map  $\tau_H: \mathcal{F}(X, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  defined by  $\tau_H(\alpha) = H(x, \alpha(x))$ ; where  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(X, I)$  and  $x \in X$  [2]. Denote by  $H_0$  the restriction of  $H$  to the base  $X \times \{0\}$ . Identify  $X \times \{0\}$  with  $X$  and note that  $D_{H_0} \circ \tau_H = \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathcal{F}(X, I) \rightarrow \zeta X$  maps  $\alpha$  to its zero set. Since for a perfectly normal space  $X$  the upper semi-finite topology is the largest topology on  $\zeta X$  making  $\sigma$  continuous [See 2, Prop. 1.4], we conclude the following:

Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal space and  $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\delta$ -active homotopy. Then the upper semi-finite topology is the largest topology on  $\zeta X$  making  $D_{H_0}$  continuous.

Call a path  $\alpha$  in  $X$ , to be  $\delta$ -non overlapping if  $d(\alpha(t), \alpha(0)) > \delta$  for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

A space  $X$  is said to have the property  $W$  ( $\delta$ -strong) if there exists a  $\delta$ -active deformation of  $X$  i.e. there is a  $\delta$ -active homotopy  $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$  satisfying that  $H_0 = I_x$ .

We obtain the analogues of corollaries 2.6 and 2.7 of [2] as follows:

Let  $X$  be a perfectly normal space. Then the upper semi-finite topology on  $\zeta X$  is the largest topology making  $D_h$  continuous provided either of the following holds:

- (a)  $Y$  has the property  $W$  ( $\delta$ -strong).
- (b)  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  is a constant map and  $Y$  has a  $\delta$ -non-overlapping path beginning from the image point of  $h$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [2] D.B. Gauld, Variation of fixed point and coincidence sets, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **44** (1988), 214-224.
- [3] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1951), 152-182.
- [4] S.A. Naimpally, Graph Topology for function spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1966), 267-272.