

VARIATION OF δ -COINCIDENCE SETS

By

Anjali Srivastava

School of Studies in Mathematics

Vikram University, Ujjain 456010, Madhya Pradesh, India

(Received : December 22, 2003; Revised : September 17, 2004)

ABSTRACT

David Gauld [2] proved that in many familiar cases the upper semi-finite topology on the set of closed subsets of a space is the largest topology making the coincidence function continuous, when the collection of functions is given the graph topology. For a $\delta > 0$ and for continuous maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are metric spaces, by taking the δ -coincidence set to consist of points x for which $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ lie at a distance less than or equal to δ , we obtain various results of Gauld in the new setting.

Key Words and Phrases. Graph topology, Upper semifinite topology, Coincidence set, δ -active homotopy, Property W (δ -strong).

1. Introduction. For metric spaces X, Y the collection $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ of continuous maps from a metric space X to a metric space Y is equipped with the graph topology: The family $\{ \langle W \rangle \mid W \text{ is an open set of } X \times Y \}$, where

$$\langle W \rangle = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \mid \text{graph } \Gamma f \text{ of } f \text{ is contained in } W \}$$

forms a basis for this topology [4].

Let $h : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map from a metric space X to a metric space Y . Then for a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the coincidence set $\psi_h(f)$ consists of those points of X at which f and h agree. Because $\psi_h(f) \in \zeta(X)$, a map $\psi_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$ can be defined which sends $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ to the coincidence set of f and h . In [2], Gauld studied the variation $\psi_h(f)$ with f . Also the continuity of the coincidence function $\chi : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$ sending (f, h) to their coincidence set is considered.

For a real number $\delta > 0$, we let the δ -coincidence set $D(f, h)$ be the set

$$D(f, h) = \{ x \in X \mid d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \delta \}$$

and for an element $h \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$, define a map

$$D_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$$

which sends an element $f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ to the δ -coincidence set of f and h . The δ -coincidence function D is defined in a similar way. In section 2 of this paper we prove continuity of the map D_h and δ -coincidence function D . Finally we transfer some results of Gauld in this new setting in section 3 of this paper.

For terms and definition not explained here, we refer to [1,2].

2. Variation of coincidence Sets. Throughout the section X, Y are metric spaces. The section begins with the following definitions :

Definition 1. Let $f, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be two continuous maps where X, Y are metric spaces.

The set $D_{f,h} = \{x \in X \mid d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \delta\}$

is called the δ -coincidence set of f and h .

Note that $D_{f,h}$ is a closed set of X .

The δ -coincidence set and the coincidence set of two maps, $f, h : X \rightarrow Y$ are different. For $\delta=0$, two sets are the same.

Definition 2. The map $D : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$ defined by

$$D(f, g) = \{x \in X \mid d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \delta\}$$

is called the δ -coincidence function.

If h is fixed then the restriction of the map D to $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \{h\} = \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ is denoted by D_h . For $\delta=0$, D and D_h are easily seen to be the maps χ and ψ_h as described in [2].

Theorem 1. Let $h: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map from a metric space X to a metric space Y . If $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ is topologized with the graph topology and ζX by the upper semi-finite topology then

$$D_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X \text{ is continuous.}$$

Proof. Let V be an open set of X . Take $f \in D_h^{-1}[V]$. Then

$$D(f, h) = \{x \in X \mid d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \delta\} \subseteq V.$$

$$\text{Take } U = V \times Y \cup \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid d(y, h(x)) > \delta\}.$$

$$\text{Then } f \in \langle U \rangle \subset D_h^{-1}[V].$$

3. Upper Semi-Finite Topology and Continuity of $D(D_h)$

Definition 3. Let X, Y be metric spaces. A homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is called δ -active if $d(H(x, t), H(x, 0)) > \delta$ for all $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ where I denotes the unit closed interval of the real line R with the subspace topology.

Let $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ be a δ -active homotopy. We recall the map $\tau_H: \mathcal{F}(X, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ defined by $\tau_H(\alpha) = H(x, \alpha(x))$; where $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(X, I)$ and $x \in X$ [2]. Denote by H_0 the restriction of H to the base $X \times \{0\}$. Identify $X \times \{0\}$ with X and note that $D_{H_0} \circ \tau_H = \sigma$, where $\sigma : \mathcal{F}(X, I) \rightarrow \zeta X$ maps α to its zero set. Since for a perfectly normal space X the upper semi-finite topology is the largest topology on ζX making σ continuous [See 2, Prop. 1.4], we conclude the following:

Let X be a perfectly normal space and $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ be a δ -active homotopy. Then the upper semi-finite topology is the largest topology on ζX making D_{H_0} continuous.

Call a path α in X , to be δ -non overlapping if $d(\alpha(t), \alpha(0)) > \delta$ for any $t \in (0, 1]$.

A space X is said to have the property W (δ -strong) if there exists a δ -active deformation of X i.e. there is a δ -active homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$ satisfying that $H_0 = I_x$.

We obtain the analogues of corollaries 2.6 and 2.7 of [2] as follows:

Let X be a perfectly normal space. Then the upper semi-finite topology on ζX is the largest topology making D_h continuous provided either of the following holds:

- (a) Y has the property W (δ -strong).
- (b) $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is a constant map and Y has a δ -non-overlapping path beginning from the image point of h .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [2] D.B. Gauld, Variation of fixed point and coincidence sets, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **44** (1988), 214-224.
- [3] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1951), 152-182.
- [4] S.A. Naimpally, Graph Topology for function spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1966), 267-272.