

**ON DECOMPOSITION CURVATURE TENSOR FIELDS IN A  
TACHIBANA RECURRENT SPACE**

By

**A.K. Singh and A.A. Samyal**

Department of Mathematics, H.N.B. Garhwal University  
Campus Badshahi Thaul, Tehri Garhwal-249199, Uttranchal Pradesh, India

(Received : September 24, 2004; Revised : December 2, 2004)

**ABSTRACT**

In the present paper, we prove some theorems on decomposition curvature tensor fields in a Tachibana recurrent space.

**1. Introduction.** A Tachibana space  $T_n$  is a Riemannian space, which admits a structure tensor field  $F_i^h$  satisfying the conditions [4]

$$F_j^h F_h^i = \delta_j^i, \tag{1.1}$$

$$F_{ij}^i = -F_{ji}^j \left( F_{ij}^i = F_i^a g_{aj} \right) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$F_{ij}^n = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where the comma (,) followed by an index denotes the operation of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian metric tensor  $g_{ij}$ .

The Riemannian curvature tensor field  $R_{ijk}^h$  is defined by

$$R_{ijk}^h = \partial_i \begin{pmatrix} h \\ jk \end{pmatrix} - \partial_j \begin{pmatrix} h \\ ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ il \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l \\ jk \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} h \\ jl \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l \\ ik \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ jk \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\partial_i \equiv \partial / \partial x^i, \{x^i\}$  denotes real local coordinates.

The Ricci tensor and scalar curvature are given by  $R_{ij} = R_{aij}^a$  and  $R = R_{ij} g^{ij}$  respectively.

It is well known that these tensors satisfy the following identities [3] :

$$R_{ijk,a}^h = R_{jh,i}^k - R_{ikj}^h, \tag{1.5}$$

$$R_i = 2R_{i,a}^a, \tag{1.6}$$

$$F_i^a R_{aj} = -R_{ia} F_j^a, \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$F_i^a R_a^j = R_i^a F_a^j. \tag{1.8}$$

The Bianchi identities in  $T_n$  are given by

$$R_{ijk}^h + R_{jki}^h + R_{kij}^h = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$R_{ijk,a}^h + R_{ika,j}^h + R_{iaj,k}^h = 0 \quad \dots(1.10)$$

A Tachibana space is said to be Tachibana recurrent if its curvature tensor field satisfies the condition [1]

$$R_{ijk,a}^h = \lambda_a R_{ijk}^h, \quad \dots(1.11)$$

where  $\lambda_a$  is a non-zero recurrence vector field.

The following relations follow immediately from equation (1.11):

$$R_{ik,a}^h = \lambda_a R_{ik}^h \quad \dots(1.12)$$

and

$$R_{,a} = \lambda_a R. \quad \dots(1.13)$$

**2. Decomposition of Curvature Tensor Field  $R_{ijk}^h$ .** Consider the decomposition of recurrent curvature tensor field  $R_{ijk}^h$  in the following form:

$$R_{ijk}^h = A_{jk} v_{,i}^h, \quad \dots(2.1)$$

where  $A_{jk}$  and  $v_{,i}^h$  are two non-zero tensor fields such that

$$\lambda_h v_{,i}^h = p_i, \quad \dots(2.2)$$

$$\lambda_h v^h = 1 \quad \dots(2.3)$$

and

$$A_{jk} = \lambda_{,j} k - \lambda_{,k} j \neq 0. \quad \dots(2.4)$$

The vector field  $p_i$  is also a non-zero vector field and is called decomposed vector field.

We shall prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** Under the decomposition (2.1), the Bianchi identities take the forms:

$$p_i A_{jk} + p_j A_{ki} + p_k A_{ij} = 0 \quad \dots(2.5)$$

and

$$\lambda_a A_{jk} + \lambda_j A_{ka} + \lambda_k A_{aj} = 0 \quad \dots(2.6)$$

**Proof.** In view of equation (1.9) and (2.1), we have

$$A_{jh} v_{,i}^h + A_{ki} v_{,j}^h + A_{ij} v_{,k}^h = 0. \quad \dots(2.7)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $\lambda_h$  and using (2.2), we obtain the required result (2.5). Making use of equation (1.10), (1.11) and (2.1), we get

$$v_{,i}^h (\lambda_a A_{jk} + \lambda_j A_{ka} + \lambda_k A_{aj}) = 0 \quad \dots(2.8)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $\lambda_h$  and using (2.2), we have

$$p_i (\lambda_a A_{jk} + \lambda_j A_{ka} + \lambda_k A_{aj}) = 0 \quad \dots(2.9)$$

Since  $p_i$  is a non zero recurrence vector field, we obtain

$$\lambda_a A_{jk} + \lambda_j A_{ka} + \lambda_k A_{aj} = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Under the decomposition (2.1), the tensor field  $R_{ij}^h$ ,  $R_{ij}$  and  $A_{jk}$  satisfy the relations

$$\lambda_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = \lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} = p_i A_{jk} \quad \dots(2.10)$$

**Proof.** With the help of equations (1.5), (1.11) and (1.12), we obtain

$$\lambda_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = \lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} \quad \dots(2.11)$$

Multiplying equations (2.1) by  $\lambda_h$  and using the relation (2.2), we have

$$\lambda_\alpha R_{ijk}^\alpha = p_i A_{jk}. \quad \dots(2.12)$$

In view of equations (2.11) and (2.12), we get the required result (2.10).

**Theorem 3.** Under the decomposition (2.1), the quantities  $\lambda_\alpha$  and  $v_i^h$  behave like recurrent vector and tensor fields respectively. The recurrent forms of these quantities are given by

$$\lambda_{\alpha,m} = \mu_m \lambda_\alpha, \quad \dots(2.13)$$

and

$$v_{i,m}^h = v_m v_i^h, \quad \dots(2.14)$$

where  $v_{i,m}^h = v_{im}^h$  and  $\mu_m, v_m$  are non-zero recurrence vector fields.

**Proof.** Differentiating (2.11), covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  and using equations (2.1) and (2.10), we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha,m} A_{jk} v_i^\alpha = \lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}. \quad \dots(2.15)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $\lambda_\alpha$  and using (2.11), we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha,m} (\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}) = \lambda_\alpha (\lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}). \quad \dots(2.16)$$

Now multiplying equation (2.16) by  $\lambda_h$ , we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha,m} (\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik}) \lambda_h = \lambda_\alpha \lambda_h (\lambda_{i,m} R_{jk} - \lambda_{j,m} R_{ik}). \quad \dots(2.17)$$

Since the expression on the right hand side of the above equation is a symmetric in  $\alpha$  and  $h$ , therefore we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha,m} \lambda_h = \lambda_{h,m} \lambda_\alpha, \quad \dots(2.18)$$

provided that  $\lambda_i R_{jk} - \lambda_j R_{ik} \neq 0$ .

The vector  $\lambda_\alpha$  being non-zero, we can obtain a proportional vector field  $\mu_m$  such that  $\lambda_{\alpha,m} = \mu_m \lambda_\alpha$ .

Further, differentiating (2.2) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  and using (2.13), we get

$$\lambda_h v_{i,m}^h = p_{i,m} - \mu_m p_i \quad \dots(2.19)$$

From the above equation it is obvious that

$$\lambda_h v_{i,m}^h = \lambda_\alpha v_{i,m}^\alpha \quad \dots(2.20)$$

Since  $\lambda_\alpha$  is a non-zero recurrence vector field, we can get a proportional vector field  $v_m$  such that  $v_{i,m}^h = v_m v_i^h$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Under the decomposition (2.1), the vector field  $p_i$  and tensor field  $A_{jk}$  behave like recurrent vector and recurrent tensor fields and their recurrent forms are given respectively by

$$P_{i,m} = (\mu_m + \nu_m)p_i, \quad \dots(2.21)$$

and

$$A_{jk,m} = (\lambda_m - \nu_m)A_{jk}. \quad \dots(2.22)$$

**Proof.** Differentiating (2.2) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  and using equations (2.2), (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain the required result (2.21).

Further, differentiating equation (2.1) covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  and using equations (1.11), (2.1) and (2.14), we get the required recurrent form (2.22).

**Theorem 5.** Under decomposition (2.1), the curvature tensor and holomorphically projective curvature tensor are equal iff

$$A_{km} \left\{ (p_i S_j^h - p_j S_i^h) + p_a (F_j^h F_i^a - F_i^h F_j^a) \right\} + 2p_a A_{ji} F_k^h F_i^a = 0. \quad \dots(2.23)$$

**Proof.** The holomorphically projective curvature tensor field  $p_{ijk}^h$  in  $T_n$  is defined by

$$p_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + S_{ik} F_j^h - S_{jk} F_i^h + 2S_{ij} F_k^h) / (n+2). \quad \dots(2.24)$$

which may be expressed briefly as

$$p_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h + D_{ijk}^h, \quad \dots(2.25)$$

where

$$nD_{ijk}^h = (R_{ik} \delta_j^h - R_{jk} \delta_i^h + S_{ik} F_j^h - S_{jk} F_i^h + 2S_{ij} F_k^h) / (n+2). \quad \dots(2.26)$$

Contracting indices  $h$  and  $k$  in (2.1), we get

$$R_{ij} = A_{ji} v_{,i}^l. \quad \dots(2.27)$$

In view of the above equation, we have

$$S_{ij} = F_i^a R_{aj} = F_i^a A_{ji} v_{,a}^l \quad \dots(2.28)$$

Making use of (2.27) and (2.28) in (2.26), we have

$$D_{ijk}^h = [A_{kl} \left\{ (S_j^h v_{,i}^l - S_i^h v_{,j}^l) + v_{,a}^D (F_j^h F_i^a - F_i^h F_j^a) \right\} + 2A_{ji} F_i^a F_k^h v_{,a}^l] / (n+2). \quad \dots(2.29)$$

From (2.25) it is clear that

$$p_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h \text{ iff } D_{ijk}^h = 0, \text{ which in view of equation (2.29), gives}$$

$$A_{ki} \left\{ (\delta_j^h v_{,i}^l - \delta_i^h v_{,j}^l) + v_{,a}^l (F_j^h F_i^a - F_i^h F_j^a) \right\} + 2A_{ji} F_i^a F_k^h v_{,a}^l = 0. \quad \dots(2.30)$$

Multiplying the above equation by  $\lambda_a$  and using (2.2), we obtain the required condition (2.23).

## REFERENCES

- [1] K.B. Lal and S.S. Singh, On Kaehlerian spaces with recurrent Bochner curvature, *Acc. Naz. Dei. Lincei, Rend., Series VIII, Vol. LI, No. 3-4* (1970), 91-96.
- [2] K. Takano, Decomposition of curvature tensor in a recurrent space, *Tensor (N.S.)*, **18** No. 3, (1967), 343-347.
- [3] S. Tachibana, On the Bochner curvature tensor, *Nat. Sci. Rep., Ochanonizu University* **18** No. 1 (1967), 15-19.
- [4] K. Yano, *Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces*, Pergamon Press (1965)