

Jñānābha, Vol. 31/32, 2002

(Dedicated to Professor H. M. Srivastava on his 62<sup>nd</sup> Birthday)

## A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A SEQUENCE OF MAPPINGS BY ALTERING DISTANCES

By

**K. Jha\***

Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Kathmandu University, P.O. Box 6250, Kathmandu, Nepal.

Email : jhakh@yahoo.co.in

and

**R.P. Pant**

Department of Mathematics  
Kumaun University, D.S.B. Campus, Nainital-263002, Uttranchal, India

(Received : September 12, 2002)

### ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this paper is to obtain a unique common fixed point theorem for a sequence of mappings of a complete metric space by altering distance between the points.

**1. Introduction.** In recent years, the study of common fixed points for self mappings on a complete metric space by altering distances between the points with the use of control functions, has generated a wide interest and many interesting theorems have been obtained by various authors. In this direction, Khan, Swaleh and Sessa [1] established fixed point theorem for a single self map. Sastry and Babu [6] proved fixed point theorem for a pair of self maps. Sastry *et al.* [7] proved a unique common fixed point theorem for four mappings by using a control function in order to alter distances between the points. Pant *et al.* [4,5] obtained an answer to the open problem of Sastry *et al.* [7] by establishing a connection between continuity and reciprocal continuity in the setting of control function.

In the present paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of self mappings in a complete metric space under control function. It is also notable that our result extends the corresponding result of Pant and Padaliya [3], by altering distance between points.

First we give the following definitions and notations : [see [2,3,7]].

(1) A control function  $\psi$  is defined as  $\psi : \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$  which is continuous at zero, monotonically increasing,  $\psi(2t) \leq 2\psi(t)$  and  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and if  $t = 0$ .

(2) Two self mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are called compatible if  $\lim_n d(ASx_n, SAsx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_n = t$  for some  $t$  in  $X$ .

(3) Two self mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are called  $\psi$ -compatible

\* **Address for correspondence :**

Department of Mathematics, Kumaun University, D.B.S. Campus, Nainital-263002, Uttaranchal

if  $\lim_n \psi(d(ASx_n, SAx_n)) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_n = t$  for some  $t$  in  $X$ .

(4) Two self mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be reciprocally continuous in  $X$  if  $\lim_n ASx_n = At$  and  $\lim_n SAx_n = St$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_n = t$  for some  $t$  in  $X$ .

(5) If  $\{A_i\}, i=1,2,3,\dots,S$  and  $T$  are self mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$  in the sequel for each  $i > 1$ , and  $\psi$  is a control function on  $\mathfrak{R}_+$ , we shall denote

$$M_{\psi_i}(x, y) = \max\{\psi(d(Sx, Ty)), \psi(d(A_i x, Sx)), \psi(d(A_i y, Ty))\}.$$

## 2. Results

**Theorem.** Let  $\{A_i\}, i=1,2,3,\dots,S$  and  $T$  are self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  for some  $i > 1$ , and  $\psi$  is a control function on  $\mathfrak{R}_+$  as in (1) satisfying:

- (i)  $A_1 X \subset TX, A_2 X \subset SX$ ;
- (ii)  $\psi(d(A_1 x, A_2 y)) \leq h M_{\psi_i}(x, y), 0 \leq h < 1$ , and
- (iii)  $\psi(d(A_1 x, A_i y)) \leq M_{\psi_i}(x, y)$ , whenever  $M_{\psi_i}(x, y) > 0$ .

Suppose that  $\{A_1, S\}$  and  $\{A_2, T\}$  be  $-\psi$  compatible pair of reciprocally continuous mappings. Then all the  $A_i, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be any point in  $X$ . Define sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad y_{2n} = A_1 x_{2n} = T x_{2n+1}; \quad y_{2n+1} = A_2 x_{2n+1} = S x_{2n+2}$$

This can be done by virtue of (i). We claim that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

We write  $\alpha_n = \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1}))$ . Then, using condition (ii), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2n} &= \psi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) = \psi(d(A_1 x_{2n}, A_2 x_{2n+1})) \leq h(M_{\psi_i}(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})) \\ &= h \max\{\psi(d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})), \psi(d(A_1 x_{2n}, Sx_{2n})), \psi(d(A_2 x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}))\} \\ &= h \max\{\psi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})), \psi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})), \psi(d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}))\}, \\ &\leq h \psi(d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})) = h \alpha_{2n-1} < \alpha_{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_{2n} \leq h \alpha_{2n-1} < \alpha_{2n-1}.$$

Similarly,  $\alpha_{2n-1} < h \alpha_{2n-2}$ ;  $\alpha_{2n-2} < h \alpha_{2n-3}$  and so on. That is  $\alpha_n \leq h \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq h^n \alpha_0$ .

Moreover, for each integer  $p > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+p})) &\leq \psi[d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p})] \\ &\leq \psi[(1+h+\dots+h^{p-1})h^n d(y_0, y_1)] \\ &\leq \psi[(1/(1-h))h^n d(y_0, y_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

So that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$\psi[(1/(1-h))h^n d(y_0, y_1)] < \psi(\epsilon)$  for all  $n \geq N$ . This implies  $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete, there is a point  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also, from (2.1), we have

$$(2.3) \quad y_{2n} = A_1 x_{2n} = T x_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = A_2 x_{2n+1} = S x_{2n+2} \rightarrow z.$$

Now suppose that  $\{A_1, S\}$  is a  $\psi$ -compatible pair of reciprocally continuous

mappings. Then, since  $A_1$  and  $S$  are reciprocally continuous, by (2.3), we get  $A_1 S x_{2n} \rightarrow A_1 z$  and  $S A_1 x_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ . Also,  $\psi$ -compatibility of  $A_1$  and  $S$  implies that  $\lim_n \psi(d(A_1 S x_{2n}, S A_1 x_{2n})) = 0$ . That is,  
 $\lim_n d(A_1 z, Sz) = 0$ . Hence

$$(2.4) \quad A_1 z = Sz.$$

Since  $A_1 X \subset TX$ , there is a point  $w$  in  $X$  such that  $Tw = A_1 z$ . Thus, by (2.4),

$$(2.5) \quad Tw = A_1 z = Sz.$$

Now, we show that  $A_1 z = A_2 w$ . Suppose  $A_1 z \neq A_2 w$ . Then, using assumption (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(A_1 z, A_2 w)) &\leq h M_{\psi, (z, w)} \\ &= h \max \{ \psi(d(Sz, Tw)), \psi(d(A_1 z, Sz)), \psi(d(A_2 w, Tw)) \}. \\ &= h \psi(d(A_2 w, Tw)) < \psi(d(A_2 w, A_1 z)), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $A_1 z = A_2 w$ . Therefore, from (2.4), (2.6)  $A_2 w = A_1 z = Sz = Tw$ .

Now, if  $A_1 z \neq A_i w$  for some  $i > 2$ , then from (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(A_1 z, A_i w)) &< \max \{ \psi(d(Sz, Tw)), \psi(d(A_1 z, Sz)), \psi(d(A_i w, Tw)) \}. \\ &= \psi(d(A_i w, A_1 z)), \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A_1 z = A_i w = Tw$ . We now show that  $A_1 A_1 z = A_1 z$ .

Suppose that  $A_1 A_1 z \neq A_1 z$ . Then, by (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(A_1 z, A_1 A_1 z)) &= \psi(d(A_2 w, A_1 A_1 z)) \\ &\leq h M_{\psi, (A_1 z, w)} \\ &= h \psi(d(A_1 z, A_1 A_1 z)), \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A_1 A_1 z = A_1 z$ . Also, using (iii), for  $i > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(A_1 z, A_1 A_1 z)) &= \psi(d(A_1 A_1 z, A_i w)) \\ &< \max \{ \psi(d(SA_1, Tw)), \psi(d(A_1 A_1 z, SA_1 z)), \psi(d(A_i w, Tw)) \}. \\ &= \psi(d(A_1 A_1 z, A_i w)), \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A_1 A_1 z = A_1 Sz = SA_1 z = SSz = A_1 z$ ; that is,  $A_1 z$  is a common fixed point of  $A_1$  and  $S$ .

Similarly, we can show  $A_2 w$  to be a common fixed point of  $A_2$  and  $T$ . Hence  $A_1 z = A_2 w$  is a common fixed point of all  $A_1, A_2, S$  and  $T$ .

Moreover, if  $A_2 w \neq A_i A_2 w$  for some  $i > 2$ , then using assumption (iii), we get

$$\psi(d(A_1 z, A_i A_2 w)) < \psi(d(A_1 z, A_i A_2 w)) \text{ a contradiction. Hence } A_1 z = A_2 w \text{ is a common fixed point of all } \{A_i\}, S \text{ and } T.$$

The uniqueness of a common fixed point follows easily from (ii). The proof is similar when  $\{A_2, T\}$  is assumed to be  $\psi$ -compatible and reciprocally continuous. This completes the proof of the theorem.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is thankful to the University Grants Commission, Nepal for providing financial support for carrying out the research work at Kumaun University, Nainital, India.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **30**, (1984), 1-9.
- [2] R.P. Pant, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **90** (1998), 281-286.
- [3] R.P. Pant and S. Padaliya, *Jñānābha* **29** (1999), 137-143.
- [4] R.P. Pant, K. Jha and S. Padaliya, *Tamkang. J. Math.* (2003) (To Appear).
- [5] R.P. Pant, K. Jha and S. Padaliya, *Tamkang. J. Math.* (2003) (To Appear).
- [6] K. P. R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **30** (1999), 641-647.
- [7] K.P.R. Sastry, S.V.R. Naidu, G.V.R. Babu and G.A. Naidu, *Tamkang. J. Math.*, **31(3)**, (2000), 243-250.