

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR ϕ -CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS IN D -METRIC SPACES

By

Anil Rajput and Smrati Arya (Harda)

Department of Mathematics

Sadhu Vaswani College, Bairagarh, Bhopal, 462008, M.P., India

(Received : May 20, 2002)

ABSTRACT

Our main object in this paper is to obtain some results on fixed point for ϕ -contractive mappings in D -metric space with rational inequality.

1. Introduction. In 1992, Dhage [3] introduced the notion of a D -metric space which is as follows:

Definition 1.1: A nonempty set X together with a D -metric D is called a D -metric space where D -metric is a function

$D: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:

- 1) $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and equality holds if and only if $x=y=z$
(non-negativity)
- 2) $D(x, y, z) = D(x, z, y) = \dots$ (symmetry)
- 3) $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.
(Tetrahedral inequality)

Below in the following we give some definitions due to Dhage [3] which will be useful in the sequel for proving certain fixed point theorems in D -metric spaces.

Definition 1.2: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of a D -metric space X is called D -convergent and converges to a point x in X , if for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all

$$m, n \geq n_0, D(x_m, x_n, x) < \epsilon.$$

Definition 1.3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of a D -metric space X is called D -Cauchy if for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m > n, p \geq n_0, D(x_m, x_n, x_p) < \epsilon$. A complete D -metric space X is one in which every D -Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to a point x in X . A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous if and only if $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Definition 1.4: A D -metric space (X, D) is said to be bounded if there exists a number $M > 0$ such that $D(x, y, z) \leq M$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and the constant M is D -bound of X .

A D -metric space (X, D) is said to be unbounded if it is not bounded in that case $D(x, y, z)$ takes values as large as we please.

2002 Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H10.

Key Words and phrases. D -metric space, common fixed point theorem, etc.

Definition 1.5 : Let $T: X \rightarrow X$, then an orbit of T at a point $x \in X$ is a set $\theta(x)$ in X given by

$$\theta(x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}.$$

An orbit $\theta(x)$, $x \in X$ of T in a D -metric space X is said to be bounded if there exists a constant k such that $D(u, v, w) \leq k$ for all $u, v, w \in \theta(x)$.

Definition 1.6 : X is called T -orbitally bounded if the orbit $\theta(x)$ for each $x \in X$ is bounded and X is called T -orbitally complete if every D -Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\theta(x)$, $x \in X$ converges to a point in X .

Every bounded D -metric space is T -orbitally but converse may not be true.

It is shown in Dhage [3] that the D -metric D is a continuous function in the topology of D -metric convergence which is Hausdorff in nature.

2. Preliminaries. In 1992, Dhage [3] proved the following:

Theorem 2.1: Let T be a self map of complete and bounded D -metric space (X, D) satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq q D(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $0 \leq q < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

From the Thesis of Ajij M. Pthan

Theorem 2.2: Let T be a self map of T -orbitally bounded and T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq q \max \{D(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, Ty), D(y, Ty, Tz), D(z, Tz, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $0 \leq q < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point $p \in X$ and T is continuous at p .

Corollary 2.3: Let T be a self map of T -orbitally bounded and T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \alpha D(x, y, z) + \beta D(x, Tx, Ty) + \gamma D(y, Ty, Tz) + \delta D(z, Tz, Tx)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are non negative real numbers such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

In a recent paper Dhage, B.E. Rhoades and Ajij M. Pathan [6] proved

Theorem 2.4: Let T be a self map of a T -orbitally bounded and T -orbitally complete D -metric space satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \alpha \frac{\{[1 + D(x, Tx, z)] D(y, Ty, z) + \beta D(x, y, z)\}}{[1 + D(x, Tx, z)]}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $z \in \overline{O_T(x)} \cup \overline{O_T(y)}$ where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, satisfy $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Then T has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and T is continuous at u .

3. Fixed Points Theorems for ϕ -Contraction Mappings.

Theorem 3.1: Let S, T be a self map of a S, T -orbitally bounded and S, T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying the following:

$$D(Sx, Ty, Tz) \leq \phi \max \{(D(x, y, z), D(x, Sx, z), D(y, Ty, Tz),$$

$$\frac{[1 + D(x, Sx, z)] D(y, Ty, Tz)}{[1 + D(x, y, z)]} \frac{[1 + D(y, Ty, Tz)] D(x, Sx, z)}{[1 + D(x, y, z)]} \} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\phi : [0, \infty)^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

ϕ is upper semi-continuous and decreasing in each co-ordinate variable.

$\phi(t, t, t, t, t) < t$ for all $t > 0$,

Then S, T have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary, then we can find x'_n 's in X such that

$$x_n = Sx_{n-1} \text{ and } x_{n+1} = Tx_n \text{ for } n=0,1,2,\dots$$

if $x_r = x_{n+1}$ for some $r \in N$, then clearly x_r is a fixed point of T . So let $x_r \neq x_{r+1}$ for any $r \in N$. Condition (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{p+1}) \\ &= D(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_p) \\ &\leq \phi \max \{ D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p), D(x_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1}, x_p), D(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_p), \\ &\quad \frac{[1 + D(x_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1}, x_p)] D(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_p)}{[1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p)]} \\ &\quad \frac{[1 + D(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_p)] D(x_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1}, x_p)}{[1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p)]} \\ &\leq \phi \max \left\{ D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p), D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p), D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{p+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \frac{[1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p)] D(x_n, x_{n+1}, Tx_{p+1})}{[1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p)]} \\ &\quad \left. \frac{[1 + D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{p+1})] D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p)}{[1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_p)]} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $D_{n,p} = D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_p)$. We want to show that $D_{n,p+1} \leq D_{n-1,p}$

For this, assume that $D_{n,p+1} > D_{n-1,p}$. Then we get

$$D_{n,p+1} \leq \phi \max \{ D_{n-1,p}, D_{n-1,p}, D_{n,p+1} \}$$

$$\frac{[1 + D_{n-1,p}]}{[1 + D_{n-1,p}]} D_{n,p+1}, \frac{[1 + D_{n-1,p}]}{[1 + D_{n-1,p}]} D_{n,p+1} \left. \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \phi \max \{ D_{n-1,p}, D_{n-1,p}, D_{n,p+1}, D_{n,p+1}, D_{n,p+1} \} \\ &\leq \phi \max \{ D_{n,p+1}, D_{n,p+1}, D_{n,p+1}, D_{n,p+1}, D_{n,p+1} \} \\ &< D_{n,p+1} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $D_{n,p+1} \leq D_{n-1,p}$. Thus the sequence $\{D_{n,p}\}$ is a non-increasing in R^+ bounded below by 0. Therefore $D_{n,p} \rightarrow 0$.

Now we shall show that sequence $\{x_n\}$ is D -Cauchy. If not then there exists an $\varepsilon >$

0 and sequence of positive integers $\{m(k)\}, \{n(k)\}$ and $\{p(k)\}$ such that

$$k \leq n(k) \leq p(k) \leq m(k) \text{ and}$$

$$c_k = D(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, x_{p(k)}) \geq \varepsilon.$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Let $m(k)$ be the least integer exceeding $n(k)$ and $p(k)$ for which above inequality is true, then by well ordering principle, we get

$$D(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, x_{p(k)}) \geq \varepsilon.$$

Now

$$\varepsilon \leq c_k$$

$$= D(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, x_{p(k)})$$

$$\leq \left\{ D(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, x_{p(k)}) + D(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}, x_{p(k)}) + D(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) \right\}$$

$$< \varepsilon + D_{m(k)-1, p(k)} + D_{m(k)-1, n(k)}.$$

Taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get $c_k \rightarrow \varepsilon$.

Further c_k can have the following eight different cases:

- (1) m and n are even and p is odd
- (2) m and p are even and n is odd
- (3) n and p are even and m is odd
- (4) m is even and n and p are odd
- (5) n is even and m and p are odd
- (6) p is even and m and n are odd
- (7) m, n and p are all even
- (8) m, n and p are all odd

Case 1. When m and n are even and p is odd. Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-1}) \\ &\leq \{D(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2p-1}) + D(x_{2m+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-1}) + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2m+1})\} \\ &\leq \{D_{2m, 2p-1} + D_{2m, 2n} + D(x_{2m+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + D(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-1}) \\ &\quad + D(x_{2m+1}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2p-1})\} \\ &\leq \{D_{2m, 2p-1} + D_{2m, 2n} + D_{2n, 2m+1} + D_{2n, 2p-1} + D(Sx_{2m}, Tx_{2m}, Tx_{2p-2})\} \end{aligned}$$

using condition (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &D(Sx_{2m}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2p-2}) \\ &\leq \phi \max\{D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2}), D(x_{2m}, Sx_{2m}, x_{2p-2}), D(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2p-2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, Sx_{2m}, x_{2p-2})\right] D(x_n, Tx_{2n}, x_{2p-2})}{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2})\right]}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \frac{\left[1 + D(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2p-2})\right] D(x_{2m}, Sx_{2m}, x_{2p-2})}{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2})\right]} \right\} \\
& \leq \phi \max\{D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2}), D(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2p-2}), D(x_{2m}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2p-1}), \\
& \quad \frac{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2p-2})\right] D(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2p-1})}{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2})\right]} \\
& \quad \left. \frac{\left[1 + D(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2p-1})\right] D(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2p-2})}{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2})\right]} \right\} \\
& \leq \phi \max\{D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-1}) + D(x_{2p-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2}) + D(x_{2m}, x_{2p-1}, x_{2p-2}), \\
& \quad D(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2p-2}), D(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2p-1}), \\
& \quad \frac{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1}, x_{2p-2})\right] D_{2n, 2p-1}}{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-1}) + D(x_{2p-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2}) + D(x_{2m}, x_{2p-1}, x_{2p-2})\right]}, \\
& \quad \left. \frac{\left[1 + D(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2p-1})\right] D_{2m, 2p-2}}{\left[1 + D(x_{2m}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-1}) + D(x_{2p-1}, x_{2n}, x_{2p-2}) + D(x_{2m}, x_{2p-1}, x_{2p-2})\right]} \right\} \\
& \leq \phi \max\{(C_k + D_{2p-2, 2n} + D_{2p-2, 2m}), D_{2m, 2p-2}, D_{2n, 2p-1}, \\
& \quad \frac{\left[1 + D_{2m, 2p-2}\right] D_{2n, 2p-1}}{\left[1 + c_k + D_{2p-2, 2n} + D_{2p-2, 2m}\right]} \frac{\left[1 + D_{2n, 2p-1}\right] D_{2m, 2p-2}}{\left[1 + c_k + D_{2p-2, 2n} + D_{2p-2, 2m}\right]} \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality (3.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
C_k = & \{D_{2m, 2p-1} + D_{2m, 2n} + D_{2n, 2m+1} + D_{2n, 2p-1} + \phi \max(C_k + D_{2p-2, 2n} + D_{2p-2, 2m}), \\
& D_{2m, 2p-2}, D_{2n, 2p-1}, \\
& \left. \frac{\left[1 + D_{2m, 2p-2}\right] D_{2n, 2p-1}}{\left[1 + C_k + D_{2p-2, 2n} + D_{2p-2, 2m}\right]} \frac{\left[1 + D_{2n, 2p-1}\right] D_{2m, 2p-2}}{\left[1 + c_k + D_{2p-2, 2n} + D_{2p-2, 2m}\right]} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ is upper semi-continuous so taking limit as $m, n, p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$C_k \leq 0 + \phi \max(\epsilon, 0, 0, 0, 0) \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence $\epsilon = 0$. Similarly in other cases we get $\epsilon = 0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a D -Cauchy sequence in complete D -metric space X , there exists a point u in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$.

Now we want to show that u is the fixed point of T . For this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_{n+1}, Tu, Tu) &= D(Sx_n, Tu, Tu) \\ &\leq \phi \max\{D(x_n, u, u), D(x_n, Sx_n, u), D(u, Tu, Tu), \\ &\quad \frac{D(u, Tu, Tu)[1 + D(x_n, Sx_n, u)]}{[1 + D(x_n, u, u)]} \frac{D(x_n, Sx_n, u)[1 + D(u, Tu, Tu)]}{[1 + D(x_n, u, u)]}\} \\ &\leq \phi \max\{D(x_n, u, u), D(x_n, x_{n+1}, u), D(u, Tu, Tu), \\ &\quad \frac{D(u, Tu, Tu)[1 + D(x_n, x_{n+1}, u)]}{[1 + D(x_n, u, u)]} \frac{D(x_n, x_{n+1}, u)[1 + D(u, Tu, Tu)]}{[1 + D(x_n, u, u)]}\} \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$D(u, Tu, Tu) \leq \phi \max\{0, 0, D(u, Tu, Tu), D(u, Tu, Tu), D(u, Tu, Tu)\}$$

a contradiction. Hence $u = Tu$.

$$\begin{aligned} D(u, Su, u) &= D(Su, Tu, Tu) \\ &\leq \phi \max\{D(u, u, u), D(u, Su, u), D(u, Tu, Tu), \\ &\quad \frac{D(u, Su, Tu)[1 + D(u, Tu, u)]}{[1 + D(u, u, u)]} \frac{D(u, Tu, Tu)[1 + D(u, Su, Tu)]}{[1 + D(u, u, u)]}\} \\ &\leq D(u, Su, u) \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $u = Su$.

To prove uniqueness let $v \neq u$ be another fixed point of T . Then by condition (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(u, u, v) &= D(Su, Tu, Tv) \\ &\leq \phi \max\{D(u, u, v), D(u, u, v), D(u, u, v), D(u, u, v), D(u, u, v), \\ &\quad D(u, u, v)\} \\ &< D(u, u, v), \end{aligned}$$

gives a contradiction. Hence $v = u$. Thus u is the unique fixed point of T .

Corollary 3.1. Let T be a self map of a T -orbitally bounded and T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying the following:

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \phi \max\{D(x, y, z)D(x, Tx, z), D(y, Ty, Tz),$$

$$\left. \frac{[1 + D(x, Tx, z)]D(y, Ty, Tz)}{[1 + D(x, y, z)]} \frac{[1 + D(y, Ty, Tz)]D(x, Tx, z)}{[1 + D(x, y, z)]} \right\} \tag{3.1.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\phi: [0, \infty)^5 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying ϕ is upper semi-continuous and decreasing in each co-ordinate variable. $\phi(t, t, t, t, t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: The proof is similar to the theorem 3.1 on taking $S = T$.

Corollary 3.2: Let S, T be a self map of a, S, T -orbitally bounded and S, T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying the following:

$$D(Sx, Ty, Tz) \leq \phi \max \{D(x, y, z), D(x, Sx, z), D(y, Ty, Tz)\},$$

$$\left. \frac{[1 + D(x, Sx, z)]D(y, Ty, Tz)}{[1 + D(x, y, z)]} \right\} \tag{3.2.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\phi: [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying ϕ is upper semi-continuous and decreasing in each co-ordinate variable. $\phi(t, t, t, t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

Then S, T have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. The proof is similar to the theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3: Let T be a self map of a T -orbitally bounded and T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying the following:

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \phi \max \{D(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, z), D(y, Ty, Tz)\},$$

$$\left. \frac{[1 + D(x, Tx, z)]D(y, Ty, Tz)}{[1 + D(x, y, z)]} \right\} \tag{3.3.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\phi: [0, \infty)^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying ϕ is upper semi-continuous and decreasing in each co-ordinate variable. $\phi(t, t, t, t) < t$ for all $t > 0$

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: The proof to the Corollary 3.2 on taking $S = T$.

Corollary 3.4: Let S, T be a self map of a S, T -orbitally bounded and S, T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying the following:

$$D(Sx, Ty, Tz) \leq \phi \max \{D(x, y, z), D(x, Sx, z), D(y, Ty, Tz)\} \tag{3.4.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\phi: [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

ϕ is upper semi-continuous and decreasing in each co-ordinate variable.

$\phi(t, t, t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

Then S, T have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. The proof is similar to the theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.5: Let T be a self map of a T -orbitally bounded and T -orbitally complete D -metric space X satisfying the following:

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \phi \max \{D(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, z), D(y, Ty, Tz)\} \quad (3.5.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\phi: [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying

ϕ is upper semi-continuous and decreasing in each co-ordinate variable.

$\phi(t, t, t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. The proof is similar to the theorem (3.1) if we take $S = T$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Bansal, R. Chug and R. Kumar, Fixed Points for ϕ -Contractive Mappings in D-Metric Spaces, *East Asia Math. Comm.* **1** (1998), 9-15.
- [2] E.T. Copson, *Metric Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1968.
- [3] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **84** (4) (1992), 329-336.
- [4] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and topological structure II, *Pure. Appl. Math. Sci.*, **41** (1994), 37-41.
- [5] B. C. Dhage, On continuity of mappings in D-metric spaces, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **86** (1994), 503-508.
- [6] B. C. Dhage, A. M. Pathan and B.E. Rhoades, A general existence principle for fixed point theorem in D -metric spaces, *Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* **21** (1998).
- [7] T. Veerapandi and R. Chandrasekher, Fixed point in Dhage Metric spaces, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **15**, No. 1-2, Math., 1996.