

## A RESULT ON SIMPLE ACCESSIBLE RINGS

By

K. Suvarna and K. Subhashini

Department of Mathematics, S.K. University,  
Anatapur-515 003, Andhra Pradesh, India

(Received : July 10, 1999)

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we show that a simple accessible ring is either a  $(-1,1)$  ring or a commutative ring.

**1. Introduction.** Accessible rings were introduced by Kleinfeld [1]. A non-associative ring  $R$  is called accessible in case the following two identities hold :

$$(1) \quad (x,y,z) + (z,x,y) - (x,z,y) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad ((w,x), y,z) = 0$$

for all  $w,x,y,z$  in  $R$ , where the associator  $(x,y,z)$  is defined by  $(x,y,z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$  and the commutator  $(x,y)$  is defined by  $(x,y) = xy - yx$ . An accessible ring is defined to be simple if it has no proper two sided ideals.

A  $(-1,1)$  ring is a non-associative ring in which the following identities hold :

$$(3) \quad (x,y,z) + (x,z,y) = 0,$$

$$(4) \quad (x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y) = 0.$$

In [4] it is proved that a simple non-associative ring of char  $\neq 2$  satisfying the identity  $((x,y,z),w) = 0$  is either commutative or associative, Kleinfeld [2] proved that the same result still valid when this identity is replaced by more general conditions. The identity  $((x,y,z) \nu, w) = 0$  holds in accessible rings under the assumption that the rings are without nilpotent elements in the center [1]. Without this assumption we show that  $((x,y,y) \nu, w) = 0$  holds in accessible rings. Using this identity we show that a simple accessible ring is either  $(-1,1)$  or commutative.

**2. Preliminaries.** By substituting  $z = x$  in (1) we obtain the flexible law  $(x,y,x) = 0$ . The following identities hold in accessible rings

$$(5) \quad (x,y,z) = -(z,y,x),$$

$$(6) \quad (x,y,z) = x(y,z) + (x,z)y,$$

$$(7) \quad (x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y) = 0,$$

$$(8) \quad ((w,x,y),z) = 0.$$

The nucleus  $N$  of  $R$  is defined as the set of all elements  $n$  in  $R$  with the property  $(n,R,R) = 0$ . If  $n$  is an element of the nucleus  $N$  of  $R$ , then because

of the flexible law  $(R, R, n) = 0$ , Finally because of (1) it follows that also  $(R, n, R) = 0$ .

The following identity holds in an arbitrary ring :

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y) z.$$

If  $w = n$  in the above equation, then it becomes

$$(9) \quad (nx, y, z) = n(x, y, z), \quad n \text{ in } N.$$

From (9) and from the fact that every commutator is in the nucleus, we get

$$(v, x)(x, y, z) = ((v, x)x, y, z).$$

It follows from (6) that  $(v, x)x = (v, x, x)$ . Consequently

$$((v, x)x, y, z) = ((vx, x), y, z) = 0, \text{ Thus}$$

$$(10) \quad (v, x)(x, y, z) = 0.$$

### 3. Main Result

**Lemma 1.** In an accessible ring  $R, ((x, y, y)v, w) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Linearization of (10) becomes

$$(11) \quad (v, w)(x, y, z) = -(v, x)(w, y, z)$$

$$\text{By using (5), (11), (7) and (10),}$$

$$= (v, y)(w, y, x)$$

$$= (v, y)[-(y, x, w) - (x, w, y)]$$

$$= -(v, y)(y, x, w) + (v, y)(y, w, x)$$

$$= 0.$$

That is,

$$(12) \quad (v, w)(x, y, y) = 0.$$

Now from (6), (12) and (8), we get  $((x, y, y)v, w) = (x, y, y)(v, w) + ((x, y, y), w)v = 0$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $R$  be an accessible ring, then  $U = \{u \in R / (u, r) = 0 = (uR, R)\}$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

**Proof.** If we put  $w = u$  in (8), then  $((u, x, y), z) = 0$ . From this it follows that  $(ux, y, z) - (u, xy, z) = 0$ . Then  $(ux, y, z) = 0$  by the definition of  $U$ . Thus  $ux \in U$ . So  $U$  is a right ideal of  $R$ . Since  $(u, R) = 0$ ,  $(u, x) = 0$ . That is  $ux = xu \in U$ . So  $U$  is a left ideal of  $R$ . Hence  $U$  is an Ideal of  $R$ .

**Theorem.** If  $R$  is a simple accessible ring, then  $R$  is either a  $(-1, 1)$  ring or a commutative ring.

**Proof.** From (8) and lemma 1  $(x, y, y)$  is in  $U$ . Since  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $R$  is simple, we have either  $U = 0$  or  $U = R$ . If  $U = 0$ , then  $R$  is right alternative, that is  $(x, y, y) = 0$ .

Linearization of this yields  $(x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0$ . From this and (7) it follows that  $R$  is a  $(-1, 1)$  ring. If  $U = R$  then  $R$  is a commutative ring.

### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Kleinfeld, Standard and accessible rings, *Canad. J. Math.* 8 (1956), 335-340.
- [2] E. Kleinfeld, On rings with alternators in the commutative center, *Port. Math.* 50 (1993), 297-304
- [3] Yash Paul, A note on  $(-1, 1)$  rings, *Jñānābha* 11 (1981), 107-109
- [4] A Thedy, On rings satisfying  $((a, b, c), d) = 0$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29 (1971), 213-218.