

**A REMARK ON SASAKIAN RECURRENT SPACES OF THE FIRST KIND**

By

**A.K. Singh and K. L. Joshi**

Department of Mathematics, H.N.B. Garhwal University,  
Campus Tehri, Tehri Garhwal -249001, Uttar Pradesh, India

(Received : July 10, 2000)

**1. Introduction.** Let us consider an  $n$ -dimensional affinely connected sasakian space  $s_n$  with recurrent curvature  $R_{jkl}^i$  admitting an infinitesimal transformation :

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) \delta t,$$

and we assume a basic condition  $L_v \lambda_m = 0$ , where  $\lambda_m$  is a non-zero recurrence vector appeared in the equation

$$\nabla_m R_{jkl}^i = \lambda_m R_{jkl}^i,$$

$L_v$  denotes the Lie-derivative with respect to  $v^i$  and  $\nabla$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection. In this case, the space has been called a Sasakian recurrent space of the first kind and is denoted in brief by an  $S_n^*$ -space. Under a condition  $\alpha = \lambda_m v^m \neq \text{constant}$ , we have

$$Akl \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla_l \lambda_k - \nabla_k \lambda_l \neq 0.$$

The present space with  $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$  is called a Sasakian recurrent spaces of the first kind, or in brief an  $-A S_n^*$ -space.

Takano (1966) has studied the existence of an affine motion of recurrent form and give a remark there and it is read as follows

In this space satisfying  $L_v R_{jkl}^i = 0$ , under a decomposition of curvature tensor of the form :

$\alpha R_{jkl}^i = Akl \nabla_j v^i$  in order to have  $L_v \Gamma_{jk}^i = 0$ , we can suppose formally a parallel property of contravariant vector  $\beta v^i = \alpha \eta^i$ , but this supposition yields a contradiction.

However, generally speaking, the present space itself does not admit intrinsically such a vector field. In this short report, we shall prove this fact.

**2. Some Formulae.** The space with recurrent curvature is characterized by a basic condition

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_m R_{jkl}^i = \lambda_m R_{jkl}^i,$$

and the useful fundamental formulae are the first and second Bianchi's

identities given by

$$(2.2) \quad R_{klj}^i + R_{ljk}^i + R_{jkl}^i = 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_m R_{jkl}^i + \lambda_k R_{jlm}^i + \lambda_l R_{jmk}^i = 0.$$

An  $A S_n^*$ -space has not only (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) but also the following formulae :

$$(2.4) \quad A_{kl} v^l = -\alpha \alpha_k,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha_i v^i = 0, \text{ i.e. , } \mathbb{1}\alpha = 0,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad A_{kl} = \lambda_k \alpha_l - \lambda_l \alpha_k.$$

The well-known Ricci's identity in this space may be derived from (2.1) with ease and it is written as

$$(2.7) \quad A_{mn} R_{jkl}^i = R_{jkl}^\alpha R_{\alpha mn}^i - R_{\alpha kl}^i R_{jmn}^\alpha - R_{jal}^i R_{kmn}^\alpha - R_{jka}^i R_{lmn}^\alpha.$$

**3. Main Subject.** Being  $\alpha_r \neq 0$ , we can introduce a vector  $\eta^k$  so as to satisfy

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_k \eta^k = 1.$$

Then, multiplying (2.7) by  $\eta^m v^n$  side by side and summing over  $m$  and  $n$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha R_{jkl}^i + R_{jkl}^\alpha A_\alpha^i - R_{\alpha kl}^i A_j^\alpha - R_{jal}^i A_k^\alpha - R_{jka}^i A_l^\alpha = 0,$$

where we have used  $A_{mn} \eta^m v^n = -\alpha$ , derived from (2.4) and (3.1), or (2.5), (2.6) and (3.1) and put  $A_j^i = R_{jkl}^i \eta^k v^l$ .

Next, multiplying (2.3) by  $v^m$  and summing over  $m$  owing to  $R_{jmk}^i = -R_{jkm}^i$ , we find

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha R_{jkl}^i + \lambda_k R_{jlm}^i v^m - \lambda_l R_{jkm}^i v^m = 0,$$

from which, putting  $\beta = \lambda_m \eta^m$ , we are able to have

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_k A_j^i = (\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l) R_{jkl}^i.$$

Eliminating  $\alpha R_{jkl}^i$  from (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$R_{jkl}^\alpha A_\alpha^i - R_{\alpha kl}^i A_j^\alpha = R_{jal}^i (A_k^\alpha - \lambda_k v^\alpha) - R_{jka}^i (A_l^\alpha - \lambda_l v^\alpha).$$

At this moment, if we multiply the last formula by  $\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l$  and sum over the index  $l$ , then by virtue of (3.4), it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad A_j^i \lambda_\alpha (A_k^\alpha - \lambda_k v^\alpha) = R_{jka}^i (A_l^\alpha - \lambda_l v^\alpha) (\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l).$$

Now, from  $R_{jkl}^i = -R_{jlk}^i$  and (2.2), we see that  $R_{jkl}^i - R_{kjl}^i = -R_{ljk}^i$ , so from (3.4), we can derive the relation

$$\lambda_k A_j^i - \lambda_j A_k^i = -(\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l) R_{ljk}^i.$$

Hereupon, let us assume the parallelism of  $\beta v^i - \alpha \eta^i$ , then we have immediately  $(\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l) R_{ljk}^i = 0$ , so under the present assumption, it is concluded that

$$\lambda_k A_j^i = \lambda_j A_k^i.$$

Being  $\lambda_k \neq 0$ , from the last formula, we may put

$$(3.6) \quad A_k^i = \lambda_k A^i,$$

where  $A^i$  indicates a suitable vector. Making use of (3.6) into the right hand side of (3.5) and taking care of  $(\beta v^l - \alpha n^l) \lambda_l = 0$ , we have  $A_j^i (\mu_k - \alpha \lambda_k) = 0$ , where we have defined  $\mu_k$  by  $A_k^i \lambda_i$ . It is easy to see that  $A_j^i \neq 0$ , and we have

$$(3.7) \quad \mu_k = \alpha \lambda_k.$$

From (3.6), it follows that  $\mu_k = \lambda_i A_k^i = \lambda_i A^i \lambda_k$  comparing the last result with (3.7), owing to  $\lambda_k \neq 0$  we get

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_m A^m = \alpha.$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.2), we have

$$\alpha R_{jkl}^i - (\nabla_l \nabla_k - \nabla_k \nabla_l) A_j^i - A^\alpha (\lambda_k R_{j\alpha l}^i - \lambda_l R_{j\alpha k}^i) = 0$$

or by virtue of (2.3), we get

$$\alpha R_{jkl}^i + A^\alpha \lambda_\alpha R_{jlk}^i = (\nabla_l \nabla_k - \nabla_k \nabla_l) A_j^i.$$

Making use of  $R_{jkl}^i = -R_{jlk}^i$  and (3.8), the above equation becomes

$$\nabla_l \nabla_k A_j^i - \nabla_k \nabla_l A_j^i = 0.$$

In this case, for a suitable vector  $\delta_k$ , we can regard  $A_j^i$  to be a recurrent tensor given by

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla_k A_j^i = \delta_k A_j^i.$$

Differentiating (3.4) covariantly and making use of parallelism of  $\beta v^i - \alpha n^i$ , we find

$$A_j^i \nabla_l \lambda_k + \lambda_k \delta_l A_j^i = \lambda_l \lambda_k A_j^i,$$

where we have used (2.1) and (3.9).

Being  $A_j^i \neq 0$ , from the last formula, we get

$$(3.10) \quad \nabla_l \lambda_k = \lambda_k \lambda_l - \lambda_k \delta_l.$$

Now, remembering (3.6), (3.9) may be rewritten as

$$\lambda_j \nabla_k A^i + A^i A_k^j \lambda_j = \delta_k \lambda_j A^i.$$

Consequently, substituting (3.10) into the last relation, we get

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_k A^i = (2\delta_k - \lambda_k) A^i,$$

where we have neglected non-vanishing  $\lambda_j$ . Multiplying (3.11) by  $\lambda_i$  and summing over  $i$ , according to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i \nabla_k A^i &= \nabla_k (\lambda_i A^i) - A^i \nabla_k \lambda_i \\ &= \alpha \alpha_k - A^i (\lambda_i \lambda_k - \lambda_i \delta_k) \\ &= \alpha \alpha_k - \alpha \lambda_k + \alpha \delta_k, \end{aligned}$$

and making an appeal to (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$\alpha \alpha_k - \alpha \lambda_k + \alpha \delta_k = \alpha (2\delta_k - \lambda_k),$$

$$\text{or } \alpha (\delta_k - \lambda_k) = 0,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \delta_k = \lambda_k.$$

In this way, (3.10) becomes

$$\nabla_l \lambda_k = \lambda_k \lambda_l - \lambda_k \alpha_l.$$

hence we can see that

$$Akl = \nabla_l \lambda_k - \nabla_k \lambda_l = -\lambda_k \alpha_l + \lambda_l \lambda_k.$$

Comparing the last result with (2.6), we have here  $Akl = 0$  and so, we have

$$\alpha = c \text{ (constant).}$$

This concluding contradicts clearly with our preliminary assumption on  $\alpha = \lambda_m v^m$ , for the  $AS_n^*$ -space has been introduced originally by basic condition  $\int_V \lambda_m = 0$  and  $\alpha \neq \text{constant}$ .

This completes the proof of the fact emphasized at the beginning of this report. Thus, we can state here a definite conclusion as follows :

The  $AS_n^*$ -space does not admit a parallel vector field defined by  $\beta v^i - \alpha \eta^i$

**4. Appendix.** In the preceding section, under  $\nabla_l \nabla_k A_j^i - \nabla_k \nabla_l A_j^i = 0$  we have supposed formally a condition (3.9). We shall show here the possibility of (3.9).

If  $\nabla_l \nabla_k A_j^i - \nabla_k \nabla_l A_j^i = 0$  will be the case, the formula (3.2) is, simplified as

$$\alpha R_{jkl}^i = R_{jal}^i A_k^\alpha + R_{jka}^i A_l^\alpha.$$

Contracting  $\eta^k v^l$  and using (3.6), we get

$$\alpha A_j^i = R_{jal}^i v^l (\eta^k \lambda_k) A^\alpha + R_{jka}^i \eta^k (v^l \lambda_l) A^\alpha,$$

say

$$\alpha A_j^i = R_{jal}^i (\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l) A^\alpha.$$

Differentiating the last formula covariantly and making use of (2.1) and the parallelism of  $\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l$ , we have

$$\alpha \alpha_m A_j^i + \alpha \nabla_m A_j^i = \alpha \lambda_m A_j^i + R_{jal}^i (\beta v^l - \alpha \eta^l) \nabla_m A^\alpha,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \alpha \alpha_m A_j^i + \alpha \nabla_m A_j^i = \alpha \lambda_m A_j^i + A_j^i (\alpha \alpha_m - A^\alpha \nabla_m \lambda_\alpha),$$

That is to say, we have

$$\alpha \nabla_m A_j^i = (\alpha \lambda_m - A^\alpha \nabla_m \lambda_\alpha) A_j^i,$$

or, being  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we can see

$$\nabla_m A_j^i = \delta_m A_j^i, \quad \delta_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_m - A^\alpha \nabla_m \lambda_\alpha / \alpha.$$

This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Takano, Recurrent affine motion in  $S-AK_N^*$  spaces, *Tensor, N.S.*, 17 (1966), 321-326.
- [2] K. Takano, On the existence of affine motion in a space with recurrent curvature, *Tensor, N.S.*, 17 (1966), 68-73.