

EXISTENCE OF MAXIMAL ELEMENTS AND APPLICATIONS

By

A. Carbone

Dipartimento di Matematica

Università della Calabria- 87036 Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza), Italy

Email : carbonea@unical.it

and

S.P. Singh

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Memorial University of Newfoundland St. John's, NF, Canada, A1C 5S7

Email : spsingh@math.mun.ca

(Received : December 31, 2000)

Recall that a binary relation F on a set C is a subset of $C \times C$ or a mapping of C into itself. It is written yFx or $y \in Fx$ to mean that y stands in relation F to x .

A maximal element of F is a point x such that no point y satisfies $y \in Fx$, i.e., $Fx = \phi$. Thus the set of maximal elements is

$$\{x \in C : Fx = \phi\} = \bigcap_{x \in C} (C \setminus F^{-1}x),$$

where

$$F^{-1}x = \{y \in C : x \in Fy\}.$$

The following well known theorem is due to Sonnenschein [13].

Theorem 1 Let C be a compact convex subset of R^n and F a binary relation on C satisfying

- (i) $x \notin coFx$ for all $x \in C$ (co stands for convex hull),
- (ii) if $y \in F^{-1}x$, then there exists some $x_1 \in C$ (possibly $x_1 = x$) such that $y \in intF^{-1}x_1$.

Then F has a maximal element.

Bergstrom proved the following [1].

Theorem 2 Let C be a nonempty, compact convex subset of R^n and $F: C \rightarrow 2^C$ a preference map satisfying

- (i) $x \notin coFx$ for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) F is lower semicontinuous on C .

Then F has a maximal element.

We note that the results on maximal elements are useful in fixed

point theory, variational inequalities, complementarity problems and best approximation (see [2] and [12]).

Ky Fan's Lemma [6]. Let C be a nonempty compact convex subset of R^n and $F: C \rightarrow 2^C$ a multifunction such that

- (i) Fx is convex for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) F has open graph,
- (iii) $x \notin Fx$ for each $x \in C$.

Then F has a maximal element.

We prove the following for maximal elements :

Theorem 3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space X and $F: C \rightarrow 2^C$ satisfy

- (i) $x \notin Fx$ for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) Fx is closed for each $x \in C$,
- (iii) $F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in C : y \in Fx\}$ is convex for each $y \in C$,
- (iv) C can be covered by some finite number of closed sets Fx_1, Fx_2, \dots, Fx_n .

Then F has a maximal element.

Proof.

Let $Fx \neq \phi$ for each $x \in C$. Define $G(x) = C \setminus Fx$. Then $G(x)$ is open for each $x \in C$ since Fx is closed. By (iv) $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Fx_i$, so $\bigcap_{i=1}^n Gx_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (C \setminus Fx_i) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^n Fx_i)^c = \phi$. Therefore G is not a KKM-map.

Hence, there exists a finite set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ of C such that

$$z = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i x_i \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k Gx_i,$$

where $\lambda_i = 0$ and $\sum \lambda_i = 1$.

so $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k Fx_i$ and $x_i \in F^{-1}z$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since $F^{-1}z$ is convex so

$$z = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i x_i \in F^{-1}z$$

implying that $z \in Fz$, a contradiction to hypothesis (i). So $Fx = \phi$.

The following result is a corollary :

Corollary 1. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a topological vector space X and $F: C \rightarrow 2^C$ an upper semicontinuous convex-valued map. Further, assume that there is some finite subset B of C such that $Fx \cap B \neq \phi$ for every $x \in C$, and $x \notin Fx$ for each $x \in C$. Then F has a maximal element.

Proof.

Let $G : C \rightarrow 2^C$ be defined by

$$Gx = F^{-1}x = \{y \in C : x \in Fy\}.$$

Since F is upper semicontinuous, each $G(x)$ is closed. Now, $G^{-1}(y) = (F^{-1}y)^{-1} = Fy$ is convex.

Also C can be covered by $\{G(x) : x \in B\}$, finitely many closed sets.

By hypothesis $x \notin Fx$ for $x \in C$. So by Theorem 3, F has a maximal element.

In the following, the KKM-map principle is applied :

Theorem 4. Let C be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space X , and $F : C \rightarrow 2^C$ satisfy

- (i) $x \notin \text{co}Fx$ for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) if $y \in F^{-1}x$, then there exists some $x_1 \in C$ (possibly $x_1 = x$) such that $y \in \text{int}F^{-1}x_1$,
- (iii) C has a nonempty compact convex subset D such that the set

$$B = \{x \in C : y \notin Fx \text{ for all } y \in D\}$$

is compact.

Then F has a maximal element.

Proof. Define $G(x) = C \setminus \text{int} F^{-1}(x)$ for each $x \in C$. Then $G(x)$ is closed for each $x \in C$. We claim that G is a KKM-map. Let $z \in \text{co}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. If $z \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n Gx_i$, then $z \notin Gx_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, that is, $z \in F^{-1}x_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $x_i \in Fz$ and $z \in \text{co}Fz$, contradiction to (i). Hence G is a KKM-map.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{x \in C : y \notin Fx \text{ for all } y \in D\} \\ &= \{x \in C : x \notin F^{-1}y \text{ for all } y \in D\} \\ &= \{x \in C : x \in Gy \text{ for all } y \in D\} \\ &= \bigcap_{y \in D} Gy \neq \phi, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $x_0 \in \bigcap_{y \in D} Gy$.

Thus $x_0 \notin F^{-1}y$ for all $y \in C$, that is, $y \notin Fx_0$ for all $y \in C$ and $Fx_0 = \phi$.

The following results are derived as corollaries :

Corollary 2. Let C be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space E and $F : C \rightarrow 2^C$ satisfy:

- (i) $x \notin Fx$,
- (ii) Fx is convex for each $x \in C$,
- (iii) $F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in C : y \in Fx\}$ is open in C for each $y \in C$,

(iv) C has a nonempty compact convex subset D such that the set

$$B = \{x \in C : y \notin Fx \text{ for all } y \in D\}$$

is compact.

Then F has a maximal element.

The following is due to Lin [9,10]:

Corollary 3. Let C be a nonempty subset of a topological vector space E and $F : C \rightarrow 2^C$ satisfy

- (i) $x \notin \text{co} F(x)$ is convex for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) $F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in C : y \in Fx\}$ is open for each $y \in C$,
- (iii) C has a nonempty compact convex subset D such that the set

$$B = \{x \in C : y \notin Fx \text{ for all } y \in D\}$$

is compact.

Then F has a maximal element.

In case C is a nonempty compact convex subset of a topological vector space X and $F : C \rightarrow 2^C$ satisfy

- (i) $x \notin \text{co} F(x)$ for each $x \in C$,
 - (ii) $F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in C : y \in Fx\}$ is open for each $y \in C$,
- then F has a maximal element.

Corollary 4. Let C be a nonempty compact convex subset of a normed linear space X and $f : C \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. Then there is a $y_0 \in C$ such that

$$\|y_0 - fy_0\| = d(fy_0, C),$$

where $d(x, C) = \inf \{\|x - y\| : y \in C\}$.

The following variant of Hartman and Stampacchia [7] theorem is very useful in variational problems.

Theorem 5. Let C be a closed bounded convex subset of a Hilbert space H . If $f : C \rightarrow H$ is a continuous and monotone map, then there exists a $y_0 \in C$ such that $\langle fy_0, y_0 - x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$.

Recall that f is monotone on C if $\langle fx - fy, x - y \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$.

The fixed point theorem due to Browder [3] follows as a corollary.

Corollary 5. If C is a closed bounded convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $f : C \rightarrow C$ a nonexpansive map then f has a fixed point.

Put $F = 1 - f$. Then $F : C \rightarrow H$ is continuous and monotone; hence there is a $y_0 \in C$ such that $\langle Fy_0, y_0 - x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$, i.e., $\langle (1-f)y_0,$

$$\begin{aligned} \|fx_0 - y\| &= \|fx_0 - \lambda x_0 - (1-\lambda)fx_0\| \\ &= \|\lambda\| \|x_0 - fx_0\| < \|x_0 - fx_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, so $x_0 = fx_0$.

Using Fan's best approximation theorem, one could prove the following variational inequality due to Hartman and Stampacchia [7].

Theorem 10. Let C be a compact convex subset of R^n and $f : C \rightarrow R^n$ a continuous function.

Then there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$\langle fx_0, y - x_0 \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

Proof. Let $g = I - f : C \rightarrow R^n$. Then g is a continuous function. By Theorem 9, there exists a $y_0 \in C$ such that

$$\|gy_0 - y_0\| \leq \|gy_0 - x\| \text{ for all } x \in C,$$

that is,

$$\langle gy_0 - y_0, y_0 - x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

Thus

$$\langle y_0 - fy_0 - y_0, y_0 - x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C,$$

that is

$$\langle -fy_0, y_0 - x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C,$$

Hence

$$\langle fy_0, x - y_0 \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

Note. If $f = I - g$, then

$$\langle y_0 - gy_0, x - y_0 \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C,$$

gives that g has a fixed point by taking $x = gy_0$.

Theorem 9 is useful in proving the existence of zeros of a given function.

Theorem 11. Let C be a compact convex subset of R^n and $f : C \rightarrow R^n$ a continuous function. Let $x - \lambda fx \in C$ for all $x \in C$ and for some $\lambda > 0$.

Then there is an $x_0 \in C$ such that $fx_0 = 0$ [14].

Proof. Let $gx = x - \lambda fx$. Then by Theorem 9 there is an $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$\|gx_0 - x_0\| = d(gx_0, C).$$

In the case $x - \lambda fx \in C$ for all $x \in C$, then $gx_0 \in C$ and $gx_0 = x_0$, that is, $x_0 - \lambda fx_0 = x_0$, so $fx_0 = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bergstrom, The existence of maximal elements and equilibria in the absence of transitivity, *University of Michigan*, 1975.
- [2] K.C. Border. *Fixed Point Theorems with Applications to Economics and Game Theory*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1985.

$z \in Pz$. This contradicts assumption (i) and hence P has a maximal element.

The following result due to Ky Fan [5] is proved by using the existence of maximal elements.

Theorem 8. *Let C a compact convex subset of R^n and let $A \subset C \times C$ be a closed set such that*

- (i) *for each $x \in C$, $(x, x) \in A$,*
 - (ii) *for all $y \in C$, $\{x \in C : (x, y) \notin A\}$ is convex or ϕ .*
- Then there is a $\bar{y} \in C$ such that $C \times \{\bar{y}\} \subset A$.*

Proof. Define F on C by

$$Fy = \{x \in C : (x, y) \notin A\}$$

Then $y \notin Fy$, and Fy is convex for each y .

F has open graph since $(x, y) \notin A$ so $(x, y) \in A^c$ is an open set. So by Ky Fan's Lemma F has a maximal element.

Hence, there exists a $\bar{y} \in C$ such that $F\bar{y} = \phi$, that is, $C \times \{\bar{y}\} \subset A$.

We prove the best approximation theorem by making use of maximal elements and then derive a few fixed point theorems.

The following is Fan's best approximation theorem [6]:

Theorem 9. *Let C be a compact convex subset of R^n and $f : C \rightarrow R^n$ a continuous function. Then there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that*

$$\|x_0 - fx_0\| = d(fx_0, C) \leq \|fx_0 - y\| \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

Proof. Define F on C by

$$y \in Fx \text{ if and only if } \|y - fx\| < \|x - fx\|.$$

Therefore, by Ky Fan's Lemma we get that F has a maximal element. Since Fx is convex, $x \notin Fx$ and F has open graph; that is, $F\bar{x} = \phi$ for $\bar{x} \in C$. Hence

$$\|\bar{x} - f\bar{x}\| \leq \|f\bar{x} - y\| \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

Note. In case $f : C \rightarrow C$ in Theorem 9, then f has a fixed point.

In Theorem 9, if $\bar{x} \neq f\bar{x}$, then the following condition will guarantee that f has a fixed point.

If $\bar{x} \neq f\bar{x}$, then the line segment $[\bar{x}, f\bar{x}]$ has at least two points of C .

With this additional hypothesis f has a fixed point.

For instance, by Theorem 9 there is an $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$\|x_0 - fx_0\| = d(fx_0, C).$$

Let $x_0 \neq fx_0$. Set $y = \lambda x_0 + (1-\lambda)fx_0$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then

$y_0 - x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in C$. If $x = fy_0$ then we get that $y_0 = fy_0$.

If C is not a weakly compact set, then the following statement gives a result similar to [7].

Theorem 6. *Let C be a nonempty convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $f : C \rightarrow H$ continuous monotone map. If C has a weakly compact, convex subset C_0 such that the set*

$$B = \{y \in C : \langle fy, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C_0\}$$

is weakly compact, then there is a $y \in C$ such that

$$\langle fy_0, y_0 - x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

For the following terminologies, see Mehta [11].

As regards the preference correspondence P one assigns for each bundle x in the consumption set, $P(x)$ is regarded as the set of all bundles that are strictly preferred to x , P is irreflexive binary relation. P has a maximal element x_0 if Px_0 is empty, i.e. if there is no bundle strictly preferred to x_0 .

In the end, a result for maximal elements in Mathematical Economic is given. Mehta [11] has discussed results of this nature and has shown the existence of maximal elements for an economics agent.

Theorem 7. *Let $P : C \rightarrow 2^C$ be a preference correspondence where C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space E . Suppose that*

- (i) $x \notin Px$ for each $x \in C$,
- (ii) Px is closed for each $x \in C$,
- (iii) $P^{-1}y = \{x \in C : y \in Px\}$ is convex for each $y \in C$,
- (iv) assume that C is covered by finitely many closed sets.

Then there is a maximal element for P .

Proof. Suppose there is no maximal element so

$$P(x) \neq \phi \text{ for all } x \in C.$$

Define $G : C \rightarrow 2^C$ by $Gx = C \setminus Px$. Then G is an open valued map for each $x \in C$.

$$\text{Since } C = \bigcup_I^n Px_i, \text{ so } \bigcap_I^n Gx_i = \bigcap_I^n (C \setminus Px_i) = \bigcap_I^n Px_i^c = \phi.$$

So G is not a KKM-map. Recall that if G is an open valued KKM-map then the family $\{Gx : x \in C\}$ has the finite intersection property.

$$\text{Therefore } z = \sum_I^n \alpha_i x_i \notin \bigcup_I^n Gx_i, \text{ and } z \in \bigcap_I^n Px_i.$$

$$\text{Thus } x_i P^{-1}z. \text{ But } P^{-1}z \text{ is convex so } z = \sum_I^n \alpha_i x_i \in P^{-1}z, \text{ i.e.,}$$

- [3] F.E. Browder, Fixed point theorems for noncompact mappings in Hilbert space, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.* **53** (1965), 1272-1279.
- [4] F.E. Browder. Fixed point theory of multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces, *Math. Annalen* **177** (1968), 283-301.
- [5] Ky Fan, A Generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem. *Math. Annalen.* **142** (1961), 305-310.
- [6] Ky Fan, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F.E. Browder. *Math. Z.*, **112** (1969), 234-240.
- [7] P. Hartman and G. Stampacchia, On some nonlinear elliptic differential equations. *Acta Math.*, **115** (1966), 271-310.
- [8] W.K. Kim, Some applications of the Kakutani fixed point theorem. *Math. Anal. Appl.*, **131** j(1987), 119-122.
- [9] T.C. Lin, Convex sets, fixed points variational and minimax inequalities. *Bull. Austr. Math. Soc.*, **34** (1986), 107-117.
- [10] T.C. Lin, Fan's Lemma, fixed points and maximal elements for noncompact sets, (preprint).
- [11] G. Mehta, Fixed points, equilibria and maximal elements in linear topological spaces. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **28** (1987), 377-385.
- [12] S.P. Singh, B. Watson and P. Srivastava, *Fixed Point Theorems, Best Approximation and the KKM-Map Principle*, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1997.
- [13] H. Sonnenschein, Demand theory without transitive preference with applications to the theory of competitive equilibrium. *Preference, Utility and Demand*. J. Chipman et al. Eds, Harcourt, Brace and Jovanovich, New York, 1971, 107-117.
- [14] A. Villar, Operator theorems with applications to distributive problems and equilibrium models. *Lecture Notes in Economics and Math. Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.