

SOME REMARKS ON A FIXED POINT IN A-METRIC SPACE

by

A.K. Agrawal and Abrar Ahmed,

Department of Mathematics,

Sahu Jain College, Najibabad-246 763, U.P.

(Received : December 10, 1994)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we follow Rawat and Shahu, [3] and generalise the result using Patil and Achari [2], and Dubey [1] for pair of mappings in 2-metric space.

Theorem 1 :

Let f, g be a pair of self maps of a complete metric space X . If there exist positive real numbers $0 \leq \beta, \gamma, \delta < 1$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta < 1, \beta + \delta < 1$, and if (1) Let

$$(1) (d(fu_1, gu_2))^2 \leq \alpha d(u_1, fu_3) d(u_2, gu_4) + \beta d(u_1, u_2)^2 + \gamma d(u_2, gu_4) d(u_3, gu_6) + \delta d(u_4, fu_5)^2$$

for all $u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 6 \in X$ then f, g have a common unique fixed point.

Proof : Let $x, y \in X$ and points

$$u_1 = gfx, u_2 = fgy, u_3 = gy, u_4 = fx, u_5 = x, u_6 = y$$

Then

$$(d(fgfx, fgfy))^2 \leq (\alpha + \beta) (d(gfx, fgy))^2$$

if $\alpha + \beta < 1 = k^2$, then $0 < k < 1$

$$d(fgfx, fgfy) \leq d(gfx, fgy)$$

If we put $x_n = fx_{n-1}, x_{n+1} = gx_n$ for every $n = 1, 2, 3$

and $x = x_{n-3}, y = x_{n-2}$, then

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(fgx_{n-3}, fgfx_{n-2}) \leq kd(Ifx_{n-3}, fgx_{n-2})$$

$$\leq kd(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

$$\leq k^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$

... ..

$$\leq K^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

where $K < 1$. Therefore $K^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

So the sequence defined above is Cauchy sequence in a complete metric space X . There exists a point z in X such that $x_n \rightarrow z$, $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{Let } u_1 = u_3 = u_5 = z, \quad u_2 = u_4 = u_6 = x_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} (d(fz, x_{n+1}))^2 &= (d(fz, gx_n))^2 \leq \alpha d(z, fz) d(x_n, gx_n) + \beta d(z, x_n)^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma d(x_n, gx_n) d(z, gx_n) + \delta d(x_n, fz)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} (d(fz, z))^2 &\leq \alpha d(z, fz) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta d(z, x_n)^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(z, x_{n+1}) + \delta d(x_n, fz)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(d(fz, z))^2 \leq \alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot 0 + \gamma \cdot 0 + \delta d(z, fz)^2,$$

$$(d(fz, z))^2 \leq \delta (d(z, fz))^2.$$

A contradiction $0 < \delta < 1$.

Hence $fz = z$ i.e. z is a fixed point of f . Similarly z is also a fixed point of g .

To claim the uniqueness we say that

$$\begin{aligned} (d(z, w))^2 &= (d(fz, gw))^2 \\ &\leq \alpha d(z, fz) d(w, gw) + \beta d(z, w)^2 + \gamma d(w, gw) d(z, gw) + \delta d(w, fz)^2 \\ d(z, w)^2 &\leq (\beta + \delta) d(z, w)^2 \\ \beta + \delta &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $z = w$.

Theorem 2 :

If $f = g$, we get, which we give without proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (d(fu_1, fu_2))^2 &\leq \alpha d(u_1, fu_3) d(u_2, fu_4) + \beta d(u_1, u_2)^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma d(u_2, fu_4) d(u_3, fu_6) + \delta d(u_4, fu_5)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$0 < u_1, u_2, \dots, u_6 \in X < 1$ then f has a fixed point. We state and prove the following theorem which is direct application of the theorem 1 for a family of mappings.

Theorem 3 :

Let $\{f_k\}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$) be a family of mapping of a complete metric space X into itself. If f_k satisfies the conditions

$$(i) \quad f_1 f_2 \dots f_n \text{ commute with every } f_k.$$

$$(ii) \quad (d(f_1 f_2 \dots f_n u_1, f_{n-1} \dots f_2 f_1 u_2))^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \alpha d(u_1, f_1 f_2 \dots f_n u_3) d(u_2, f_n f_{n-1} \dots f_2 f_1 u_4) + \beta d(u_1, u_2)^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma d(u_2, f_n f_{n-1} \dots f_2 f_1 u_4) d(u_3, f_n f_{n-1} \dots f_1 u_6) \\ &\quad + \delta d(u_4, f_1 f_2 \dots f_n u_5)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$u_1 \dots u_6$ in X and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \dots < 1$ then $\{f_k\}$ have a common fixed point.

Proof :

From (ii) If we put $f_1 \dots f_n = f, f_n \dots f_1 = g$

Then it takes the form (1) by theorem 1 f, g have a unique fixed point of z . i.e. $fz = z = gz$ for any $f_k, f_k(z) = f_k z$ by a view of (1)

$f(k, k z) = f_k z$ so $f_k(z)$ is fixed point of f and z is a fixed point of z .

By putting $u_1 = u_3 = u_5 = f_k z, u_2 = u_4 = u_6 = z$ in (1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_k z, z)^2 &= d(ff_k z, gz)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha d(f_k z, ff_k z) d(z, gz) + \beta d(f_k z, z)^2 + \gamma d(z, gz) d(f_k z, gz) + \delta d(z, ff_k z)^2 \\ d(f_k z, z)^2 &\leq (\beta + \delta) d(z, f_k z)^2, \quad (\beta + \delta < 1). \\ d(f_k z, z)^2 &\leq (\beta + \delta) d(f_k z, z)^2. \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction. Hence $f_k z = z$ i.e. z is a fixed point of a family of mappings $\{f_k\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Praveen Dubey, A result on common fixed point and sequence of mappings, *Garula*, **2** (1991), 134.
- [2] P.T. Patil and J. Achari. A note on common fixed points in 2-metric spaces, *The mathematics Education*, **22** (1988), 126, 129.
- [3] Pratima Rawat and P.L. Sahu Common fixed point theorem for 3-mappings, *Acta Scientia Indica*, XVM 4(1989), 351.