

**FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR THREE MAPPINGS  
ON METRIC SPACE**

By

**M.S. Rathore**

*Government P.G. College, Sehore - 466001, M.P.,*

**Rajendra Deshmukh**

*Government B.H.S.S. No. 1, Sehore - 466001, M.P.*

and

**Anil Rajput**

*S.V. College, Bairagarh, Bhopal, M.P.*

(Received : July 22, 1993)

**ABSTRACT**

Bhagwat and Singh [1] have extended the result of Das and Gupta [2] for pair of mappings. In this paper we prove a fixed point theorem for three mappings on a metric space, giving a new type of rational inequality like that of Bhagwat and Singh [1].

Definition : Let  $S$ ,  $T$  and  $P$  be three self mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , then we say that  $\{S, T\}$  is a weakly commuting pair of mappings with respect to mapping  $p$ ,

if  $d(PSPx, TPx) < d(SPPz, TPx)$  (i)

and  $d(SPx, PTPx) < d(SPx, TPPx)$  (ii)

for all  $x$  in  $X$ .

Now we establish the following

**Theorem** : Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, let  $P, S$  and  $T$  be continuous self mappings on  $X$  such that  $\{S, T\}$  is a weakly commuting pair of mappings with respect to mapping  $P$  and

$$d(SPx, TPy) \leq \frac{d(x, SPx) d(y, TPy) + d(y, SPx) d(x, TPy)}{d(x, SPx) + d(x, TPy)}$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ . If for some  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  which converges to a point  $z \in X$ , then  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $SP$  and is a unique common fixed point of  $S, P$  and  $T$ .

Proof : Let  $x_0 \in X$  such that

$$x_{2n+1} = SPx_{2n}, x_{2n} = TPx_{2n-1}, x_{2n+2} = TPx_{2n+1}$$

we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(SPx_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1})$$

$$\leq \frac{d(x_{2n}, SPx_{2n}) d(x_{2n+1}, TPx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, SPx_{2n}) d(x_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1})}{d(x_{2n}, SPx_{2n} + d(x_{2n}, TPx_{2n+1}))}$$

$$= \frac{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})}{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})}$$

$$\leq d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).$$

Continuing in this way we obtain

$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \dots \leq d(x_0, x_1)$ . So we have a monotonic sequence of positive real numbers.

Let the monotonic sequence converges to a real number  $l$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  has convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  in  $X$  which converges to some  $z \in X$ , therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n_k} = z.$$

Then we show that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $SP$  and  $TP$ . If it is not possible we assume that  $z \neq SPz$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, SPz) &= d(\lim x_{2n_k}, SP \lim x_{2n_k}) \\ &= \lim d(x_{2n_k}, SPx_{2n_k}) \\ &= \lim d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1}) \\ &= \lim d(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+2}) \\ &= \lim d(SPx_{2n_k}, TPSPx_{2n_k}) \\ &= d(\lim SPx_{2n_k}, \lim TPSPx_{2n_k}) \\ &= d(SPz, TPSPz) \\ &\leq \frac{d(z, SPz) d(SPz, TPSPz) + d(SPz, SPz) d(z, TPSPz)}{d(z, sPz) + d(z, TPSPz)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(z, SPz) \leq d(z, SPz)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $z = SPz$ , so that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $SP$ .

In the same way let  $z \neq TPz$ , we get

$$d(z, TPz) = d(SPz, TPSPz) \leq d(z, SPz) = 0$$

a contradiction. Hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $TP$  also. Thus

$$SPz = z = TPz.$$

Now we shall show that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $S, P$  and  $T$ . Using (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Pz, z) &= d(PSPz, TPz) \\ &< d(SPPz, TPz) \\ &\leq \frac{d(Pz, Pz) d(z, z) + d(z, Pz) d(Pz, z)}{d(Pz, Pz) + d(Pz, z)} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$d(Pz, z) \leq d(Pz, z).$$

This implies that  $Pz = z$ . Hence by (i) we have  $Sz = z = Tz$ . Similarly using condition (ii) we have

$$Pz = z = Sz = Tz.$$

Therefore  $z$  is a common fixed of  $S$ ,  $T$  and  $P$ . For the uniqueness of fixed point, let  $z$  and  $z'$  be the two common fixed points of  $S$ ,  $P$  and  $T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, z') &= d(SPz, TPz') \\ &\leq \frac{d(z, SPz) d(z', TPz') + d(z', SPz) d(z, SPz')}{d(z, SPz) + d(z, SPz')} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $d(z, z') \leq d(z, z')$ ,

which is a contradiction, therefore  $z$  is unique common fixed point of  $S, P$  and  $T$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bhagwat and Singh, Fixed point of a pair of mapping, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 17(8), (1986), 994-997.
- [2] Dass and Gupta, *Indian J. Pure Appl Math.* 6, (1975), 1455-1458.