

(Dedicated to the memory of Professor K. L. Singh)

**RIGHT ALTERNATIVE RINGS WITH COMMUTATORS
IN THE MIDDLE NUCLEUS**

By

Yash Paul

*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology,
Hauz Khas, New Delhi-110016, India*

(Received : June 2, 1992)

ABSTRACT

Let R be a right alternative ring with characteristic $\neq 2$ and with commutators in the middle nucleus. We show that if R is left primitive, then it is either associative or simple with right identity element. As a by-product we prove that if R is simple, not associative then it has no proper left ideals generated by all alternators

1. INTRODUCTION

Using the standard associator notation $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$, a right alternative ring is a non-associative ring which satisfies the identity

$$(i) \quad (x, y, z) = 0.$$

Also, it is known [3] that a right alternative ring with characteristic $\neq 2$ satisfies the following identity :

$$(1') \quad (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0.$$

In a right alternative ring R the middle nucleus M is defined as the set

$$M = \{z \in R : (x, y, z) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R\}.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume R to be a right alternative ring with characteristic $\neq 2$ such that

$$(2) \quad (x, y, (z, w)) = 0$$

for all x, y, z, w in R where the commutator $(z, w) = zw - wz$.

That is, $(R, R) \subseteq M$.

A straightforward verification shows that any ring satisfies

$$(T) \quad (wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z$$

which is known as the Teichmüller identity.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF IDEALS

Lemma . For any ring R , $(R, R, R) + R(R, R, R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

This is proved in [2].

An alternator is an associator of the form (x, x, y) , (x, y, x) , or (y, x, x) .

Lemma 2. Let A be a left ideal of R generated by all alternators. Then

(a) $S = \{s \in A : sR \subseteq A\}$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

(b) $(R, R, A) \subseteq S$.

Proof. (a) This is Theorem 1(a) of [1]

(b) Let $w, x, z \in R$ and $a \in A$. Using (T)

$$\begin{aligned} (w, x, a)z &= (wx, a, z) - (w, xa, z) + (w, x, az) - w(x, a, z) \\ &= -(wx, z, a) + (w, z, xa) + (w, x, za) - w(x, z, a) \in A \end{aligned}$$

(Using (1') and (2)). Since $(R, R, A) \subseteq A$, we have $(R, R, A) \subseteq S$.

Theorem 1. If R has a maximal left ideal $A \neq (0)$ generated by all alternators which contains no two-sided ideal of R other than (0) , then R is associative.

Proof. By Lemma 2, S is a two-sided ideal of R contained in A . Hence $S = (0)$. Since $(R, R, A) \subseteq S$, we have $(R, R, A) = 0$.

$A + AR$ is clearly a left ideal by Eq. (1'). Since A contains all alternators, $(A, R, R) \subset A$ and we see that $A + AR$ is a right ideal as well. Thus $A + AR$ is a two-sided ideal of R . Since $A \subset A + AR$, we must have $A + AR = R$. Hence \neq

$$\begin{aligned} (R, R, R) &= (R, R, A + AR) \\ &\subseteq (R, R, A) + (R, R, AR) \\ &\subseteq (R, R, A) + (R, R, RA) \quad (\text{using (2)}) \\ &\subseteq (R, R, A) = (0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence R is associative.

Theorem 2. If R is a simple and not associative, then R has no proper left ideal generated by all alternators.

Proof. Suppose, for example that R has a left ideal $A \neq (0)$ generated by all alternators. We shall show that $A = R$. Now as in the proof of Theorem 1, $A + AR$ is a two-sided ideal of R and $(0) \neq A \subseteq A + AR$ implies that $A + AR \neq (0)$ and since R is a simple ring, we have $A + AR = R$. Then from (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R, R, R) &= (R, R, A + AR) = (R, R, A) + (R, R, AR) \\ &\subseteq A + (R, R, RA) \subseteq A. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 1, $(R, R, R) + R(R, R, R)$ is a two-sided of R . Also since R is not associative we have that

$$(R, R, R) \neq (0) \text{ and } (0) \neq (R, R, R) \subseteq (R, R, R) + R(R, R, R)$$

implies that $(R, R, R) + R(R, R, R)$ is a non-zero ideal of the simple ring R and, therefore, $(R, R, R) + (R, R, R) = R$. Thus

$$R = (R, R, R) + R(R, R, R) \subseteq A + RA \subseteq A.$$

Therefore $A = R$.

3. LEFT PRIMITIVE RINGS

Definition 1. A left ideal A of R is called regular if there exists an element $g \in R$ such that $x - xg \in A$ for all $x \in R$.

Definition 2. A ring R is called left primitive if it contains a regular maximal left ideal which contains no two sided ideal of R other than (0) .

Theorem 3. If R is a left primitive ring, then either R is associative or it is simple with a right identity element.

Proof. Let A be a regular maximal left ideal generated by all alternators which contains no two sided ideal of R other than (0) . If $A \neq (0)$, then by Theorem 1, R is associative. Thus assume that (0) is maximal implies, using Zorn's Lemma, that R has no proper ideal. Therefore R is simple. Since (0) is regular, there exists $g \in R$ such that $x - xg \in (0)$ for all $x \in R$. That is, $x = xg$ for all $x \in R$ and g is a right identity element. Hence R is simple with right identity element.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. R. Hentzel, The alternator ideal of right alternative rings, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society* 16 (1981), 15-20.
- [2] E. Kleinfeld, Assosymmetric rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 88 (1957), 983-986.
- [3] A. Thedy, Right alternative rings, *J. Algebra* 37 (1975), 1-43.