Jnanabha, Vol. 22, 1992

(Dedicated to the memory of Professor K. L. Singh)

FIXED POINTS FOR NONEXPANSIVE MULTIVALUED MAPPING AND THE OPIAL'S CONDITION

Yunkai Chen and K. L. Singh*

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville, North Carolina 28301, U. S. A.

(Received: June 5, 1992)

ABSTRACT

Before Professor K L Singh's death some fixed point theorems for multivalued nonexpansive mappings on weakly compact and convex subsets of opial spaces, were discussed by both of us. In the present paper, these are reorganised.

INTRODUCTION

Several fixed point theorems have been obtained for nonexpansive mappings [1], [2], [3]. Fixed point theorems in lacally convex topological vector spaces for multivalued nonexpansive mappings have been studied [4], [10], [11], [12] and others. In this paper we shall continue these investigations.

Throughout the paper, we shall use E to denote a Hausdroff locally convex topological vector space, and F to denote the family of continuous seminorms generating the topology of E. Also C(E) will denote the family of nonempty compact subsets of E.

For each
$$p \in F$$
 and A , $B \in C(E)$, we define
 $\delta_p(A,B) = \sup\{p(a-b): a \in A, b \in B\}, \text{ and}$
 $D_p(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} \inf\{p(a-b)\}, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} \{p(a-b)\}\}$.

Although p is only a seminorm, D_p is a Hausdroff metric on C(E) [4].

Definition 1: Let K be a nonempty subset of E a mapping $T \not K \rightarrow C E$) is called a multivalued contraction if there exists a constant k_p , $0 \leq k_p < 1$, such that for each $x, y \in K$, $D_p(Tx, Ty) \leq k_p p x - y$). AMS (1980) Subject Classification Primary 47H10

*Died on November 22, 1990

108]

T is called multivalued nonexpansive if for each x, $y \in K$, $D_{x}(Tx, Ty) \leq p(x-y)$.

Definition 2: A set C in a topological vector space E is called convex if $ax + (1-\alpha)y \in C$ whenever $x, y \in C$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

For any $x, y \in E$, we set

 $(x, y) = \{(1 - \alpha) x + \alpha y: 0 < \alpha \le 1\}, \text{ and} \\ [x, y] = \{(1 - \alpha) x + \alpha y: 0 \le \alpha \le 1\}.$

Definition 3: E is said to satisfy the Opial's condition if for each $x \in E$ and every net $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ converging weakly to x, then for each $p \in F$, we have

 $\lim p(x_{\alpha} - y) > \lim p(x_{\alpha} - x)$ for $y \neq x$.

Definition 4: Let D be any nonempty subet of E, a mapping $T: D \rightarrow C(E)$ is said to satisfy the boundary condition (a) if for all $x \in D$ and all $y \in Tx$, $(x, y] \cap \neq D \phi$.

The following variant of Nadler's result [8] will be used.

Lemma. If $A, B \in CE$, then for each $a \in A$, there is a $b \in B$ such that $p(a - b) \leq D_p(A, B)$ for all $p \in F$.

Theorem 1. Let K be a nonempty, weakly, compact and convex subset of E, and $T:K \rightarrow C(E)$ be nonexpansive. If E satisfies the Opial's condition, then $G_r(I - T)$ is closed in $E_w \times E$, where E_w is E with its weak topology and I is the identity mapping.

Proof: Let $\{(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})\}$ be a net in $G_r(I - T)$ such that $x_{\alpha} \to x$ weakly and $y_{\alpha} \to y$. It is not difficult to see that $x \in K$, so we must show that $y \in (I - T)(x)$. Now, for every α , there exists an $v_{\alpha} \in Tx_{\alpha}$ such that $y_{\alpha} = x_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha}$, by the Lemma, we can find an $v'_{\alpha} \in Tx$ such that $p(v_{\alpha} - v'_{\alpha}) \leq D_p(Tx_{\alpha}, Tx)$. Thus we have

 $p(v_{\alpha} - v'_{\alpha}) \leq D_r(Tx_{\alpha}, Tx) \leq p(x_{\alpha} - x)$

because T is nonexpansive. Therefore

$$\lim p(x_{\alpha} - x) \geq \lim p(v_{\alpha} - v'_{\alpha}) = \lim p(x_{\alpha} - y_{\alpha} - v'_{\alpha}).$$

By compactness of Tx, there is a convergent subnet in Tx still denoted by $\{v'_{\alpha}\}$ such that $v'_{\alpha} \rightarrow v$ for some $v \in Tx$. Therefore, we have

 $\lim p(x_{\alpha} - x) \geq \lim p(x_{\alpha} - y - v).$

Since E satisfies the Opial's condition, then we obtain y + v = x. That is $v = x - v \in (I - T)(x)$.

Theorem 2. Let E be an Opial's space, K a nonempty, weakly compact, and convex subset of E. $T:K\rightarrow C(E)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping which satisfies the boundary condition (α). Then Thas a fixed point in K i.e., there exists $x \in K$ such that $x \in Tx$. **Proof:** We shall first show that (I - T)(K) is closed.

Let y be a limit point of (I - T)(K). Then there is a net $\{y_{\alpha}\}$ with $y_{\alpha} \in (I - T) x_{\alpha}$ for some $x_{\alpha} \in K$ and $y_{\alpha} \rightarrow y$. This implies that $x_{\alpha} - y_{\alpha} \in Tx_{\alpha}$ and $y_{\alpha} \rightarrow y$.

By the compactness of K, we know that there exists an $w \in K$ and a subnet $\{x_{\alpha_i}\}$ of $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ such that $x_{\alpha_i} \to w$ weakly. Therefore, there exists a subnet $\{y_{\alpha_i}\} \subseteq \{y_{\alpha}\}$ such that $y_{\alpha_i} \to y$, and by the Theorem 1, $y \in (I - T)$ (w). Thus, (I - T) (K) is closed.

Let $z \in K$ be fixed and let $r_n = 1 - 1/n$ for each *n*. Define $T_n: K \to C(E)$ by $T_n(x) = r_n Tx + (1-r_n) z$ for $x \in K$. Then $T_n x \in C(E)$ and T_n satisfies the boundary condition (a), since so does *T*. Each T_n is contraction map, it follows from a variant of Theorem 2 [6], there exists an $x_n \in T_n x_n$. Therefore, $x_n \in r_n Tx_n + (1 - r_n)z$. This implies that there is $y_n \in Tx_n$, such that $x_n = r_n y_n + (1 - r_n)z$. Thus, $x_n - y_n = (r_n - 1)y_n + (1 - r_n)z \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, we shall have $0 \in (I-T)(K)$ because it is closed. Hence, there exists an $x \in K$ such that $x \in Tx$.

110)

Corollary Let *E* be an Opial's space. *K* a nonempty, compact, and convex subset of *E* and $T:K \rightarrow C(E)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping which satisfies the boundary condition (α). Then *T* has a fixed point in *K*.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. E. Browder, Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad Sci., U. S. A. 5 (1965), 1041-1044.
- [2] D. Göhde, Zum prinzip der kontraktiven abbildung, Math. Nachr, 30 (1965), 251-258.
- [3] W. K. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distance, Amer. Math. Manthly, 2 (1965), 1004-1006.
- [4] H. M. Ko and Y. H. Tsai, fixed point theorems for point to set mappings in locally convex spaces and a characterization of complete metric spaces. Bull. Academia Sinica 7 (1979), 461-470.
- [5] E. Lami Dozo, Multivalued nonexpansive mappings and Opial's condition, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 286-292.
- Silvio Massa, Some results on Opial spaces, Bolletino U. M. I
 (6) 2-A (1983), 65-69.
- [7] S. Massa, D. Roux and S. P. Singh, Fixed points for multifunctions, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math, 19 (1988), 512-514.
- [8] S. B. Nadler Jr., Multivalued contractive mappings, Pacific J. Math., 30 (1969), 475-488.
- [9] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings, Bull. Amer. Math Soc 73 (1967), 591-597.
- [10] K. L. Singh and J. H. M. Whitfield, Fixed point for single and multivalued functions. operator equations and fixed point theorems, *The MSRI-Koreo Publications*, No. 1 (1986), 121-126.
- K. L. Singh and Yunkai Chen, Fixed points for nonexpansive multivalued mapping in locally convex spaces, Math. Japonica, 36 No. 3 (1991), 423-425.
- [12] C. H. Su and V M. Schgal. Some fixed point theorems for nonexpansive multivalued functions in locally convex spaces, *Academia Sinica* 4 (1976), 49-52.