

(Dedicated to the memory of Professor K. L. Singh)

A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN UNIFORMLY CONVEX SPACES

By

Anil Rajput

*Department of Mathematics, S. V. College, Bairagarh (Bhopal)
462030, India*

And

M. S. Rathore

Govt Postgraduate College, Sehore, M. P.

(Received: March 30, 1992)

Bogin [1] and Rhoades [8] have generalized a fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces of Goebel, Kirk and Shimi [6]. In this paper, to present another extension of their result, we establish the following theorem;

THEOREM. Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space, K a non-empty bounded closed and convex subset of X , and $F:K \rightarrow K$ a continuous mapping satisfying for $x, y \in K$:

$$(1) \quad \|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\| + \beta (\|x - F(x)\| + \|y - F(y)\|) \\ + \gamma (\|x - F(y)\| + \|y - F(x)\|) \\ + \sigma (\|F(y) - F^2(x)\| + \|x - F^2(x)\|) \\ + \eta (\|F(x) - F^2(x)\| + \|y - F^2(x)\|)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma$ and η are non-negative real numbers such that;

$$(2) \quad \alpha + 2(\beta + \gamma + \sigma + \eta) = 1, \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + \sigma + \eta \leq 1 \text{ and } \alpha + 2\beta + 2\eta \leq 1.$$

Then F has a fixed point in K .

It may be mentioned that the result in [6] is obtained by taking $\gamma = \sigma = 0$ in (1). We shall follow the same line of argument and notation as that of [6].

Proof. Putting $y = F(x)$ in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\| F(x) - F^2(x) \| &\leq \alpha \| x - F(x) \| + \beta (\| x - F(x) \| + \| F(x) - F^2(x) \|) \\
&+ \gamma (\| x - F^2(x) \| + \| F(x) - F^2(x) \|) \\
&+ \sigma (\| F^2(x) - F^2(x) \| + \| x - F^2(x) \|) \\
&+ \eta (\| F(x) - F^2(x) \| + \| F(x) - F^2(x) \|) \\
&\leq (\alpha + \beta) \| x - F(x) \| + (\beta + 2\eta) \| F(x) - F^2(x) \| \\
&+ (\gamma + \sigma) \| x - F^2(x) \| \\
&\leq (\alpha + \beta) \| x - F(x) \| + (\beta + 2\eta) \| F(x) - F^2(x) \| \\
&+ (\gamma + \sigma) (\| x - F(x) \| + \| F(x) - F^2(x) \|)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\| F(x) - F^2(x) \| \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \sigma}{1 - \beta - \gamma - \sigma - 2\eta} \| x - F(x) \|.$$

By (2), $\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \sigma}{1 - \beta - \gamma - \sigma - 2\eta} = 1$. Therefore

$$(3) \quad \| F(x) - F^2(x) \| \leq \| x - F(x) \|,$$

and consequently

$$(4) \quad \| F^{i+1}(x) - F^i(x) \| \leq \| F^i(x) - F^{i-1}(x) \| \quad i=1,2,3,\dots$$

Assertion: $\inf_{x \in K} \| x - F(x) \| = 0$.

To prove the assertion, we assume $\inf_{x \in K} \| x - F(x) \| = d > 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $x \in K$ such that $\| x - F(x) \| \leq d + \epsilon$ using uniform convexity and assumptions on K , we can find a real number p , $0 < p < 1$, as in [6] such that

$$(5) \quad \| F^{i-1}(x) - F^{i+1}(x) \| \leq 2p \| F^{i-1}(x) - F^i(x) \|, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

Writing j for $i-1$ in (5), we obtain

$$(6) \quad \| F^j(x) - F^{j+2}(x) \| \leq 2p \| F^j(x) - F^{j+1}(x) \|, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

Case 1. γ or $\sigma \neq 0$.

By (1)

$$\|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\| \leq (\alpha + \beta) \|F^i(x) - F^{i-1}(x)\| + (\beta + 2\eta) \|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\| \\ + (\gamma + \sigma) \|F^{i+1}(x) - F^{i-1}(x)\|$$

Therefore by (5),

$$(1 - \beta - 2\eta) \|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2p(\gamma + \sigma)) \|F^i(x) - F^{i-1}(x)\|$$

hence $(1 - \beta - 2\eta) d \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2p(\gamma + \sigma))(d + \epsilon)$.

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$(1 - \beta - 2\eta) d < (\alpha + \beta + 2p(\gamma + \sigma)) d.$$

This implies

$$(1 - \beta - 2\eta) \leq \alpha + \beta + 2p(\gamma + \sigma)$$

which, in view of $p < 1$ contradicts (2).

Case II. $\gamma = \sigma = 0$ Let

$m = [F^i(x) + F^{i+1}(x)]/2$. Then by (1),

$$\|m - E(m)\| \leq 2^{-1} \|F^i(x) - E(m)\| + 2^{-1} \|F^{i+1}(x) - F(m)\| \\ \leq 2^{-1} [\alpha \|F^{i-1}(x) - m\| + \beta (\|F^{i-1}(x) - F^i(x)\| + \|m - F(m)\|)] \\ + \eta (\|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\| + \|m - F^{i+1}(x)\|) \\ + 2^{-1} [\alpha \|F^i(x) - m\| + \beta (\|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\| + \|m - F(m)\|)] \\ + \eta (\|F^{i+1}(x) - F^{i+2}(x)\| + \|m - F^{i+2}(x)\|).$$

Therefore

$$(1 - \beta) \|m - F(m)\| \leq 2^{-1} [\alpha (\|F^{i-1}(x) - m\| + \|F^i(x) - m\|) \\ + \beta (\|F^{i-1}(x) - F^i(x)\| + \|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\|)] \\ + \eta (\|F^i(x) - F^{i+1}(x)\| + \|m - F^{i+1}(x)\| + \|F^{i+1}(x) - F^{i+2}(x)\| \\ + \|m - F^{i+2}(x)\|)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 4^{-1}(\|F^{i-1}(x)-F^i(x)\| + \|F^{i-1}(x)-F^{i+1}(x)\| \\ &+ \|F^i(x)-F^{i+1}(x)\|) + 2^{-1}[\beta \|F^{i-1}(x)-F^i(x)\| \\ &+ \|F^i(x)-F^{i+1}(x)\| + \eta \|F^i(x)-F^{i+1}(x)\| \\ &+ \|F^{i+1}(x)-F^{i+2}(x)\| + 2^{-1}(\|F^i(x)-F^{i+1}(x)\|) \\ &+ \|F^{i+1}(x)-F^{i+2}(x)\| + \|F^i(x)-F^{i+2}(x)\|], \end{aligned}$$

Hence applying (5) and (6) we have

$$(1-\beta)d < \frac{1}{4}(\alpha + 2\alpha p + \alpha + \eta + \eta + 2\eta p) (d + \epsilon) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \beta + \eta + \eta) (d + \epsilon)$$

letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$(1-\beta)d \leq \frac{1}{4}(2\alpha(1+p) + 2\eta(1+p))d + (\beta + n)d$$

that is, if α or $\eta \neq 0$,

$$(1-\beta) < \alpha + \beta + 2\eta,$$

which contradicts (2).

Case III. $\alpha = \gamma = \sigma = \eta = 0$

In view of Soardi's result [9] this case need not be considered.

Thus the assertion is proved.

Now for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ assume

$$C_\epsilon = \{x: \|x - Fx\| \leq \epsilon\} \text{ and}$$

$$D_\epsilon = \{x \in C_\epsilon: \|x\| \leq \bar{a} + \epsilon\},$$

where $\bar{a} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} a(C_\epsilon)$ and $a(C_\epsilon) = \inf \{\|x\|: x \in C_\epsilon\}$

Since F is continuous the sets C_ϵ and $(S_0) D_\epsilon$ are closed and by the assertion they are non-void. The proof is completed by showing

$$\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} C_\epsilon \neq \phi.$$

If $\bar{a} = 0$ then $\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} C_\epsilon \neq \phi$. Therefore we may assume $\bar{a} > 0$,

letting $u_1, u_2 \in C_\epsilon$ we have by (1) and the triangle inequality for $i = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad \|u_1 - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| &\leq \|u_1 - F(u_1)\| + \|F(u_1) - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| \\
 &\leq \epsilon + \alpha \|u_1 - (u_1 + u_2)/2\| + \beta (\|u_1 - F(u_1)\| \\
 &\quad + \|(u_1 + u_2)/2 - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\|) + \gamma (\|u_1 - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| \\
 &\quad + \|(u_1 + u_2)/2 - u_1\| + \|u_1 - F(u_1)\|) + \sigma (\|u_1 - F(u_1)\| \\
 &\quad + \|F((u_1 + u_2)/2) - u_1\| + \|u_1 - F(u_1)\| + \|F(u_1) - F^2(u_1)\|) \\
 &\quad + \eta (\|F(u_1) - F^2(u_1)\| + \|(u_1 + u_2)/2 - u_1\| \\
 &\quad + \|u_1 - F(u_1)\| + \|F(u_1) - F^2(u_1)\|)
 \end{aligned}$$

We note by (3) that

$$\|F(u_1) - F^2(u_1)\| \leq \|u_1 - F(u_1)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Also since

$$\|(u_1 + u_2)/2 - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| \leq \max_{i=1,2} \|u_i - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\|.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \beta - \gamma - \sigma) \max_{i=1,2} \|u_i - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| \\
 \leq (1 + \beta + \gamma + 3\sigma + 3\eta) \epsilon + (\alpha + \gamma + \eta) \|u_1 - u_2\|/2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \|u_1 - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| \leq q\epsilon + r\|u_1 - u_2\|/2,$$

where $q = (1 + \beta + \gamma + 3\sigma + 3\eta) / (1 - \beta - \gamma - \sigma)$ and $r = (\alpha + \gamma + \eta) / (1 - \beta - \gamma - \sigma)$.

By (2), $r < 1$, therefore

$$\|u_1 - F((u_1 + u_2)/2)\| < q\epsilon + \|u_1 - u_2\|/2.$$

Now the rest part of proof follows as in [6] we have remarked that if β or σ or $\eta \neq 0$ in (2) then the fixed point is unique.

REMARK: If X is compact metric space and F a continuous mapping of X into itself, satisfying the inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Fx, Fy) < \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \{d(x, Fx) + d(y, Fy)\} + \gamma \{d(x, Fx) + d(y, Fx)\} \\
 + \sigma \{d(Fy, F^2x) + d(x, F^2x)\} + \eta \{d(Fx, F^2x) + d(y, F^2x)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma$ and η are non-negative real numbers such that,

$\alpha + 2(\beta + \gamma + \sigma + \eta) \leq 1$ and $\gamma + \sigma + \eta > 0$ Then F has a unique fixed point.

We further obtain from this remark:

- (i) A result of Khan and Sharma [7] if we put $\eta=0$.
- (ii) A result of Edelstein [2] if we put $\beta = \gamma = \sigma = \eta=0$ and $\alpha=1$.
- (iii) A result of Fisher [3] if we put $\alpha = \gamma = \sigma = \eta=0$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$.
- (iv) A result of Fisher [4] if we put $\alpha = \beta = \sigma = \eta=0$ and $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$.
- (v) A result of Fisher [5] if we put $\alpha = a, \beta=b, \gamma=c$ and $\eta=\sigma=0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bogin, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Goebel, Kirk and Shimi, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **19** (1976), 7-12.
- [2] M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **37** (1962), 74-79.
- [3] B. Fisher, A fixed point mapping, *Bull. Calcutta, Math. Soc.* (1976).
- [4] B. Fisher, A fixed point theorem for compact metric spaces, *Publ. Math.* (1976).
- [5] B. Fisher, On three fixed point mappings for compact metric spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **8** No. 4 (1977), 479-481.
- [6] K. Goebel, W. A. Kirk and T. N. Shimi, A fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces, *Boll. U. M. I.* (4), **7** (1976), 67-75.
- [7] S. Khan, and P. L. Sharma, Fixed point mappings for compact metric space, *The Mathematics Education* **24** 1 (1990).
- [8] B. E. Rhoades, Some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **24** -1 (1975), 13-16.
- [9] R. Soardi, Su un problem di punto unito di S. Reich. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* (4), **44** (1971), 841-845.