

## ON $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_k$ SUMMABILITY FACTORS OF FOURIER SERIES

By

Ashok Ganguly

Department of Mathematics,  
G. S. Institute of Technology and Science,  
Indore-452 003, M. P., India

(Received : May 28, 1985 ; Revised : July 3, 1986)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Sigma u_n$  be a given series with the sequence of partial sums  $\{S_n\}$  and let  $t_n = t_n^0 = nu_n$ . By  $\{S_n^\alpha\}$  and  $\{t_n^\alpha\}$  we denote the  $n$ -th Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ) of the sequences  $\{S_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  respectively. Thus

$$S_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} s_\nu,$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n)}{n!}.$$

The series  $\Sigma u_n$  is said to be summable  $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_k, k \geq 1, \alpha > -1$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_n n^{k\gamma + k - 1} \left| S_n^\alpha - S_{n-1}^\alpha \right|^k < \infty \quad (\text{Flett [5]}).$$

By virtue of the identity

$$t_n^\alpha = n \left( S_n^\alpha - S_{n-1}^\alpha \right) \quad (\text{Kogbetliantz [7]})$$

(1.1) can also be written as

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_n n^{k\gamma-1} |t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty .$$

For  $\gamma = 0$ , the summability  $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_k$  reduces to the summability  $|C, \alpha|_k$  (see [6]); for  $\gamma = 0$  and  $k = 1$ , it reduces to the summability  $|C, \alpha|$ .

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let the Fourier series associated with  $f(t)$  be

$$1/2 a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) .$$

We write

$$\phi(t) = 1/2 \{ f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2S \} .$$

A sequence  $\{ \mu_n \}$  is said to be convex, if  $\Delta^2 \mu_n \geq 0$ ;  
 $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\Delta \mu_n = \mu_n - \mu_{n+1}$  and  $\Delta^2 \mu_n = \Delta(\Delta \mu_n)$ .

The object of this paper is to prove the following :

**Theorem .** *If  $\{ \mu_n \}$  is a convex sequence such that*

$$\sum \frac{\mu_n}{n} < \infty , \text{ then the series } \sum \frac{\mu_n A_n(t)}{n^{1-\alpha+\gamma} (\text{Log } n)^{\beta/k}} \text{ at } t = x$$

is summable  $|C, \alpha, \gamma|_k$ , where  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha < 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ , provided that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^t |\phi(u)|^k du = O \left\{ t \left( \log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\beta \right\}, \quad \beta \geq 0, t \rightarrow 0.$$

For  $k = 1, \gamma = 0$ , our theorem reduces to the result of Dikshit [4] which is an extension of a theorem of Cheng [2].

### 3. PREREQUISITES

We require the following lemmas :

**Lemma 1** [3]. If  $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < t < 2\pi$ , and

$$R_n^\alpha(t) = \sum_{r=1}^n A_{n-r}^{\alpha-1} r \cos rt,$$

then

$$R_n^\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} O(n^2) & \text{for all } t > 0, \\ O(nt^{-\alpha}) & \text{for } t > 1/n. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2** [1]. If  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{v=0}^m A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} \right| \leq \max_{0 \leq r \leq m} \left| \sum_{v=0}^r A_{r-v}^{\alpha-1} a_v \right|.$$

**Lemma 3**. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < t \leq 2\pi$ , and write

$$M_a^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=2}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mu_v}{(\log v)^{\beta/k}} v^{\alpha-\gamma} \cos vt, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

$0 \leq \gamma < \alpha < 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ O \left\{ n^{-\alpha} \sum_{v=2}^n \frac{v^{1+\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_v}{(\log v)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O \left\{ \frac{n^{1-\gamma} \mu_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\} \right.$$

$$M_n(t) = \begin{cases} \text{for } 0 < t \leq 1/n, \\ \\ (3.2) \quad \left\{ O \left\{ (nt)^{-\alpha} \sum_{v=2}^n \frac{v^{\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_v}{(\log v)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O \left\{ \frac{\mu_n}{n^\gamma t^\alpha (\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\} \right. \\ \text{for } t > 1/n. \end{cases}$$

By applying Abel's transformation and taking the help of lemmas 1 and 2, Lemma 3 can easily be proved.

**Lemma 4.** *If the condition (2.1) holds, then (for  $k \geq 1$ )*

$$(i) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right\}^k = O \left\{ \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^k} \right\};$$

and, for  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,

$$(ii) \quad \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} dt \right\}^k = O \left\{ (\log n)^\beta \right\}.$$

These results follow by an integration by parts or by an application of Hölder's inequality, as necessary.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\{\mu_n\}$  is a convex sequence such that  $\sum \frac{\mu_n}{n} < \infty$ , then*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m \log(n+1) \Delta \mu_n = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ (See Pati [8])};$$

further, the hypotheses on  $\{\mu_n\}$  imply

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m \Delta \mu_n = O(1), m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(iii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(\mu_n)^k}{n} = O(1), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof of (ii) and (iii) follow easily.

#### 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We denote the  $n$ -th Cesàro mean of order  $\alpha$  of the sequence  $\{n^{\alpha-\gamma} \mu_n A_n(x) (\log n)^{-\beta/k}\}$  by  $C_n^\alpha(x)$ . Then we have to show that

$$\sum n^{k\gamma-1} |C_n^\alpha(x)|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^\alpha(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \nu^{\alpha-\gamma} \mu_\nu \cos t}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\pi \right) \phi(t) M_n^\alpha(t) dt \\ &= Q_n^1 + Q_n^2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

In view of Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum n^{k\gamma-1} |Q_n^1|^k < \infty, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum n^{k\gamma-1} |Q_n^2|^k < \infty.$$

**Proof of (4.1).** Using (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left| Q_n^1 \right|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{1/n} \left| \phi(t) \right| dt \right. \\
& \left. \left\{ O \left( n^{-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) + O \left( \frac{n^{1-\gamma} \mu_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right) \right\}^k \right] \\
& = \left\{ O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left( \int_0^{1/n} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{n^\alpha} dt + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \right. \\
& \left. + O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left( \int_0^{1/n} \frac{|\phi(t)| n^{1-\gamma} \mu_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \right\}^k \\
& = \left\{ M_1^{1/k} + M_1^{1/k} \right\}^k, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Lemmas 4 (i) and 5 (i), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k(\alpha-\gamma)}} \left( \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right)^k \left( \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right)^k \right] \\
&= O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k(\alpha-\gamma)}} \cdot \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^k} \left( \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{k(1+\alpha-\gamma)} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \right) \left( \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \mu_\nu \right)^{k-1} \right] \\
&= O \left[ \sum_{\nu=2}^m \frac{\nu^{k(1+\alpha-\gamma)} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \cdot \sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k(1+\alpha-\gamma)}} \right] \\
&= O \left[ \sum_{\nu=2}^m \Delta \mu_\nu \right] \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ by Lemma 5 (ii).}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using Lemma 4 (i) again, we get

$$\underline{M}_2 = O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{n^{k-1} \mu_n^k}{(\log n)^\beta} \left( \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right)^k \right]$$

$$= O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\mu_n^k}{n} \right]$$

= O(1) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by Lemma 5 (iii).

Proof of (4.2) : Applying (3.2), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} |Q_n^2|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| dt \right.$$

$$\left. \left\{ O \left( (nt)^{-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) + O \left( \frac{\mu_n}{t^\alpha n^\gamma (\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right) \right\}^k \right]$$

$$= \left\{ O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left( \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} dt + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) \right]^{k-1/k} \right.$$

$$\left. + O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m n^{k\gamma-1} \left( \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} \frac{\mu_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \right\}^k$$

$$= \left\{ N_1^{1/k} + N_2^{1/k} \right\}, \text{ say.}$$

Using Lemmas 4 (ii), and 5 (i), we get

$$N_1 = O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k(\alpha-\gamma)}} \left( \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} dt \right)^k \left( \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{\alpha-\gamma} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right)^k \right]$$

$$= O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k(\alpha-\gamma)}} \left( \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{k(\alpha-\gamma)} \Delta \mu_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \right) \left( \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \mu_\nu \right)^{k-1} \right]$$

$$= O \left[ \sum_{\nu=2}^m \frac{\nu^{k(\alpha-\gamma)} \Delta \mu_{\nu}}{(\log \nu)^{\beta}} \sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{(\log n)^{\beta}}{n^{1+k(\alpha-\gamma)}} \right]$$

$$= O \left[ \sum_{\nu=2}^m \Delta \mu_{\nu} \right]$$

$= O(1)$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by Lemma 5 (ii).

Further, applying Lemma 4 (ii) again, we have

$$N_2 = O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\mu_n^k}{n} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{\beta}} \left( \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^{\alpha}} dt \right)^{\beta} \right]$$

$$= O \left[ \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\mu_n^k}{n} \right]$$

$= O(1)$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , by Lemma 5 (iii).

Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

#### Acknowledgements

The author is extremely grateful to Professor H. M. Srivastava and the referee for suggesting a number of improvements in the paper and for correcting several errors in the revised version.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. S. Bosanquet, A mean value theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1941), 146-148.
- [2] M. T. Cheng, Summability factors of Fourier series at a given point, *Duke Math. J.* **14** (1947), 405-410.



- [ 3 ] M. T. Cheng, Summability factors of Fourier series, *Duke Math. J.* **15** (1948), 17-27 .
- [ 4 ] W. D. Dikshit, On the summability factors of a Fourier series and its conjugate series, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* **50** (1958), Supplement, 42-53 .
- [ 5 ] T. M. Flett, Some more theorems concerning absolute summability of Fourier series and power series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **8** (1958), 357-387 .
- [ 6 ] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **7** (1957), 113-141 .
- [ 7 ] E. Kogbetliantz, Sur les series absolument sommables par la methode des moyennes arithmétiques, *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) **49** (1925), 234-256 .
- [ 8 ] T. Pati, The summability factors of infinite series, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954) , 271-284 .