

SOME RESULTS ON FIXED POINTS

By

Nirmala Bajaj

Department of Mathematics, University of Saugar,

Sagar-470003, M. P., India

(Received: June 4, 1983; Revised: January 16, 1984)

1. Introduction

Recently, B. Fisher [2] proved the following theorem for a pair of mappings :

Theorem 1 *Let S and T be a pair of self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying*

$$[d(Sx, Ty)]^2 \leq bd(x, Sx) d(y, Ty) + cd(x, Ty) d(y, Sx)$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq b < 1, c \geq 0$. Then S and T have a common fixed point. Further, if $0 \leq b; c < 1$, then each of S and T has a unique fixed point and these two points coincide.

Here we present a theorem which is a generalization of the above result.

Theorem 2. *Let S and T be the self-mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying*

$$[d(Sx, Ty)]^2 \leq a \max [d(x, Sx) d(y, Ty), d(x, y) d(x, Sx), d(x, y) d(y, Ty), cd(x, Ty) d(y, Sx)] \quad (1)$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 < a < 1$, and $0 \leq c < 1$ then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be any arbitrary point in X and let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements in X be defined by

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}, \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2 \quad (2)$$

If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ then, it immediately follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. So take up the case when $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then, by (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]^2 &= [d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})]^2 \\ &\leq a \max [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}), \\ &\quad d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), c d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1})], \\ &\leq a \max \{ d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 \\ &\quad d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

then,

either,

$$[d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]^2 \leq a d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

which implies

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq a d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

or

$$[d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]^2 \leq a [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2$$

which implies

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Since $a < a^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$, as $0 < a < 1$, it follows that

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \quad (3)$$

for all $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

Similarly, we can prove

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \quad (4)$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Thus, by (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq (a^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\ &\leq (a^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2n+1} d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since $a^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$, the right-hand side of (5) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence it follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and it will converge to a point z (say) as X is complete metric space.

Now, by triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Sz)]^2 &\leq [d(z, x_{2n+2}) + d(Sz, Tx_{2n+1})]^2, \\ &\leq [d(z, x_{2n+1})]^2 + [d(Sz, Tx_{2n+1})]^2 + 2d(z, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad d(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Sz, Tx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq a \max [d(z, Sz) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), d(z, x_{2n+1}) d(z, Sz), \\ &\quad d(z, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}), cd(z, x_{2n+2}) d(x_{2n+1}, Sz)] \end{aligned}$$

on letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$[d(Sz, Tx_{2n+2})]^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have by (6)

$$[d(z, Sz)]^2 = 0$$

which implies $z = Sz$.

Similarly, we can prove that $z = Tz$.

Thus, we have

$$z = Tz = Sz.$$

i. e. z is the common fixed point of S and T .

Now to show the uniqueness of z , let us consider that $w \neq z$ is another common fixed point of S and T . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, w)]^2 &= [d(Sz, Tw)]^2 \\ &\leq a \max [d(z, Sz) d(w, Tw), d(z, w) d(z, Sz) \\ &\quad d(z, w) d(w, Tw), c d(z, Tw) d(w, Sz)] \\ &\leq ac [d(z, w)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

But $ac < 1$ as $0 < a < 1$ and $0 \leq c < 1$, therefore, it follows that $[d(z, w)]^2 = 0$ and so $z = w$. This completes the proof.

3. Another Fixed Point Theorem

In this section we prove

Theorem 3. Let X be a Hausdorff space, and let T_1 and T_2 be two continuous self-mappings of X . Let F be a symmetric continuous mapping of $X \times X$ into the set of non-negative reals satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [F(T_1x, T_2y)]^2 &\leq a \max [F(x, T_1x), F(y, T_2y), F(x, y) F(x, T_1x), \\ &\quad F(x, y) F(y, T_2y), c F(x, T_2y) F(y, T_1x)] \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

for all distinct x, y in X , where $0 < a < 1$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Also let $F(x, x) = 0$ for all x in X .

If, for some x_0 in X , the sequence $\{x_n\}$, defined by

$$x_{2n+1} = T_1 x_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = T_2 x_{2n+1}, \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (8)$$

has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ in X , then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Assume $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;

then, by (7) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} [F(x_1, x_2)]^2 &\leq [F(T_1 x_0, T_2 x_1)]^2 \\ &\leq a \max [F(x_0, x_1) F(x_1, x_2), F(x_0, x_1) F(x_0, x_1), \\ &\quad F(x_0, x_1) F(x_1, x_2), cF(x_0, x_2) F(x_1, x_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, either

$$[F(x_1, x_2)]^2 \leq a F(x_0, x_1) F(x_1, x_2)$$

which implies

$$F(x_1, x_2) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} F(x_0, x_1)$$

or

$$[F(x_1, x_2)]^2 \leq a [F(x_0, x_1)]^2$$

which implies

$$F(x_1, x_2) \leq a^{\frac{1}{3}} F(x_0, x_1).$$

Since $a < a^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$, it follows that

$$F(x_1, x_2) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} F(x_0, x_1) < F(x_0, x_1).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} [F(x_2, x_3)]^2 &\leq [F(T_2x_1, T_1x_2)]^2 = [F(T_1x_2, T_2x_1)]^2 \\ &\leq a \max [F(x_2, T_1x_2) F(x_1, T_2x_1), F(x_2, x_1) F(x_2, T_1x_2), \\ &\quad F(x_2, x_1) F(x_1, T_2x_1), cF(x_2, T_2x_1) F(x_1, T_1x_2)] \\ &\leq a \max [F(x_2, x_3) F(x_1, x_2), F(x_2, x_1) F(x_2, x_3), \\ &\quad F(x_2, x_1) F(x_1, x_2), cF(x_2, x_2) F(x_1, x_3)], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$F(x_2, x_3) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} F(x_1, x_2) < F(x_1, x_2).$$

By repeating the same process in a similar manner we get a monotone sequence of non-negative real numbers

$$F(x_0, x_1) > F(x_1, x_2) > F(x_2, x_3) > \dots > F(x_n, x_{n+1}) > \dots$$

which must converge along with all its subsequences to some real number q (say). By hypothesis, we have a convergent subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ in X which converges to some z in X i. e.

$$z = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{2nk}.$$

Since T_1 and T_2 are continuous

$$T_1 z = T_1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{2nk}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{2nk} + 1).$$

$$T_2 T_1 z = T_2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (z_{2n_k+1}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{2n_k+2})$$

Now, from the continuity of F , we have

$$F(z, T_1 z) = F\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n_k}, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n_k+1}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1})$$

$$= q$$

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1})$$

$$= F(T_1 z, T_2 T_1 z),$$

If $z \neq T_1 z$, then by the inequality (7), we have

$$[F(T_1 z, T_2 T_1 z)]^2 \leq a \max [F(z, T_1 z) F(T_1 z, T_2 T_1 z),$$

$$F(z, T_1 z) F(z, T_1 z),$$

$$F(z, T_1 z) F(T_1 z, T_2 T_1 z),$$

$$cF(z, T_2 T_1 z) F(T_1 z, T_1 z)],$$

which implies

$$F(T_1 z, T_2 T_1 z) \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}} F(z, T_1 z) < F(z, T_1 z)$$

and so we have

$$F(z, T_1 z) = F(T_1 z, T_2 T_1 z) < F(z, T_1 z)$$

a contradiction. Hence $z = T_1 z$.

Similarly, we may prove that $z = T_2 z$. Hence it follows that z is the common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

Now, to show the uniqueness of z , let us consider that w is the another common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z, w)^2 &= [F(T_1z, T_2w)]^2 \\
 &\leq a \max [F(z, T_1z) F(w, T_2w), \\
 &\quad F(z, w) F(z, T_1z), \\
 &\quad F(z, w) F(w, T_2w), \\
 &\quad cF(z, T_2w) F(w, T_1z)] \\
 &\leq a \cdot c [F(z, w)]^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $ac < 1$, it follows that $F(z, w) = 0 \Rightarrow z = w$. This completes the proof of **Theorem 3**.

REFERENCES

- B. Fisher, Common fixed point and constant mappings on metric spaces, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.* **5** (1977), 319-326.
- [2] B. Fisher, Fixed points and constant mappings on metric spaces. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8) **61** (1976), 329-332.