

**SOME FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF A
GENERALIZED q -LAPLACE TRANSFORM**

By

RAMADHAR MISHRA

Asst. Dy. Director of Education (Finance), Directorate of Higher
Education, U. P., Allahabad-211001, India

(Received : August 25, 1981; Revised : May 17, 1982)

1. **Introduction.** In the year 1949, Hahn [3] defined two q -analogues of the classical Laplace transform. In a subsequent paper, he introduced an allied transform (see [4])

$${}_qL [f(x); s] \equiv g(s) = \frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^{s^{-1}} (1-qsx)_a f(x) d(q, x) \quad (1.1)$$

$$= s^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i (1-q^{i-1})_a f(q^i/s), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0,$$

and deduced certain properties for this transform.

The object of this paper is to develop some fundamental properties of the generalized q -Laplace transform (1.1) in the form of theorems. A few worthmenting images for (1.1) are also enumerated.

2. **Notation and Definitions.** For $0 < q < 1$, let $(1-q^\alpha)_n \equiv$

$$(q^\alpha)_n = (1-q^\alpha)(1-q^{\alpha+1}) \dots (1-q^{\alpha+n-1}), (q^\alpha)_0 = 1.$$

We then recall the following basic hypergeometric functions :

$${}_n k \Phi_{n-1} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{(a_{n-k})}; x \\ q^{(b_{n-1})} \end{matrix} \right] =$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{a_1})_j (q^{a_2})_j \dots (q^{a_{n-k}})_j (-1)^{jk} q^{\frac{1}{2} jk(j-1)} x^j}{(q^{b_1})_j (q^{b_2})_j \dots (q^{b_{n-1}})_j (q)_j}$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$${}_n \phi_{n-1} \left(\begin{matrix} q^{(c_n)}; x \\ q^{(d_{n-1})} \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{c_1})_i (q^{c_2})_i \dots (q^{c_n})_i x^i}{(q^{d_1})_i (q^{d_2})_i \dots (q^{d_{n-1}})_i (q)_i}, \quad |x| < 1,$$

$$E_q(x) = \prod_0^{\infty} (1 - xq^n) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}r(r-1)} x^r / (q)_r,$$

$$e_q(x) = \prod_0^{\infty} (1 - xq^n)^{-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{(q)_r}, \quad |x| < 1,$$

$$(x-y)_v = x^y \prod_0^{\infty} \left[\frac{1 - (y/x) q^n}{1 - (y/x) q^{n-n}} \right].$$

For all values of a and q (real or complex), we denote $\frac{1-q^a}{1-q}$ by

[a] Obviously, as $q \rightarrow 1$, [a] $\rightarrow a$.

By a q -binomial coefficient, we mean

$$\left[\begin{matrix} x \\ k \end{matrix} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{q^{x-j+1} - 1}{q^j - 1} \right)$$

and we note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \left[\begin{matrix} x \\ k \end{matrix} \right] = \binom{x}{k}.$$

We define θ , a symbol for q -difference operator, by the equation

$$\theta f(x) \equiv \theta_x f(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}.$$

A repeated application of the q -operator n is times denoted by $\theta_x^{(n)}$ or simply by $\theta^{(n)}$.

Following Hahn [3], the q -integral of a function, under appropriate conditions, is defined as

$$\int_0^x f(y) d(q, y) = x(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(xq^k),$$

$$\int_x^{\infty} f(y) d(q, y) = x(1-q) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{-k} f(xq^{-k}),$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(y) d(q, y) = (1-q) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} q^k f(q^k).$$

3. Main Results. In this section, we give some theorems for the transform (1. 1).

Theorem. If ${}_qL [f(x); s] = g(s)$, then

$$(i) \quad {}_qL [f(px); s] = g(s/p)/p$$

and

$$(ii) \quad {}_qL [f(x/p); s] = p \quad {}_qL [f(x); sp].$$

Proof. By using the definition (1. 2), we have

$${}_qL [f(px); s] = s^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i (1 - q^{i+1})_a f(pq^i/s) = g(s/p)/p,$$

and this gives Theorem 1 (i).

This theorem can also be proved by making a change of variable in the Hahn's result [3 , p. 344, Eq. (2. 17)]

$${}_a^b S f(sx) d(q, x) = s^{-1} {}_{sa}^{sb} S f(x) d(q, x), \left(\begin{array}{c} a < 1, b < 1 \\ \text{or} \\ a > 1, b > 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Theorem 1 (ii) can be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 2. (The q -Differentiation Theorem).

$${}_q^a L \left[\theta_x^{(n)} f(x); s \right] = \left[\frac{s}{1-q} \right]^n \frac{(1 - q^{a-n-1})_n}{(1-q)^n}.$$

$${}_q^{a-n} L [f(x); s] - \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{s^{m-1} (1 - q^{a-m-2})_{m-1}}{(1-q)^{2m-1}} f^{(n-m)}(0)$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c} a \\ n \end{array} \right] \frac{s^n (1-q)_n}{(1-q)^{2n}} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \cdot {}_q^a L \left[\frac{f(x)}{(1-sxq^a)_n}; s \right] -$$

$$- \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{s^{m-1} (1 - q^{a-m-2})_{m-1}}{(1-q)^{2m-1}} f^{(n-m)}(0). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. We have

$${}_q^a L [\theta_x f(x); s] = \frac{1}{1-q} S_0^{s^{-1}} (1 - qsx)_a \theta_x f(x) d(q, x). \tag{3.2}$$

Integrating the right-hand side of (3.2) by parts in the light of the formula (cf. Hahn [2, p. 7 Eq. (2.9)])

$$S f_1(x) \theta_x f_2(x) d(q, x) = f_1(x/q) f_2(x) - S \theta_x f_1(x/q) f_2(x) d(q, x),$$

we get

$${}_q^a L [\theta f(x); s] = \frac{1}{1-q} [\{ f(x) (1 - sx)_a \}_0^{1/s} -$$

$$\int_0^{s^{-1}} \theta (1 - sx)_\alpha f(x) d(q, x) = \frac{1}{1-q} \{ s [a] {}_q^{a-1} L [f(x); s] - f(0) \},$$

(3.3)

by virtue of the relation (Hahn [5, p. 70, Eq. (2. 14)])

$$\theta_{x^{(r)}} (I - kx)_s = (-k)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}r} (r - I) [s] [s-1] \dots [s-r+1] (I - kxq^r)_{s-r}$$

and (1. 2).

A repeated application of (3. 3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q^2 L [\theta_{x^{(2)}} f(x); s] &= {}_q^2 L [\theta f^{(1)}(x); s], \text{ where } f^{(1)}(x) = \theta_x f(x), \\ &= \frac{I}{I-q} \{ s [a] {}_q^{a-1} L [f^{(1)}(x); s] - f^{(1)}(0) \} \\ &= \left(\frac{s}{I-q} \right)^2 [a] [a - I] {}_q^{a-2} L [f(x); s] - \frac{f^{(1)}(0)}{I-q} - \frac{s[a]}{(I-q)^2} f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in a similar manner, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q^3 L [\theta^{(3)} f(x); s] &= \left(\frac{s}{I-q} \right)^3 [a] [a - I] [a - 2] {}_q^{a-3} L [f(x); s] \\ &\quad - \frac{f^{(2)}(0)}{I-q} - \frac{s[a]}{(I-q)^2} f^{(1)}(0) - \frac{s^2 [a] [a - I]}{(I-q)^3} f(0) \end{aligned}$$

and, in general, this leads to our required result.

Theorem 3 (The p -Integration Theorem).

If ${}_q^a L [f(x); s] = g(s)$, then

$${}_q^{a-1} L \left[\int_0^x f(t) d(q, t); s \right] = \frac{I - q}{s [a]} g(s),$$

where $f(x)$ is regular and $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. Setting up the function

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{I-q} \int_0^x f(t) d(q, t),$$

we have

$$F^{(1)}(x) = f(x),$$

where we may suppose that $f(0) = 0$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} {}_q^a L [F^{(1)}(x); s] &= {}_q^a L [f(x); s] = \\ \frac{1}{I-q} \{ s [a] {}_q^{a-1} L [F(x); s] - F(0) \}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives, because $F(0) = 0$, that

$${}_q^{a-1} L [F(x); s] = \frac{1-q}{s[a]} {}_q^a L [f(x); s],$$

since $f(x)$ is regular.

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 4 (The Addition Theorem).

If ${}_q^a L [f_r(x); s] = g_r(s)$, then

$${}_q^a L \left[\sum_{r=0}^m f_r(x); s \right] = \sum_{r=0}^m g_r(s),$$

Provided that any one of the following conditions holds:

(a) m is finite, (b) m is infinite and

(i) $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |f_r(xq^r)|$ is convergent for each $x_0 q^j, x_0$ being fixed, and

for every $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$

(ii) $|q|^j |f_r(xq^r)| = O(|h|^j), j > j_0, |h| < 1.$

The proof of this theorem is obvious.

Theorem 5. If ${}_q^a L [f(x) ; s] = g(s),$ then

$${}_{q^a+1} L [f(x)/x ; s] = \frac{(1 - q^{a+1})}{1 - q} \int_{qs}^{\infty} g(t) d(q, t),$$

provided the generalized q -Laplace transform of $f(x)/x$ exists and the corresponding series converges absolutely.

Proof. Let us consider the q -integral

$$\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{qs}^{\infty} g(x) d(q, x). \tag{3.5}$$

Making use of the definition (1. 1), (3. 5) becomes equal to

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \int_{qs}^{\infty} \left[\int_0^{x^{-1}} (1 - qxy)_a f(y) d(q, y) \right] d(q, x).$$

As a double q -sum, it can be written as

$$(1 - q)_a \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^i (1 - q^{a+1})_i}{(q)_i} f(q^{i+r-1} s^{-1}) \tag{3.6}$$

$$= (1 - q)_a \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} q^r f(s^{-1} q^r) \sum_{i=0}^r \frac{(1 - q^{a+1})_{r-i} q^{-i}}{(1 - q)_{r-i}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1-q)_a \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^r (q^{a+1})_r}{(q)_r} f(s^{-1} q^r) \sum_{r=0}^r \frac{(q^{-r})_i q^{-(a+1)i}}{(q^{-a-r})_i} \\
&= (1-q)_a \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{a+2})_r}{(q)_r} f(s^{-1} q^r) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^{a+1})} \int_0^{s^{-1}} (1-qsx)_{a-1} [f(x)/x] d(q, x) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q^{a+1})} {}_q^{a+1}L [f(x)/x; s],
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the basic analogue of the well-known Gauss theorem and (1.2).

The interchange in the order of q -summations in (3.6) shall be permissible provided the inner series converges absolutely in addition to the existence of the generalized q -Laplace transform of $f(x)$.

Hence the theorem is established.

A result similar to the one given in Theorem 5 can be obtained by multiplying the two sides of Theorem 1 (i) by $1/p(1-q)$ and q -integrating over the interval $(1, \infty)$ with respect to p . We then have the left-hand side of Theorem 1 (i) equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{1-q} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(1-q)} \left[\int_0^{s^{-1}} (1-qsx)_{a-1} f(px) d(q, x) \right] d(q, p) \\
&= (1-q)_a s^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{a+1})_i q^j}{(q)_i} f(q^{j-i} s^{-1}) \quad (3.7) \\
&= (1-q)_a s^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_j (q^{a+1})_j}{(q)_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(q^{j-i} s^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{1-q} S_{\theta}^{s^{-1}} (1 - qst)_{\theta} \left[\frac{1}{1-q} S_t^{\infty} \{f(x)/x\} d(q, x) \right] d(q, t) \\
&= \left[{}_q L \frac{1}{1-q} S_t^{\infty} \{f(x)/x\} d(q, x); s \right], \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

on interchanging the q -sum and using the definition (1. 1).

The interchange in the order of q -sums in (3. 7) is assured if the q -sum

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |q^j f(q^j/s)| \text{ converges, i. e., the generalized } q\text{-Laplace}$$

transform of $f(x)$ exists for all values of s and provided that $|q^j f(q^j/s)| = O(|h|^j)$, for every fixed j and $|h| < 1$.

Next, the right-hand side of Theorem 1 (i) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{1-q} S_1^{-2} g(ds/p) d(q, p) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^j g(sq^j) = \frac{1}{s(1-q)} S_0^{qs} g(y) d(q, y). \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3. 8) and (3. 9), we get the result :

Theorem 6. If ${}_q L [f(x); s] = g(s)$, then

$${}_q L \left[\frac{1}{1-q} S_x^{\infty} [f(y)/y] d(q, y); s \right] = \frac{1}{s(1-q)} S_0^{qs} g(x) d(q, x),$$

provided that (i) the q -integrals involved exist, (ii) $g(s)$ exists for all s and (iii) $|q^j f(q^j/s)| = O(|h|^j)$, for every fixed j and $|h| < 1$.

Yet another correspondence of the type obtained in Theorem 6 can be had by combining Theorems 3 & 5. We have, in fact, the following result :

Theorem 7. If ${}_q^a L [f(x) ; s] = g(s)$, then

$${}_q^{a-1} L \left[\frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^x \{f(t)/t\} d(q, t) ; s \right] = \frac{1-q}{s} \int_{qs}^{\infty} g(x) (q, d x),$$

provided $f(x)/x$ is supposed regular and $[f(x)/x]_{x=0} = 0$.

Proof. Let us suppose that

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{t} d(q, t),$$

so that $\theta F(x) \equiv F^{(1)}(x) = f(x)/x$, provided $F^{(1)}(0) = 0$.

Now applying Theorem 3 to $\int_0^x [f(t)/t] d(q, t)$, for $n = 1$, we receive

$${}_q^a L \left[\frac{f(x)}{x} ; s \right] = \frac{s[a]}{1-q} \cdot {}_q^{a-1} L \left[\frac{1}{1-q} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{t} d(q, t) ; s \right],$$

if $F^{(1)}(0) = 0$.

The proof is now readily completed in view of Theorems 5.

We remark in passing that Theorems 1 to 7 for the transform (I. 1) just established reduce to Rules 1 to 7 due to Abdi [1, pp. 392-396] for Hahn's q -Laplace transform, when $a \rightarrow \infty$.

4. APPLICATIONS. In this section, we give certain images of some functions by employing Theorem 4 for the generalized q -Laplace transform (I. 1).

$$f(x) \quad g(s) = {}_q L [f(x) ; s]$$

$$1. \quad x^{k-1} \quad (1-q)_a s^{-k} {}_1\phi_0 (q^{a+1} ; q^k), \operatorname{Re}(k) > 0.$$

$$2. \quad x^{k-1} e_q (bx) \quad \frac{(1-q)_a}{s^k(1-q^k)_{a+1}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^k, 0; b/s \\ q^{k+a+1} \end{matrix} \right), |b| < |s|,$$

$$|b| < |s|/|x|, \operatorname{Re}(k) > 0.$$

$$3. \quad x^{k-1} E_q (bx) \quad \frac{(1-q)_a}{s^k(1-q^k)_{a+1}} {}_1\phi_1 [q^k ; q^{k+a+1} ; b/s], \operatorname{Re}(k) > 0.$$

$$4. \quad x^{k-1} {}_n\phi_{n-1} \left(\begin{matrix} q^{(c_n)}; \\ q^{(d_{n-1})} \end{matrix} ; bx \right) \frac{(1-q)_a}{s^k(1-q^k)_{a+1}} {}_{n-1}\phi_n \left(\begin{matrix} q^{(c_n)}, q^k \\ q^{(d_{n-1})}, q^{(k+a+1)} \end{matrix} ; b/s \right)$$

$$|b| < [|s|, |s|/|x|], \operatorname{Re}(k) > 0.$$

$$5. \quad x^{k-1} {}_{n-p}\phi_{n-1} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{(c_{n-p})} \\ q^{(p_{n-1})} \end{matrix} ; bx \right] \frac{(1-q)_a}{s^k(1-q^k)_{a+1}}$$

$${}_{n-p-1}\phi_n \left[\begin{matrix} q^{(c_{n-p})}, q^k \\ q^{(d_{n-1})}, q^{(k+a+1)} \end{matrix} ; b/s \right],$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(k) > 0, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I am indebted to Professor R. P. Agarwal for his guidance during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. H. Abdi, On q -Laplace transforms, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., India Sect. A* **29** (1960), 389-408.
- [2] W. Hahn, Über orthogonalpolynome, die q -Differenzgleichungen genügen, *Math. Nachr.* **2** (1949), 4-34.
- [3] W. Hahn, Beiträge zur theorie der Heineschen Reihen. Die 24 Integrale der hypergeometrischen, q -Differenzgleichung

Das q -Analogon der Laplace Transformation, *Math. Nachr.* **2** (1949), 340–379.

[4] W. Hahn, Über die höheren Heineschen Reihen und eine einheitliche Theorie der sogenannten speziellen Funktionen, *Math. Nachr.* **3** (1950), 257–294.

[5] W. Hahn, Über uneigentliche Lösungen linearer geometrischer Differenzgleichungen, *Math. Ann.* **125** (1952), 67–81.