

(Dedicated to the memory of Professor Arthur Erdélyi)

## SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE APPELL FUNCTIONS $F_1$ AND $F_2$

By

**C. M. Joshi**

*Department of Mathematics, University of Jodhpur  
Jodhpur - 342001, Rajasthan India*

and

**J. P. Arya**

*Department of Mathematics, D. A. V. College,  
Muzaffarnagar - 251001, U.P., India*

(Received : July 30, 1980; Revised : November 6, 1980)

Inequalities for Appell's functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are obtained for positive real arguments and certain specified ranges of parameters, applying the results of T. M. Flett [6] and B. C. Carlson [2]. Though the inequalities obtained are not very sharp, they serve a purpose, filling certain gaps in the literature on the inequalities for these functions.

### I. Introduction

One-sided inequalities for particular values of parameters have been obtained for  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  for complex and real arguments, respectively by Minton [8], and for  $F_2$  in complex arguments by Erber [3], from an analytic stand point. Luke [7] has obtained some two-sided inequalities for  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  for special ranges of parameters and negative real arguments from a computational viewpoint. These results, by suitable application of transformation theory, lead to inequalities for restricted ranges of positive real arguments, viz  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 < y < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $F_1$ , and  $0 < x+y < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $F_2$ , the corresponding restrictions on parameters being understood.

In this note we shall obtain two-sided inequalities for  $F_1$  and  $F_2$

for positive real arguments by appealing to the theorems of Flett [6] and Carlson [2]. These inequalities, though not very sharp, may yet serve a purpose, filling certain gaps in the literature on inequalities of these functions.

We recall a theorem of Flett [6] that he obtained in estimating the Euler integral of the Gauss hypergeometric function as follows :

**Theorem 1.** (a) Let  $a > c > b > 0$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ . Then

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b-c)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}(1-x)^{c-a-b} < {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) < (1-x)^{c-a-b} \quad \dots (1)$$

(b) If, however,  $c > a > c - b > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ , then

$$(1-x)^{c-a-b} < {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) < \frac{\Gamma(a+b-c)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}(1-x)^{c-a-b} \quad \dots (2)$$

Another theorem that is required is that of Carlson [2, Theorem 2] which can be rewritten as :

**Theorem 2.** Let  $a > c + 1 > 0$ ,  $c > b > 0$ ,  $-\infty < x < 1$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$(1-x)^{c-a-b} (1-x+bx/c)^{a-c} < {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) < (b/c)(1-x)^{c-a-b} + (1-b/c)(1-x)^{-b} \quad \dots (3)$$

### 2. Inequalities for $F_1$

The function  $F_1$ , defined by

$$F_1 = F_1(a; b_1, b_2; c; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b_1)_m (b_2)_n (x)^m (y)^n}{(c)_{m+n} m! n!},$$

where  $|x| < 1$ ,  $|y| < 1$ , and  $c$  is not a negative integer or zero, can be expressed as

$$F_1 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_m (b_1)_m (x)^m}{(c)_m m!} {}_2F_1(a+m, b_2; c+m; y) \quad \dots (5)$$

With the restrictions  $c > a > c - b_2 > 0$ ,  $b_2 > 0$ ,  $0 < y < 1$ , an application of Theorem 1 (b) to the inner  ${}_2F_1$  of the right-hand of (5) gives

$$(1-y)^{c-a-b_2} {}_2F_1(a, b_1; c; x) < F_1 < \frac{\Gamma(a+b_2-c)\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b_2)}(1-y)^{c-a-b_2} (1-x)^{-b_1} \quad \dots (6)$$

Setting again  $c > a > c - b_1 > 0, b_1 > 0, 0 < x < 1, a$  reapplication of the same theorem to (6) yields :

$$(1-x)^{c-a-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2} < F_1 < \frac{\Gamma(a+b_2-c) \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b_2)} (1-x)^{-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2} ;$$

whenever

$$c > a > c - b_1 > 0, c > a > c - b_2 > 0, b_1 > 0, b_2 > 0, \\ 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1. \quad \dots(7)$$

By an appeal to the symmetry property of  $F_1$ , wherein the roles of  $b_1$  and  $x$  are changed by  $b_2$  and  $y$ , respectively, and *vice versa*, (7) will admit the form

$$(1-x)^{c-a-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2} < F_1 < \frac{\Gamma(a+b_1-c) \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b_1)} (1-y)^{-b_2} (1-x)^{c-a-b_1}, \quad \dots(8)$$

with the same set of conditions as before.

Equations (7) and (8), when combined, will give :

**Theorem 3. (a).** *If  $c > a > c - b_1 > 0, c > a > c - b_2 > 0, b_1 > 0, b_2 > 0, 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1$ , then*

$$(1-x)^{c-a-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2} < F_1 < \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\Gamma(a+b_1-c)}{\Gamma(b_1)} (1-y)^{-b_2} (1-x)^{c-a-b_1}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(a+b_2-c)}{\Gamma(b_2)} (1-x)^{-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2}. \end{array} \right. \quad \dots(9)$$

On the other hand, proceeding as before, but using Theorem 1 (a), we have :

**Theorem 3 (b).** *If  $a > c > b_1 > 0, a > c > b_2 > 0, 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1$ , then*

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\Gamma(a+b_1-c)}{\Gamma(b_1)} (1-y)^{-b_2} (1-x)^{c-a-b_1}, \\ \frac{\Gamma(a+b_2-c)}{\Gamma(b_2)} (1-x)^{-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2}. \end{array} \right. < F_1 < (1-x)^{c-a-b_1} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2}. \quad \dots(10)$$

Yet another theorem for positive real arguments would be obtained, in the same fashion, by recourse to Theorem 2. Indeed, an application of Theorem 2 to Equation (5) leads to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_m (b_1)_m (x)^m}{(c)_m m!} \left(1-y + \frac{b_2 y}{c+m}\right)^{a-c} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2} < F_1$$

$$< \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_m (b_1)_m (x)^m}{(c)_m m!} \left\{ \frac{b_2}{c+m} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2} + \left(1 - \frac{b_2}{c+m}\right) (1-y)^{-b_2} \right\}$$

whenever

$$a > c+1 > 0, \quad c > b_2 > 0, \quad -\infty < y < 1, \quad y \neq 0, \quad \dots (11)$$

and once again, as a consequence of Theorem 2, we have

$$(1-x)^{c-a-b_1} (1-y)^{-b_2} (1-x + (b_1 x/c))^{a-c} < F_1 < (1-y)^{b_2}$$

$$[1 + (b_2/c) (1-y)^{c-a}] [(b_1/c) (1-x)^{c-a-b_1} + \{1 - (b_1/c)\} (1-x)^{-b_1}],$$

$$a > c+1 > 0, \quad c > b_1 > 0, \quad c > b_2 > 0, \quad |x| < 1, \quad |y| < 1, \quad x \neq 0, \quad y \neq 0, \quad \dots (12)$$

The symmetry in  $F_1$  enables us to express Equation (12) in an alternative form which, in combination with (12), finally gives :

**Theorem 4.**

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1-x)^{c-a-b_1} (1-y)^{-b_2} [1-x + (b_1 x/c)]^{a-c}, \\ (1-x)^{-b_1} [1-y + (b_2 y/c)]^{a-c} (1-y)^{c-a-b_2}, \end{array} \right.$$

$$< F_1 < \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1-y)^{-b_2} [1 + (b_2/c)(1-y)^{c-a}] [(b_1/c)(1-x)^{c-a-b_1} + (1-(b_1/c))(1-x)^{-b_1}], \\ (1-x)^{-b_1} [1 + (b_1/c)(1-x)^{c-a}] [(b_2/c)(1-y)^{c-a-b_2} + \{1 - (b_2/c)\} (1-y)^{-b_2}] \end{array} \right.$$

$$a > c+1 > 0, \quad c > b_1 > 0, \quad c > b_2 > 0, \quad |x| < 1, \quad |y| < 1, \quad x \neq 0, \quad y \neq 0. \quad \dots (13)$$

For the set of values

$$a=0.5, \quad b_1=0.4, \quad b_2=0.6, \quad c=0.8, \quad x=0.2, \quad y=0.7$$

from Theorem 3 (a) we have

$$1.467421 < F_1 < 2.07033, \quad \dots(14)$$

and for

$$a=0.8, \quad b_1=0.2, \quad b_2=0.4, \quad c=0.7, \quad x=0.2, \quad y=0.5,$$

from Theorem 3 (b)

$$1.317449 < F_1 < 1.512126. \quad \dots(15)$$

Computations show that Theorem 4 gives sharper inequalities than Theorem 3 (b) in their common range of validity. In particular, for the choice

$$a=1.8, \quad b_1=0.4, \quad b_2=0.2, \quad c=0.7, \quad x=0.5, \quad y=0.2,$$

we obtain from Theorem 4

$$2.268392 < F_1 < 2.554081,$$

while from Theorem 3 (b) we have

$$1.646811 < F_1 < 3.780315. \quad \dots(17)$$

### 3. Inequalities for $F_2$

We start with the single series representation

$$F_2 \equiv F_2(a; b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, y)$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_m (b_1)_m (x)^m}{(c_1)_m m!} {}_2F_1(a+m, b_2; c_2; y),$$

where  $|x| + |y| < 1$ , and neither  $c_1$  nor  $c_2$  is a negative integer or zero. ... (18)

Under the restrictions

$$a > a + b_2 - c_2 > c_1 > b_1 > 0, \quad a > c_2 > b_2 > 0,$$

$$x > 0, \quad y > 0, \quad x + y < 1, \quad \dots(19)$$

by repeated applications of Theorem 1 (b) in (18), we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b_1+b_2-c_1-c_2) \Gamma(c_1) \Gamma(c_2)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2)} (1-y)^{b_1-c_1} (1-x-y)^{c_1+c_2-a-b_1-b_2}$$

$$< F_2 < (1-y)^{c_2-c_1+b_1-b_2} (1-x-y)^{c_1-a-b_1}. \quad \dots(20)$$

Since

$$F_2(a; b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x; y) = F_2(a, b_2, b_1; c_2, c_1; y, x), \quad \dots(21)$$

it is possible to write the above inequality in a different form which, in conjunction with (20), will give :

**Theorem 5.** Let  $a > a + b_2 - c_2 > c_1 > b_1 > 0$ ,  $a > a + b_1 - c_1 > c_2 > b_2 > 0$ ,  
 $x > 0, y > 0, x + y < 1$ . Then

$$\frac{\Gamma(a + b_1 + b_2 - c_1 - c_2) \Gamma(c_1) \Gamma(c_2)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2)} (1 - x - y)^{c_1 + c_2 - a - b_1 - b_2}.$$

$$\max \left\{ (1 - y)^{b_1 - c_1}, (1 - x)^{b_2 - c_2} \right\} < F_2$$

$$< \min \left\{ (1 - y)^{c_2 - c_1 + b_1 - b_2} (1 - x - y)^{c_1 - a - b_1}, (1 - x)^{c_1 - c_2 + b_2 - b_1} (1 - x - y)^{c_2 - a - b_2} \right\} \dots (22)$$

Though with the choice  $a > c_1 + 1, a > c_2 + 1$ , Theorem 5 holds good but a better inequality could be obtained by appropriate application of Theorem 2 in (18). Thus, under the conditions

$$a > \max \{ c_1 + 1, c_2 + 1 \}, c_1 > b_1 > 0, c_2 > b_2 > 0, \\ |x| + |y| < 1, x \neq 0, y \neq 0, \dots (23)$$

we have

$$(1 - y)^{c_2 - a + b_1 - b_2} \left( 1 - y + \frac{b_2 y}{c_2} \right)^{a - c_2} \left( 1 - y + x \left( 1 - y + \frac{b_2 y}{c_2} \right) \right)^{c_1 - a - b_1} \\ (1 - y - x \left( 1 - \frac{b_1}{c_1} \right) \left( 1 - y + \frac{b_2 y}{c_2} \right))^{a - c_1} < F_2 \\ < \frac{b_2}{c_2} (1 - y)^{c_2 - a - b_2} \left[ \frac{b_1}{c_1} \left( 1 - \frac{x}{1 - y} \right)^{c_1 - a - b_1} + \left( 1 - \frac{b_1}{c_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{x}{1 - y} \right)^{-b_1} \right] \\ + \left( 1 - \frac{b_2}{c_2} \right) (1 - y)^{-b_2} \left[ \frac{b_1}{c_1} (1 - x)^{c_1 - a - b_1} + \left( 1 - \frac{b_1}{c_1} \right) (1 - x)^{-b_1} \right]. \dots (24)$$

As a consequence of (21), it then follows that

$$(1 - x)^{c_1 - a + b_2 - b_1} \left( 1 - x + \frac{b_1 x}{c_1} \right)^{a - c_1} \left[ 1 - x + y \left( 1 - x + \frac{b_1 x}{c_1} \right) \right]^{c_2 - a - b_2} \\ \left[ 1 - x - y \left( 1 - \frac{b_2}{c_2} \right) \left( 1 - x + \frac{b_1 x}{c_1} \right) \right]^{a - c_2} < F_2 \\ < \frac{b_1}{c_1} (1 - x)^{c_1 - a - b_1} \left[ \frac{b_2}{c_2} \left( 1 - \frac{y}{1 - x} \right)^{c_2 - a - b_2} + \left( 1 - \frac{b_2}{c_2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{y}{1 - x} \right)^{-b_2} \right] \\ + \left( 1 - \frac{b_1}{c_1} \right) (1 - x)^{-b_1} \left[ \frac{b_2}{c_2} (1 - y)^{c_2 - a - b_2} + \left( 1 - \frac{b_2}{c_2} \right) (1 - y)^{-b_2} \right], \dots (25)$$

under the set of conditions (23).

We, therefore, finally have

**Theorem 6.**

$$\max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & (1-y)^{c_2-a+b_1-b_2} \left(1-y+\frac{b_2 y}{c_2}\right)^{a-c_2} \left[1-y+x\left(1-y+\frac{b_2 y}{c_2}\right)\right]^{c_1-a-b_1} \\ & \left[1-y-x\left(1-\frac{b_1}{c_1}\right)\left(1-y+\frac{b_2 y}{c_2}\right)\right]^{a-c_1}, \\ & (1-x)^{c_1-a+b_2-b_1} \left(1-x+\frac{b_1 x}{c_1}\right)^{a-c_1} \left[1-x+y\left(1-x+\frac{b_1 x}{c_1}\right)\right]^{c_2-a-b_2} \\ & \left[1-x-y\left(1-\frac{b_2}{c_2}\right)\left(1-x+\frac{b_1}{c_1}\right)\right]^{a-c_2} \end{aligned} \right.$$

$< F_2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{b_2}{c_2} (1-y)^{c_2-a-b_2} \left[ \frac{b_1}{c_1} \left(1-\frac{x}{1-y}\right)^{c_1-a-b_1} + \left(1-\frac{b_1}{c_1}\right) \left(1-\frac{x}{1-y}\right)^{-b_1} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \left(1-\frac{b_2}{c_2}\right) (1-y)^{-b_2} \left[ \frac{b_1}{c_1} (1-x)^{c_1-a-b_1} + \left(1-\frac{b_1}{c_1}\right) (1-x)^{-b_1} \right] \right], \\ & \left[ \frac{b_1}{c_1} (1-x)^{c_1-a-b_1} \left[ \frac{b_2}{c_2} \left(1-\frac{y}{1-x}\right)^{c_2-a-b_2} + \left(1-\frac{b_2}{c_2}\right) \left(1-\frac{y}{1-x}\right)^{-b_2} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \left(1-\frac{b_1}{c_1}\right) (1-x)^{-b_1} \left[ \frac{b_2}{c_2} (1-y)^{c_2-a-b_2} + \left(1-\frac{b_2}{c_2}\right) (1-y)^{-b_2} \right] \right], \end{aligned}$$

provided

$$a > \max \{ c_1+1, c_2+1 \}, \quad c_1 > b_1 > 0, \quad c_2 > b_2 > 0, \quad |x| + |y| < 1, \\ x \text{ and } y \text{ are real, } x \neq 0, \quad y \neq 0. \quad \dots(26)$$

In conformity with the aforementioned remark, we note as a comparison that for the set of values

$$a=2, \quad b_1=0.4, \quad b_2=0.5, \quad c_1=0.6, \quad c_2=0.8, \quad x=0.2, \quad y=0.3,$$

Theorem 5 gives

$$1.187113 < F_2 < 3.322324 \quad (27)$$

and Theorem 6 gives

$$2.377182 < F_2 < 2.447001 \quad \dots(28)$$

Inequalities for  $F_2$  could also be obtained using a result due to Buschman [1], but such inequalities will be too cumbersome to deal with from a computational standpoint.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. G. Buschman, Inequalities for hypergeometric functions, *Math. Comp.* **30** (1976), 303-305.
  - [2] B. C. Carlson, Some inequalities for hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966), 32-39.
  - [3] T. Erber, Inequalities for hypergeometric functions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **4** (1959/60), 341-351.
  - [4] A Erdélyi *et. al* , *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
  - [5] H Exton, *Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications*, Ellis-Horwood, Chichester, U. K., 1976.
  - [6] T. M. Flett, Some inequalities for a hypergeometric integral, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **18** (1972], 31-34.
  - [7] Y. L. Luke, Inequalities for generalized hypergeometric functions of two variables, *J. Approximation Theory* **11** (1974), 73-84.
  - [8] B. M. Minton, Inequalities for Appell functions, *J. Mathematical Phys.* **11** (1970), 1613-1616.
-